

CITY OF FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA

Revised through: October 25, 2021



BREEZEWAY PROPERTY

Transportation Impact Study

Revised through: October 25, 2021

Prepared by:

Wells + Associates (703) 917-6620

Grady Vaughan, PE, PTOE Jillian Kinder **Christopher Turnbull**

www.WellsAndAssociates.com









TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Section 1	
INTRODUCTION	1
Conclusions	3
Section 2	
BACKGROUND INFORMATION	7
Location and Surrounding Uses	7
Comprehensive Plan Land Use Recommendations	7
Existing Transportation Network	7
Future Transportation Network	8
Section 3	
STUDY SCOPE AND ANALYSIS PARAMETERS	11
Overview	11
Study Area	11
Site Development Program	11
Analysis Study Periods	11
Existing Traffic Volumes	12
Section 4	
EXISTING CONDITIONS ANALYSIS	14
Existing Intersection Levels of Service	14
Section 5	
ANALYSIS OF FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT SITE DEVELOPMENT	16
Overview	16
Regional Traffic Growth	16
Traffic from Other Approved/Pending Developments	16
Background Traffic Forecasts	17
Background Future Levels of Service	17

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Section 6	
SITE ANALYSIS	22
Overview	22
Existing Site Trips	22
Proposed Site Access	22
Trip Generation	22
Site Trip Distribution	23
Site Trip Assignments	23
Section 7	
ANALYSIS OF FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH SITE DEVELOPMENT	27
Total Future Traffic Forecasts	27
Proposed Improvements	27
Total Future Levels of Service with Proposed Development Plan	27
Section 8	
CONCLUSIONS	34

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>FIGURE</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>Page</u>
1-1	SITE LOCATION	5
1-2	REDUCED SITE PLAN	
2-1	EXISTING LANE USE, TRAFFIC CONTROLS AND LEVELS OF SERVICE	10
3-1	EXISTING PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES	13
5-1	PIPELINE DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC ASSIGNMENTS	19
5-2	2024 BACKGROUND FUTURE PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC FORECASTS	20
5-3	EXISTING LANE USE, TRAFFIC CONTROLS AND BACKGROUND FUTURE LEVELS OF SERVICE	21
6-1	DIRECTIONS OF APPROACH	24
6-2	Existing Traffic Volumes Less Existing Site Trips	25
6-3	SITE TRIP ASSIGNMENTS	26
7-1A	2024 Total Future Peak Hour Traffic Forecasts	30
7-1B	2024 Total Future Peak hour Traffic Forecasts	
7-2A	2024 TOTAL FUTURE LANE USE, TRAFFIC CONTROLS AND LEVELS OF SERVICE	
7-2B	2024 TOTAL FUTURE LANE USE, TRAFFIC CONTROLS AND LEVELS OF SERVICE	33

LIST OF TABLES

<u> TABLE</u>	<u>TITLE</u>	<u>Page</u>
4-1	Existing Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary	15
5-1	PIPELINE DEVELOPMENT TRIP GENERATION	17
5-2	BACKGROUND FUTURE INTERSECTION CAPACITY ANALYSIS SUMMARY	18
6-1	SITE TRIP GENERATION ANALYSIS	23
7-1	Total Future Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary	29

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX TITLE

- A CITY OF FAIRFAX SCOPING AGREEMENT
- B EXISTING TRAFFIC VOLUMES
- C EXISTING CAPACITY ANALYSIS WORKSHEETS
- D 2027 BACKGROUND FUTURE CAPACITY ANALYSIS WORKSHEETS
- E 2027 TOTAL FUTURE CAPACITY ANALYSIS WORKSHEETS
- F ALTERNATIVE ANALYSIS WITH AMERICAN LEGION (TOLL BROTHERS) AS ADDITIONAL PIPELINE DEVELOPMENT

SECTION 1 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the results of a revised traffic impact study conducted in support of the proposed redevelopment of a site in the City of Fairfax currently developed with the 50-room Breezeway Motel, the 38-unit Fairfax Garden Apartments, and four (4) single family homes and presents an evaluation of the existing and future transportation network.

This study was conducted in accordance with a scoping agreement developed with City of Fairfax staff. The study scope was determined with City staff based on a review of key study intersections and roadways that would potentially be affected by the implementation of the proposed redevelopment and the number of new trips expected to be generated. In addition, this study includes analyses of future conditions with the redevelopment of the adjacent American Legion (Toll Brothers) property on the east side of Oak Street (current redevelopment application not yet approved) for informational purposes.

The subject site is located south of Fairfax Boulevard, east of Walnut Street and west of Oak Street, in the City of Fairfax, Virginia, as shown on Figure 1-1.

The site consists of six (6) land parcels within the City of Fairfax. These parcels include:

Property ID	<u>Address</u>		<u>Acreage</u>
57-1-14-043	10829 Fairfax Blvd.		1.15 acres
57-1-14-055A	10807 - 10818 Cedar Ave		2.08 acres
57-1-14-083	3937 Walnut Street		0.56 acres
57-1-14-075A	3934 Oak Street		0.34 acres
57-1-14-076A	3932 Oak Street		0.25 acres
57-1-14-077A	3930 Oak Street		0.25 acres
		Total	4.63 acres

The applicant, Pulte Home Company, LLC. plans to develop 62 residential townhomes and up to 10,010 SF of commercial space. The site plan is shown on Figure 1-2.

According to the 24VAC30-155 ("Chapter 870") regulations, all development proposals which meet certain specific trip generation thresholds are subject to the regulations as outlined in the Virginia Department of Transportation's (VDOT) Traffic Impact Analysis Regulations Administrative Guidelines ("Administrative Guidelines"). In January 2012, an amendment to the Administrative Guidelines took effect, which determined a development proposal is considered to substantially impact the transportation network if it generates 5,000 or more net new daily vehicle trips located on, or within 3,000 feet of, a VDOT maintained roadway. Based on the trips anticipated to be generated by the subject development, the development would not require a VDOT Chapter 870 compliant traffic study.

Although a traffic impact analysis is not required per 24VAC30-155, the City of Fairfax has requested the submission of a traffic study in conjunction with this development application.

This traffic study was completed in accordance with the City of Fairfax policies and guidelines and is intended to address the following issues:

- 1. Estimation of the net new vehicle trip ends generated by the planned land uses during the AM and PM commuter peak hours and during the PM school peak hour.
- 2. Determination of the effects of the proposed development on the surrounding local roadway network.
- 3. Identification of potential road and/or operational improvements necessary to accommodate the project.

Based on the traffic study scoping form provided in Appendix A, tasks undertaken to prepare this study included the following:

- 1. Reviewed the applicant's conceptual plans for the subject site.
- Field reviewed the subject site in order to determine existing roadway and intersection geometrics and traffic controls, access opportunities and/or constraints, and general traffic conditions.
- 3. Conducted peak hour turning movement counts obtained at the following study intersections:
 - Fairfax Boulevard/Fairchester Drive, Walnut Street
 - Fairfax Boulevard/Meredith Drive/Oak Street
 - Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue
 - Oak Street/Cedar Avenue
 - Walnut Street/2nd Street
 - Oak Street/2nd Street
- 4. Calculated existing AM and PM commuter peak hour intersection levels of service at the study intersections.
- 5. Identified the number of net new peak hour trips that would be generated by the proposed mixed-use development less trips currently generated by the existing land uses based on standard Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) <u>Trip Generation</u> Manual, 10th Edition equations and weighted average rates.
- 6. Determined future background traffic forecasts based on regional traffic growth and estimates of traffic that would be generated by other approved/planned developments in the site vicinity.
- 7. Calculated future levels of service with and without the proposed development at the key study intersections for a proposed build-out year of 2024.

Sources of data for this analysis include traffic counts conducted by Wells + Associates Inc., information obtained from the City of Fairfax, the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), VDOT, the Highway Capacity Manual 2000 (Synchro software, version 10), Pulte Home Company, LLC., and the files and library of Wells + Associates.

Conclusions

Based on the results of this traffic impact study, the following may be concluded:

- 1. The Fairfax Boulevard/Oak Street Meredith Drive and Fairfax Boulevard/Walnut Street Fairchester Drive signalized intersections currently operate at an overall LOS "C" or better during the AM and PM commuter peak periods based on Highway Capacity Manual calculations using the Synchro 10 traffic analysis software. Side street approaches at these intersections currently operate at LOS "E" or "F" during the peak periods due to long cycle lengths and the assignment of most of the green time to the Fairfax Boulevard approaches.
- 2. Historic VDOT traffic data indicates that average daily traffic counts along Fairfax Boulevard have increased by approximately 0.55% per year between 2013 and 2018.
- 3. The Novus Fairfax Gateway (Fairfield at Gateway The Moxley) and Paul VI Redevelopment approved pipeline developments are anticipated to generate 543 AM commuter peak hour trips and 912 PM commuter peak hour trips at full buildout.
- 4. Under future 2024 traffic conditions, minimal increases in delay at the study intersections are expected due to the trips generated by approved pipeline developments in the vicinity of the site and overall levels of service would remain generally consistent with existing conditions.
- 5. The site is currently developed with the 50-room Breezeway Motel, the 38-unit Fairfax Garden Apartments, and four (4) single family homes.
- 6. The Applicant proposes to redevelop the site with 62 residential townhouse units and up to 10,010 SF of commercial uses.
- 7. The project is estimated to generate 40 AM peak commuter hour trips and 140 PM peak commuter hour trips upon buildout.
- 8. Under future 2024 traffic conditions, with the development of the subject site, intersection levels of service would remain generally consistent with existing and background conditions. The analyses show that the Fairfax Boulevard signalized intersections will continue to operate at LOS "C" or better during the AM and PM commuter peak periods.

- 9. All unsignalized intersection and access drive approaches will operate at LOS "B" or better during each of the studied peak periods.
- 10. Access to the commercial portion of the site will be via one full access driveway along Fairfax Boulevard and one right-in/right-out/left-out driveway on Walnut Street. Access to the northern residential portion of the site will be provided via one full access driveway along Walnut Street. Access to the southern residential portion of the site will be provided via one full access driveway along Oak Street.
- 11. The Applicant intends to improve the roadway geometrics at the Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue intersection by reconstructing the intersection as a four-leg, stop controlled intersection in order to enhance vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle safety.
- 12. Access to the existing Breezeway Motel is currently provided at two locations along Fairfax Boulevard. The Applicant intends to consolidate these access drives to a single location providing enhanced access management along this arterial roadway.
- 13. An updated alternative analysis has been added in this revision of the study to include the added impact of the potential redevelopment of the American Legion (Toll Brothers) site on the east side of Oak Street per the latest available development proposal for that site. Since the application for that redevelopment is not currently approved, this additional assessment is provided for informational purposes. Further, as requested by Staff, the Applicant has coordinated with the developer of that site to better align the Oak Street site driveway with their relocated driveway. Also included in this alternative assessment are potential future improvements (by others) along Walnut Street to include a bike lane along the northbound travelway. The results of these alternative analyses indicate that both background and total future conditions would be generally consistent with those presented in this study that do not include the American Legion (Toll Brothers) redevelopment or the Walnut Street bike lane improvements. This is primarily due to the relatively low increase in site traffic that would result from that redevelopment and the excess capacity along Oak Street that can adequately accommodate the additional traffic. Additional details regarding this additional alternative analysis are presented in Appendix F.

Figure 1-1
Site Location






Figure 1-2 Concept Plan

PLAN PROVIDED BY: ATCS, P.L.C.



SECTION 2 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Location and Surrounding Uses

As shown in Figure 1-1, the site is regionally located approximately 1/3 mile east of Main Street on Fairfax Boulevard in the City of Fairfax. Regional Access is provided by I-66 via Lee Jackson Memorial Highway/Main Street and Chain Bridge Road. Fairfax Boulevard/Arlington Boulevard provides access to/from I-495 (the Capital Beltway).

Properties immediately south of the site are generally residential in nature while commercial uses are predominantly along Fairfax Boulevard.

Comprehensive Plan Land Use Recommendations

The City's Comprehensive Plan shows the subject parcels as Commercial Corridor and Multifamily Neighborhood on the Future Land Use Map.

Existing Transportation Network

<u>Existing Road Network</u>. The following are descriptions of the roadways in the vicinity of the proposed development.

<u>Fairfax Boulevard</u> is classified as an arterial roadway according to the City of Fairfax Comprehensive Plan. Within the vicinity of the subject site, Fairfax Boulevard is constructed as a five-lane, undivided roadway with a center two-way left turn lane and a posted speed limit of 35 miles per hour. Traffic signals are provided at major cross-streets including Main Street, Fairchester Drive/Walnut Street, and Meredith Drive/Oak Street. Based on 2018 VDOT average annual daily traffic (AADT) data, Fairfax Boulevard east of Main Street carries approximately 37,000 vehicles per day (vpd). This roadway currently provides access to the Breezeway Motel via two driveways.

<u>Main Street</u> is also classified by the Comprehensive Plan as an arterial roadway and is constructed as a four-lane, median-divided roadway with a posted speed limit of 35 miles per hour. Based on 2018 VDOT AADT data, Main Street east of the Kamp Washington intersection carries approximately 38,000 vpd.

<u>Walnut Street</u> is a two-lane north-south undivided roadway with a width of approximately 33 feet. Walnut Street currently provides access to residential and commercial properties south of Fairfax Boulevard and will provide access to the proposed development.

<u>Cedar Avenue</u> is a two-lane east-west discontinuous roadway. The section of Cedar Avenue west of Oak Street is approximately 30 feet in width. Cedar Avenue currently provides access to the Fairfax Garden Apartments but will not provide direct access to general site traffic for the proposed development.

<u>Oak Street</u> is a two-lane north-south undivided roadway with a width of approximately 33 feet. Oak Street provides access to residential and commercial properties south of Fairfax Boulevard and to Paul VI Catholic High School via Cedar Avenue. Oak Street will provide access to the proposed development.

<u>Second Street</u> is a two-lane east-west undivided roadway with a width of between 24 and 36 feet. Second Street is approximately two (2) blocks in length and connects Fairfax Boulevard to the west with Oak Street to the east.

Existing lane use and traffic control at each of the study intersections is shown on Figure 2-1.

<u>Public Transit Service</u>. The site is served by the City of Fairfax's City-University Energysaver (CUE) Bus "Gold Route" along Main Street and Warwick Avenue This service provides access between the George Mason University (GMU) campus and the Vienna/Fairfax-GMU metrorail station, via University Drive, Chain Bridge Road, West Street, Main Street, Lee Highway, Jermantown Road, Orchard Street, Bevan Drive, Warwick Avenue and Fairfax Boulevard. Additionally, the site is served by the "Green Route" which provides service between the GMU campus, Old Town Fairfax, and the Vienna/Fairfax-GMU metrorail station via University Drive, Chain Bridge Road, Eaton Place, Fairfax Boulevard, Fairfax Circle, Arlington Boulevard, Nutley Street, Virginia Center Boulevard, Old Pickett Road, Pickett Road, Main Street, North Street, and George Mason Boulevard.

<u>Pedestrian Facilities</u>. Concrete sidewalks are provided along both sides of Fairfax Boulevard Walnut Street, Oak Street, and Cedar Avenue site frontages. Marked crosswalks are provided across the north, south, and east legs of the Fairfax Boulevard/Meredith Drive/Oak Street and the Fairfax Boulevard/Walnut Street/Fairchester Drive signalized intersections; and across all legs of the Cedar Avenue/Oak Street/Panther Place unsignalized intersection. A mid-block crosswalk is provided along Oak Street between Cedar Avenue and Second Street.

Future Transportation Network

The City of Fairfax's Comprehensive Plan provides recommended strategies for the improvement of the City's transportation network. In general, the Plan recommends that the City should strive to achieve a balance between allowing for the efficient movement of traffic and providing safe and convenient access to City businesses and residences for vehicles, pedestrians, bicycles, and other modes of transport. In terms of roadway operational improvements, the Plan recommends that through traffic should be encouraged to utilize the City's arterial system (cf. Comprehensive Plan, Strategy T-7.4.1). Therefore, no specific capacity improvements (i.e., roadway widening) are recommended for the collector streets that immediately surround the subject site. Any improvements to these streets should focus on enhancing safety and the mobility of pedestrians, bicycles, and public transit.

The design of the existing Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue intersection is not conventional. The Walnut Street and Cedar Avenue approaches are separated by a triangular median island. Two-way traffic is permitted along each side of the median island that results in multiple conflict points and is potentially confusing to drivers as to who has right-of-way when traversing the intersection. The Applicant intends to improve this situation by reconstructing the intersection as a traditional four-leg, stop controlled intersection. This redesign will enhance vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle safety.

Additional potential improvements along Walnut Street are considered in the alternative future analysis that also includes the redevelopment of the American Legion/Toll Brothers site. As discussed with Staff, these improvements may include the inclusion of a bike lane along the northbound travelway of Walnut Street and the reduction of Walnut Street from two northbound lanes to a single northbound lane between Cedar Avenue and Fairfax Avenue.





Figure 2-1
Existing Lane Use, Traffic Control and Levels of Service





SECTION 3 STUDY SCOPE AND ANALYSIS PARAMETERS

Overview

The subject site is located south of Fairfax Boulevard, east of Walnut Street, and west of Oak Street in the City of Fairfax, Virginia. The subject property is comprised of five parcels totaling 4.63 acres north and south of Cedar Avenue. The parcel developed with the existing Breezeway Motel is zoned DR (Commercial Retail) and the parcels developed with existing residential uses are zoned RMF (Residential Multifamily) and RH (Residential High).

The primary objective of this study is to assess the impacts of the proposed development plan on the surrounding street system.

This traffic study was conducted in accordance with the scoping document and discussions with Wells + Associates, City staff, and the Applicant and has been subsequently revised based on plan revisions and discussions with City Staff. A traffic study scoping meeting was held on June 25, 2019, and resulted in a scoping form dated July 3, 2019 that is provided in Appendix A. As previously noted, the revised development plan includes up to 10,010 SF of commercial space and 62 dwelling units. Additionally, site access has been updated per the current development plan.

Study Area

The study area was determined based on the intersections and roadways that potentially would be affected by implementation of the proposed development plan. The following intersections were selected for analysis and evaluation:

- Fairfax Boulevard/Meredith Drive/Oak Street
- Fairfax Boulevard/Fairchester Drive, Walnut Street
- Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue
- Walnut Street/Second Street
- Oak Street/Second Street
- Oak Street/Cedar Avenue-Panther Place
- All Site Access Drives

Site Development Program

The Applicant is proposing to redevelop the property with 62 residential units to include townhomes and stacked condos. A commercial building with up to 10,010 SF of space is proposed along Fairfax Boulevard.

Analysis Study Periods

The intersections within the study area were analyzed under AM and PM commuter peak hour conditions.

Existing Traffic Volumes


Existing AM and PM commuter peak hour turning movements and pedestrian counts were conducted on Thursday, July 11, 2019, at the study intersections from 6:00 AM to 9:00 AM and from 4:00 PM to 7:00 PM. These counts were compared to counts at the Fairfax Boulevard study intersection conducted when school was in session on Wednesday, February 3, 2016 and Thursday, March 1, 2018 after deducting traffic generated by the soon to be closed Paul VI Catholic High School. This comparison indicates that the current (July 11, 2019) counts were between 7% and 23% higher than counts collected during the school year (adjusted to reflect the closure of Paul VI) during the AM peak hour and between 3% and 6% higher than counts collected during the school year (adjusted to reflect the closure of Paul VI) during the PM peak hour.

Based on this comparison, the higher current (July 11, 2019) counts were utilized in this traffic analysis. Additionally, counts along Fairfax Boulevard were balanced between the Walnut Street/Fairchester Drive and Oak Street/Meredith Drive intersections in both directions by choosing the higher of the entering and exiting volumes at each intersection.

The existing vehicular traffic volumes balanced as described above are provided on Figure 3-1. All existing count data is included in Appendix B.



Figure 3-1
Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes



SECTION 4 EXISTING CONDITIONS ANALYSIS

Existing Intersection Levels of Service

Peak hour levels of service were calculated for the study intersections based on the existing lane use and traffic controls shown on Figure 2-1, the existing traffic volumes shown on Figure 3-1, and the 2000 <u>Highway Capacity Manual</u> (HCM) analysis procedures for signalized and unsignalized intersections. The results are presented in Appendix C and summarized on Table 4-1.

The analyses show that the signalized intersections along Fairfax Boulevard currently operate at level of service "C" (LOS "C") or better during the AM and PM peak commuter periods. The side street approaches to the signalized intersections operate at LOS "E" and "F" with average delays between 75 seconds and 110 seconds. However, the volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratios for the side street approaches at intersections along Fairfax Boulevard are well below 1.0, indicating that the lengthy delays are the result of long cycle lengths (190 seconds during the AM commuter peak hour and 220 seconds during the PM commuter peak hours) and the assignment of the predominance of the green time to the Fairfax Boulevard approaches, rather than insufficient capacity.

All approaches at the unsignalized intersections of Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue, Walnut Street/Second Street, Oak Street/Second Street, and Oak Street/Cedar Avenue – Panther Place operate at LOS "A" during each of the peak periods.

Table 4-1Breezeway Property
Existing Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary

		Intersection	Auguseach	<u>Exis</u>	ting
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM Peak	PM Peak
1.	Fairfax Boulevard &	Signal	EB Appr	B (17.8)	A (8.8)
	Meredith		WB Appr	B (14.9)	B (17.3)
	Drive/Oak Street		NB Appr	F (87.1)	F (100.2)
			SB Appr	F (88.4)	F (102.4)
			Overall	C (21.2)	B (18.7)
2.	Fairfax Boulevard &	Signal	EB Appr	B (13.0)	A (8.0)
	Fairchester		WB Appr	A (3.0)	A (1.7)
	Drive/Walnut		NB Appr	E (76.5)	F (90.3)
	Street		SB Appr	F (92.7)	F (105.7)
			Overall	B (14.6)	A (9.4)
3.	Walnut	Stop	EB Appr	A (0.0)	A (9.4)
	Street/Cedar		WB Appr	A (9.4)	A (9.6)
	Avenue		NB Appr	A (0.4)	A (0.1)
			SB Appr	A (3.2)	A (1.5)
			Overall	A (2.4)	A (2.5)
4.	Walnut	Stop	EB Appr	A (7.5)	A (7.3)
	Street/Second		WB Appr	A (7.2)	A (7.3)
	Street		NB Appr	A (7.8)	A (7.5)
			SB Appr	A (7.5)	A (7.7)
			Overall	A (7.7)	A (7.6)
5.	Oak Street/Second	Stop	EB Appr	A (7.0)	A (7.1)
	Street		NB Appr	A (7.7)	A (7.7)
			SB Appr	A (7.8)	A (7.7)
			Overall	A (7.7)	A (7.7)
6.	Oak Street/Cedar	Stop	EB Appr	A (8.1)	A (7.6)
	Avenue/Panther		WB Appr	A (8.0)	A (7.5)
	Place		NB Appr	A (8.1)	A (7.9)
			SB Appr	A (8.4)	A (7.9)
			Overall	A (8.2)	A (7.8)

SECTION 5

ANALYSIS OF FUTURE CONDITIONS WITHOUT SITE DEVELOPMENT

Overview

Forecasts for traffic conditions <u>without the redevelopment of the Breezeway Property</u> were estimated at the study intersections based on a composite of existing traffic regional traffic growth, and pipeline development trips as described below. Future levels of service under these forecasted conditions were evaluated at the study intersections.

Regional Traffic Growth

A review of VDOT AADT volumes along Fairfax Boulevard and Main Street in the vicinity of the site indicates modest growth in traffic volumes over the past five (5) years. AADT volumes along Fairfax Boulevard east of Main Street rose from 36,000 vehicles in 2013 to 37,000 vehicles in 2018, an average annual increase of approximately 0.55% per year.

Based on these findings, existing traffic volumes were increased by 0.55% per year to the anticipated build-out of the site in 2024.

Traffic from Other Approved/Pending Developments

At the request of City staff, the following approved/pending developments were included as approved (i.e., "pipeline") developments:

- Novus Fairfax Gateway
 - 4,000 SF Office
 - 5,000 SF Quality Restaurant
 - 7,400 SF High Turn-Over Sit-Down Restaurant
 - 12,600 SF Shopping Center
 - 395 Residential Apartments
- Paul VI Redevelopment
 - 259 Residential Condominiums/Townhouses
 - 7 Single Family Dwelling Units
 - 24,000 SF of Community Space
 - 20,000 SF of Retail Space

As shown in Table 5-1, these pipeline developments are anticipated to generate 543 AM peak commuter hour trips and 912 PM commuter peak hour trips at full buildout. It is noted that not all of these trips will utilize the study intersections along Fairfax Boulevard, Walnut Street and Oak Street.

An additional alternative background conditions analysis in included in Appendix F that includes the potential redevelopment of the American Legion (Toll Brothers) site located on the east side of Oak Street. Since that development application is not currently approved, this additional analysis is provided for informational purposes only.

Table 5-1
Breezeway Property - City of Fairfax
Background Development Trip Generation

Land Use	Amount	Units							ADT	
Code	e		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total		
710	4,000	SF	5	1	6	1	5	6	4	
931	5,000	SF	2	2	4	25	12	37	4	
932	7,400	SF	44	36	80	44	29	73	ç	
820	12,600	SF	27	17	44	72	78	150	1,	
220	395	DU	<u>39</u>	<u>158</u>	<u>197</u>	<u>153</u>	82	235	2,	
			117	214	331	295	206	501	5,	
232	144	DU	13	58	71	40	24	64	7	
210	7	DU	4	11	15	6	4	10	9	
230	115	DU	<u>10</u>	<u>48</u>	<u>58</u>	45	22	<u>67</u>	7	
	266	DU	27	117	144	91	50	141	1,	
495	24,000	SF	32	17	49	32	34	66	8	
820	20,000	SF	12	<u>7</u>	<u>19</u>	98	106	204	2,	
	44,000	SF	44	24	68	130	140	270	3,	
			71	141	212	221	190	411	4,	
			188	355	543	516	396	912	10	
	710 931 932 820 220 232 210 230	710 4,000 931 5,000 932 7,400 820 12,600 220 395 232 144 210 7 230 115 266 495 24,000 820 20,000	710 4,000 SF 931 5,000 SF 932 7,400 SF 820 12,600 SF 220 395 DU 232 144 DU 210 7 DU 230 115 DU 266 DU 495 24,000 SF 820 20,000 SF	710	710	710	710	710	710	

Notes: (1) Based on Trip Generation from Development Traffic Impact Studies

Background Traffic Forecasts

The existing traffic volumes depicted on Figure 3-1, regional traffic growth, and the pipeline trip assignments shown on Figure 5-1 were added together to yield the background future traffic forecasts at the study intersections, shown on Figure 5-2.

Background Future Levels of Service

Peak hour levels of service were calculated for the study intersections based on the existing lane use and traffic controls, background future traffic forecasts, and the 2000 <u>Highway Capacity Manual</u> (HCM) analysis procedures for signalized and unsignalized intersections. The results are provided in Appendix D, shown on Figure 5-3, and summarized in Table 5-2.

The analyses show that the signalized intersections along Fairfax Boulevard will continue to operate at level of service "C" (LOS "C") or better during the AM and PM peak commuter periods. The side street approaches to the signalized intersections will continue to operate at LOS "E" and "F" with average delays between 75 seconds and 105 seconds. However, the volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratios for the side street approaches at intersections along Fairfax Boulevard will be well below 1.0, indicating that the lengthy delays will be the result of long cycle lengths (190 seconds during the AM commuter peak hour and 220 seconds during the PM commuter peak hours) and the assignment of the predominance of the green time to the Fairfax Boulevard approaches, rather than insufficient capacity.

All approaches at the unsignalized intersections of Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue, Walnut Street/Second Street, Oak Street/Second Street, and Oak Street/Cedar Avenue – Panther Place will operate at LOS "B" or better during each of the peak periods.

As previously noted, an additional alternative analysis is included in Appendix F that also includes the potential redevelopment of the (not currently approved) American Legion (Toll Brothers) redevelopment on the east side of Oak Street. The results of this additional analysis is generally consistent with the results summarized in Table 5-2 below with additional delays of less than 2 seconds/vehicle for any intersection approach included in the study.

Table 5-2Breezeway Property
Background Future Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary

	ound ruture intersection capacity Analysi	Intersection		Exis	ting	Background Futu		
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM Peak	PM Peak	AM Peak	PM Peak	
1.	Fairfax Boulevard & Meredith Drive/Oak	Signal	EB Appr	B (17.8)	A (8.8)	B (17.2)	A (8.1)	
	Street		WB Appr	B (14.9)	B (17.3)	B (13.8)	B (17.2)	
			NB Appr	F (87.1)	F (100.2)	F (84.4)	F (100.3)	
			SB Appr	F (88.4)	F (102.4)	F (88.3)	F (104.7)	
			Overall	C (21.2)	B (18.7)	C (20.3)	B (17.9)	
2.	Fairfax Boulevard & Fairchester	Signal	EB Appr	B (13.0)	A (8.0)	B (12.8)	A (8.3)	
	Drive/Walnut Street		WB Appr	A (3.0)	A (1.7)	A (2.8)	A (1.7)	
			NB Appr	E (76.5)	F (90.3)	E (76.6)	F (90.4)	
			SB Appr	F (92.7)	F (105.7)	F (91.7)	F (103.9)	
			Overall	B (14.6)	A (9.4)	B (14.0)	A (8.9)	
3.	Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue	Stop	EB Appr	A (0.0)	A (9.4)	B (10.7)	A (9.4)	
			WB Appr	A (9.4)	A (9.6)	A (9.4)	A (9.5)	
			NB Appr	A (0.4)	A (0.1)	A (0.4)	A (0.1)	
			SB Appr	A (3.2)	A (1.5)	A (3.3)	A (1.7)	
			Overall	A (2.4)	A (2.5)	A (2.6)	A (2.5)	
4.	Walnut Street/Second Street	Stop	EB Appr	A (7.5)	A (7.3)	A (7.4)	A (7.3)	
			WB Appr	A (7.2)	A (7.3)	A (7.2)	A (7.3)	
			NB Appr	A (7.8)	A (7.5)	A (7.8)	A (7.5)	
			SB Appr	A (7.5)	A (7.7)	A (7.4)	A (7.6)	
			Overall	A (7.7)	A (7.6)	A (7.6)	A (7.5)	
5.	Oak Street/Second Street	Stop	EB Appr	A (7.0)	A (7.1)	A (7.0)	A (7.1)	
			NB Appr	A (7.7)	A (7.7)	A (7.8)	A (7.8)	
			SB Appr	A (7.8)	A (7.7)	A (7.9)	A (7.8)	
			Overall	A (7.7)	A (7.7)	A (7.8)	A (7.8)	
6.	Oak Street/Cedar Avenue/Panther Place	Stop	EB Appr	A (8.1)	A (7.6)	A (8.1)	A (7.6)	
			WB Appr	A (8.0)	A (7.5)	A (8.3)	A (7.8)	
			NB Appr	A (8.1)	A (7.9)	A (8.2)	A (8.0)	
			SB Appr	A (8.4)	A (7.9)	A (8.5)	A (8.0)	
			Overall	A (8.2)	A (7.8)	A (8.3)	A (7.9)	



Figure 5-1
Pipeline Development Traffic Assignments
Includes Novus Fairfax Gateway and Paul VI Redevelopment







Figure 5-2 2024 Background Future Peak Hour Traffic Forecasts With Pipeline Developments







Figure 5-3 2024 Background Lane Use, Traffic Control and Levels of Service





SECTION 6 SITE ANALYSIS

Overview

Trips anticipated to be generated by the proposed development plan were forecasted and assigned to the surrounding roadway network. The generation, distribution, and assignment of site trips were based on the proposed redevelopment plan and program, as well as the locations of future site entrances in relation to the surrounding roadway network.

Existing Site Trips

As stated previously, the site is currently developed with the 50-room Breezeway Motel, the 38-unit Fairfax Garden Apartments, and four (4) single family homes. The redevelopment plan calls for the elimination of these uses and the construction of 62 residential townhouse units and a commercial building with up to 10,010 SF of space. Additionally, while traffic counts were conducted during the summer, Paul VI Catholic School generated some traffic as exhibited by the traffic counts at the Oak Street/Cedar Avenue — Panther Place intersection. To provide a conservative analysis of future traffic conditions with the site, trips generated by the existing site uses and the activities at Paul VI Catholic School were not eliminated from the existing roadway network.

Proposed Site Access

The site plan provided on Figure 1-2 shows that access to the northern commercial portion of the site is proposed at two locations, one full-movement driveway along Fairfax Boulevard approximately 250' east of Walnut Street – Fairchester Drive and a Right-In/Right-Out/Left-Out driveway along Walnut Street. Access to the northern residential portion of the site will be provided via a full-movement driveway on Walnut Street south of the commercial driveway. Access to the southern residential parcel will be provided along Oak Street approximately 300' south of Cedar Avenue – Panther Place.

Trip Generation

<u>Overview</u>. Trip generation estimates for the AM and PM peak hours, as well as the average daily traffic, were derived from the standard Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) trip generation rates, as published in the <u>Trip Generation Manual</u>, 10th edition. The "Multi-family Housing – Low-rise" (220) land use code was used for the proposed townhomes units. For purposes of this assessment, the "Shopping Center" (820) land use code was used for the commercial component; however, it is noted that another commercial use other than general retail may ultimately fill all or a portion of the commercial space.

The trip generation analysis for the existing and proposed uses is presented in Table 6-1 and reflects a reduction in peak hour and daily trips from the previous study submission. When compared to the existing uses on site, the proposed development plan would result in an

overall increase of two (2) additional AM peak hour trips, an overall increase of approximately 97 additional trips during the PM peak hour and approximately 1,237 additional daily trips. For purposes of this study, existing trips were not removed from the road network, and the total 40 AM peak hour trips and 140 PM peak hour trips for the proposed uses were added to the road network.

Table 6-1 Breezeway Property

ITE Trin Generation 10th Edition

			AM Peak Hour PM Peak Hour			<u>AM Peak Hour</u> <u>PM Peak Hour</u>	AM Peak Hour			<u>ur</u>	Daily
Land Use	Ref	Size	Units	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	Total	
Existing Site Uses											
Motel	320	50	Rooms	8	13	21	11	10	21	152	
Multifamily (Low Rise)	220	6	D.U.	1	2	3	2	1	3	44	
Multifamily (Mid-Rise)	221	32	D.U.	3	8	11	9	6	15	173	
Single-Family Detached	210	4	D.U.	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	38	
Subtotal Existing Uses				13	25	38	25	18	43	407	
Proposed Development Plan											
Town Homes - North Land Bay	220	20	D.U.	2	8	10	9	5	14	110	
Town Homes - South Land Bay	220	42	D.U.	5	16	21	17	10	27	277	
Commercial - Retail Shopping Center	820	10,010	SF	<u>6</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>9</u>	48	<u>51</u>	99	1,257	
Subtotal Proposed Uses				13	27	40	74	66	140	1,644	
Net Difference: Approved vs. Proposed	i			0	2	2	49	48	97	1,237	

It should be noted that no reduction in site generated trips due to transit mode split was taken in this analysis. However, it is anticipated that the project would take advantage of public transit opportunities available in the proximity of the site.

Site Trip Distribution

As agreed upon in the scope with City staff, site trip distribution used in the analysis was based on existing travel patterns and engineering judgment. For purposes of this analysis, the following distribution was used in the forecasting of future site traffic:

To/From:	Residential	Commercial
West on Lee Highway/Fairfax Boulevard:	35%	35%
Northeast on Fairfax Boulevard:	50%	45%
Southeast on Main Street:	15%	15%
North on Fairchester Drive/Meredith Drive	_0%_	<u>5%</u>
TOTAL	100%	100%

Figure 6-1 graphically illustrates this trip distribution.

Site Trip Assignments

The assignments of the total vehicle trips generated upon the future build-out of the Breezeway Property redevelopment was based on the above distribution and are depicted on Figures 6-2A and 6-2B.



Figure 6-1 Site Traffic Directions of Approach Breezeway Property






Figure 6-2A 2024 Site Traffic Assignments (Residential & Commercial) Study Intersections







Figure 6-2B Site Traffic Assignments (Residential & Commercial) Site Driveways



SECTION 7 ANALYSIS OF FUTURE CONDITIONS WITH SITE DEVELOPMENT

Total Future Traffic Forecasts

Site trip assignments shown on Figures 6-2A and 6-2B were added to the background traffic forecasts to yield 2024 total future traffic forecasts, shown on Figures 7-1A and 7-1B.

Proposed Improvements

The design of the existing Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue intersection is not conventional. The Walnut Street and Cedar Avenue approaches are separated by a triangular median island. Two-way traffic is permitted along each side of the median island that results in multiple conflict points and is potentially confusing to drivers as to who has right-of-way when traversing the intersection. The Applicant intends to improve this situation by reconstructing the intersection as a four-leg, stop controlled intersection. This redesign will enhance vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle safety.

Additionally, access to the existing Breezeway Motel is currently provided at two locations along Fairfax Boulevard. The Applicant intends to consolidate these access drives to a single location providing enhanced access management along this arterial roadway.

Lane use and traffic control at each of the study intersections for 2024 total future conditions is shown on Figure 7-2A and 7-2B.

Total Future Levels of Service with Proposed Development Plan

Future levels of service with the proposed development plan were determined at the study intersections based on the future traffic volumes shown on Figures 7-1A and 7-1B, future lane use and traffic control shown on Figures 7-2A and 7-2B, and the 2000 HCM methodologies for signalized and unsignalized intersections calculated using the Synchro 10 traffic analysis software. The results of these analyses are provided in Appendix E and summarized in Table 7-1.

As shown in Table 7-1, levels of service under future site development conditions would remain generally consistent with future background conditions (i.e., without site development).

The analyses show that the signalized intersections along Fairfax Boulevard will continue to operate at level of service "C" (LOS "C") or better during the AM and PM peak commuter periods. The side street approaches to the signalized intersections will continue to operate at LOS "E" and "F" with average delays between 75 seconds and 110 seconds. However, the volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratios for the side street approaches at intersections along Fairfax Boulevard will be well below 1.0, indicating that the lengthy delays will be the result of long cycle lengths (190 seconds during the AM commuter peak hour and 220 seconds during the PM commuter peak hours) and the assignment of the predominance of the green time to the Fairfax Boulevard approaches, rather than insufficient capacity. These analyses results are consistent with the previous study submissions.

Each of the approaches at the unsignalized intersections of Walnut Street/Second Street, Oak Street/Second Street, Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue, and Oak Street/Cedar Avenue — Panther Place will operate at LOS "A" during each of the peak periods.

As previously noted, an additional alternative analysis is included in Appendix F that also includes the potential redevelopment of the (not currently approved) American Legion (Toll Brothers) redevelopment on the east side of Oak Street as a pipeline development. Those alternative future analyses also include potential future improvements (by others) along the northbound travelway on Walnut Street to include a bike lane and the reduction from two northbound lanes to one northbound lane between Cedar Avenue and Fairfax Boulevard. The results of these additional analyses are generally consistent with the results summarized in Table 7-1 below with additions to overall intersection delays of less than 2 seconds/vehicle.

Table 7-1Breezeway Property

Total Future Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary

Total Future Intersection Capacity Analysi	Intersection		Existing		Backgrou	nd Future	Total	Future
Intersection	Control	Approach						PM Peak
Fairfax Boulevard & Meredith Drive/Oak	Signal	EB Appr		PM Peak	_	PM Peak	_	
Street	Signal	EB Appr	B (17.8)	A (8.8)	B (17.2) B (13.8)	A (8.1) B (17.2)	B (18.5) B (14.2)	A (9.3)
Succi		WB Appr	B (14.9)	B (17.3)				B (17.6)
		NB Appr	F (87.1)	F (100.2)	F (84.4)	F (100.3)	F (84.3)	F (100.3)
		SB Appr	F (88.4)	F (102.4)	F (88.3)	F (104.7)	F (88.3)	F (104.7)
Fairfax Boulevard & Fairchester	Signal	Overall	C (21.2)	B (18.7)	C (20.3)		C (21.5)	B (18.6)
2. Fairfax Boulevard & Fairchester Drive/Walnut Street	Signal	EB Appr	B (13.0)	A (8.0)	B (12.8)		B (12.9)	A (8.6)
Diverwallut Street		WB Appr	A (3.0)	A (1.7)	A (2.8)	A (1.7)	A (2.6)	B (11.9)
		NB Appr	E (76.5)	F (90.3)	E (76.6)	F (90.4)	E (76.5)	F (90.7)
		SB Appr	F (92.7)	F (105.7)	F (91.7)	F (103.9)	F (92.9)	F (105.9)
Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue	Stop	Overall	B (14.6)	A (9.4)	B (14.0)	A (8.9)	B (14.3)	B (15.4)
3. Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue	Stop	EB Appr	A (0.0)	A (9.4)	B (10.7)	A (9.4)	B (10.8)	A (7.3)
		WB Appr	A (9.4)	A (9.6)	A (9.4)	A (9.5)	A (9.4)	A (7.4)
		NB Appr	A (0.4)	A (0.1)	A (0.4)	A (0.1)	A (0.4)	A (7.6)
		SB Appr	A (3.2)	A (1.5)	A (3.3)	A (1.7)	A (3.3)	A (7.8)
	-	Overall	A (2.4)	A (2.5)	A (2.6)	A (2.5)	A (2.6)	A (7.6)
4. Walnut Street/Second Street	Stop	EB Appr	A (7.5)	A (7.3)	A (7.4)	A (7.3)	A (7.4)	A (7.4)
		WB Appr	A (7.2)	A (7.3)	A (7.2)	A (7.3)	A (7.2)	A (7.3)
Colo Charlifferand Charl		NB Appr	A (7.8)	A (7.5)	A (7.8)	A (7.5)	A (7.8)	A (7.6)
		SB Appr	A (7.5)	A (7.7)	A (7.4)	A (7.6)	A (7.5)	A (7.7)
		Overall	A (7.7)	A (7.6)	A (7.6)	A (7.5)	A (7.6)	A (7.6)
5. Oak Street/Second Street	Stop	EB Appr	A (7.0)	A (7.1)	A (7.0)	A (7.1)	A (7.1)	A (7.1)
		NB Appr	A (7.7)	A (7.7)	A (7.8)	A (7.8)	A (7.8)	A (7.9)
		SB Appr	A (7.8)	A (7.7)	A (7.9)	A (7.8)	A (7.9)	A (7.8)
		Overall	A (7.7)	A (7.7)	A (7.8)	A (7.8)	A (7.8)	A (7.8)
Oak Street/Cedar Avenue/Panther Place	Stop	EB Appr	A (8.1)	A (7.6)	A (8.1)	A (7.6)	A (8.2)	A (7.6)
		WB Appr	A (8.0)	A (7.5)	A (8.3)	A (7.8)	A (8.3)	A (7.8)
		NB Appr	A (8.1)	A (7.9)	A (8.2)	A (8.0)	A (8.3)	A (8.1)
		SB Appr	A (8.4)	A (7.9)	A (8.5)	A (8.0)	A (8.5)	A (8.1)
		Overall	A (8.2)	A (7.8)	A (8.3)	A (7.9)	A (8.3)	A (8.0)
A. Fairfax Boulevard/ Site Driveway	Stop	EB Appr					A (0.0)	A (0.0)
		WB Appr	Future In	tersection	Future In	tersection	A (0.1)	A (6.2)
		NB Appr	r dedre in	tersection	ruture intersection		B (10.6)	B (12.8)
		Overall					B (0.0)	A (0.5)
B. Walnut Street/ Commercial Site Driveway	Stop	WB Appr					A (8.7)	A (9.1)
		NB Appr	Future In	tersection	Future In	tersection	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
		SB Appr	i uture iii	tersection	ruture iii	tersection	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
		Overall					A (0.0)	A (1.1)
C.Walnut Street/ Residential Site Driveway	Stop	WB Appr					A (9.2)	A (9.0)
		NB Appr	Future le	tersection	Future In	tersection	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
		SB Appr	ruture in	tersection	r uture in	tersection	A (0.2)	A (0.5)
		Overall					A (0.4)	A (0.5)
D.Oak Street/ Residential Driveway	Stop	EB Appr					B (10.0)	B (10.0)
		NB Appr	Euterna !=	torroction	Eutrop In	torroction	A (0.1)	A (0.2)
		SB Appr	ruture in	tersection	ruture in	tersection	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
		Overall	<u> </u>				A (0.6)	A (0.4)




Figure 7-1A 2024 Total Future Peak Hour Traffic Forecasts Study Intersections







Figure 7-1B 2024 Total Future Peak hour Traffic Forecasts Site Driveways



NORTH
Pulte Group, Inc.
City of Fairfax, Virginia



Figure 7-2A 2024 Total Future Lane Use, Traffic Control and Levels of Service Study Intersections








Figure 7-2B 2024 Total Future Lane Use, Traffic Control and Levels of Service Site Driveways



- Approach LOS - AM/PM

- Intersection LOS - AM/PM





SECTION 8 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results of this revised traffic impact study, the following may be concluded:

- 1. The Fairfax Boulevard/Oak Street Meredith Drive and Fairfax Boulevard/Walnut Street Fairchester Drive signalized intersections currently operate at an overall LOS "C" or better during the AM and PM commuter peak periods based on Highway Capacity Manual calculations using the Synchro 10 traffic analysis software. Side street approaches at these intersections currently operate at LOS "E" or "F" during the peak periods due to long cycle lengths and the assignment of most of the green time to the Fairfax Boulevard approaches.
- 2. Historic VDOT traffic data indicates that average daily traffic counts along Fairfax Boulevard have increased by approximately 0.55% per year between 2013 and 2018.
- 3. The Novus Fairfax Gateway (Fairfield at Gateway The Moxley) and Paul VI Redevelopment approved pipeline developments are anticipated to generate 543 AM commuter peak hour trips and 912 PM commuter peak hour trips at full buildout.
- 4. Under future 2024 traffic conditions, minimal increases in delay at the study intersections are expected due to the trips generated by approved pipeline developments in the vicinity of the site and overall levels of service would remain generally consistent with existing conditions.
- 5. The site is currently developed with the 50-room Breezeway Motel, the 38-unit Fairfax Garden Apartments, and four (4) single family homes.
- 6. The Applicant proposes to redevelop the site with 62 residential townhouse units and up to 10,010 SF of commercial uses.
- 7. The project is estimated to generate 40 AM peak commuter hour trips and 140 PM peak commuter hour trips upon buildout.
- 8. Under future 2024 traffic conditions, with the development of the subject site, intersection levels of service would remain generally consistent with existing and background conditions. The analyses show that the Fairfax Boulevard signalized intersections will continue to operate at LOS "C" or better during the AM and PM commuter peak periods.
- 9. All unsignalized intersection and access drive approaches will operate at LOS "B" or better during each of the studied peak periods.
- 10. Access to the commercial portion of the site will be via one full access driveway along Fairfax Boulevard and one right-in/right-out/left-out driveway on Walnut Street. Access to the northern residential portion of the site will be provided via one full access

- driveway along Walnut Street. Access to the southern residential portion of the site will be provided via one full access driveway along Oak Street.
- 11. The Applicant intends to improve the roadway geometrics at the Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue intersection by reconstructing the intersection as a four-leg, stop controlled intersection in order to enhance vehicular, pedestrian and bicycle safety.
- 12. Access to the existing Breezeway Motel is currently provided at two locations along Fairfax Boulevard. The Applicant intends to consolidate these access drives to a single location providing enhanced access management along this arterial roadway.
- 13. An updated alternative analysis has been added in this revision of the study to include the added impact of the potential redevelopment of the American Legion (Toll Brothers) site on the east side of Oak Street per the latest available development proposal for that site. Since the application for that redevelopment is not currently approved, this additional assessment is provided for informational purposes. Further, as requested by Staff, the Applicant has coordinated with the developer of that site to better align the Oak Street site driveway with their relocated driveway. Also included in this alternative assessment are potential future improvements (by others) along Walnut Street to include a bike lane along the northbound travelway. The results of these alternative analyses indicate that both background and total future conditions would be generally consistent with those presented in this study that do not include the American Legion (Toll Brothers) redevelopment or the Walnut Street bike lane improvements. This is primarily due to the relatively low increase in site traffic that would result from that redevelopment and the excess capacity along Oak Street that can adequately accommodate the additional traffic. Additional details regarding this additional alternative analysis are presented in Appendix F.

APPENDIX A City of Fairfax Scoping Agreement



SCOPE OF WORK MEETING FORM

Information on the Project Traffic Impact Analysis Base Assumptions

ROUTE 50 BREEZEWAY PROPERTY CITY OF FAIRFAX, VIRGINIA July 3, 2019 Scoping Meeting Held June 25, 2019

Contact Information Christopher Turnbull - Wells + Associates, Inc. Consultant Name: Tele: 703-917-6620 E-mail: cturnbull@wellsandassociates.com Developer/Owner Name: Stephen S. Collins, Jr. P.E. Tele: 703.934.9369 Stephen.Collins@Pultegroup.com E-mail: **Project Information Project Name:** Route 50 Breezeway Property Locality/County: City of Fairfax **Project Location:** The project is generally located south of Fairfax Boulevard, between Main Street (Attach regional and site specific and Chain Bridge Road. See Attachment 1 for the site location. location map) Rezoning \boxtimes (SUP) Subd Plat Submission Type Comp Plan Site Plan **Project Description:** The Applicant is proposing to redevelop the property with 62 residential units to (Including details on the land use, include townhomes and stacked condos. And up to 10,920 square feet of acreage, phasing, access location, etc. Attach additional sheet if commercial space. The Site Layout is provided as Attachment 2. necessary) Proposed Use(s): Residential Commercial Mixed Use Other (Check all that apply: attach additional pages as necessary) Other Use(s) **Residential Uses(s)** ITE LU Code(s): 62 Number of Units: (See Attachment -3) ITE LU Code(s): 221 Commercial Use(s) Independent Variable(s): ITE LU Code(s): **TBD** Square Ft or Other Variable: 10,920 Total Peak Hour Trip Less than 100 100 - 499500 - 9991,000 or more Projection:

Traffic Impact Analysis	Assumptions						
Study Period	Existing Year: 2019	9	Build-out	Year: 2024		Design Year:	n/a
Study Area Boundaries	North: Fairfax Bou Route 50)	levard	(US	South: Second	Street		
Study Area Boundaries	East: Oak Street			West: Walnut S	Street		
External Factors That Could Affect Project (Planned road improvements, other nearby developments)	Novus FairfaxPaul VI Redeve		-	opment			
Consistency With Comprehensive Plan (Land use, transportation plan)	The proposed development identifies the north Corridor" and the CR (Commercial Foroposed land uses Transportation Pla	ners por remain Retail) s. The n.	rtion of the ider of the and RMF roadway n	e site along Fair site "Multifami (Residential Mu etwork is consi	fax Bou ly Neigh ultifamil	levard as "C borhood." T y) would pe	ommercial The current rmit the
Available Traffic Data (Historical, forecasts)	VDOT historical tr 2018 VDOT Avera Fairfax Boulevard 2017 VDOT Avera Fairfax Boulevard 2016 VDOT Avera Fairfax Boulevard 2015 VDOT Avera Fairfax Boulevard 2014 VDOT Avera Fairfax Boulevard 2013 VDOT Avera Fairfax Boulevard 2013 VDOT Avera Fairfax Boulevard	age Ar (US R age Ar (US R age Ar (US R age Ar (US R age Ar	nnual Daily Coute 50):	y Traffic (AAD' 37,000 vpd (Ma y Traffic (AAD' 36,000 vpd (Ma y Traffic (AAD' 36,000 vpd (Ma y Traffic (AAD' 35,000 vpd (Ma y Traffic (AAD' 35,000 vpd (Ma y Traffic (AAD' 36,000 vpd (Ma y Traffic (AAD'	in Street Γ :	to Chain Br to Chain Br to Chain Br to Chain Br	ridge Road) ridge Road) ridge Road) ridge Road)
Trip Distribution (Pending data from existing traffic	From the West: 35%		,				% Commercial
counts)(See Attachment 4)	From the North: 0%	Resid	./5% Comn	n. From the So	utheast: 1	5%	
Annual Vehicle Trip	1% or per VDOT		Period for all that apply		⊠ AN	M 🔀 PM	SAT
Growth Rate:	AADT counts	Peak	Hour of th	e Generator	N/A		
	Fairfax Boulevard Oak Street	l/Mereo	dith Drive,	6. Oak Stree	t/Cedar A	venue/Panth	er Place
Study Intersections and/or	2. Fairfax Blvd/Faird Walnut Street	chester	Drive,	7. Site Acces	ss Drives		
Road Segments	3. Walnut Street/Ced	dar Ave	enue				
(See Attachment 1)	4. Walnut Street/Sec	ond St	reet				
	5. Oak Street/Second	d Stree	t				

Trip Adjustment Factors	Internal allowance: Yes No Reduction: Krips	Pass-by allowance: Yes No Reduction: %trips
Software Methodology	Synchro HCS (v.2000/+) aaSII	DRA CORSIM Other Synchro Version 8
Traffic Signal Proposed or Affected (Analysis software to be used, progression speed, cycle length)	None	
Improvement(s) Assumed or to be Considered	Reconfigure Walnut Street/Cedar Avenu	e intersection to a conventional design.
Background Traffic Studies Considered	AvalonNovus Fairfax Gateway Traffic ImpaPaul VI Redevelopment	ct Analysis
Plan Submission		Generalized Development Plan (GDP) Plan type (Final Site, Subd. Plan)
Additional Issues to be Addressed	Queuing analysis Actuation/Coord Merge analysis Bike/Ped Accome Measures Other	

NOTES on ASSUMPTIONS:

- 1. Synchro 8 will be used to conduct capacity analysis with peak hour factors measured in the field for existing conditions (0.85<PHF<0.92). Under background and total future conditions a PHF of 0.92 will be used for all movements.
- 2. Existing Synchro (signal timing) files to be provided by the city.

SCOPE OF WORK MEETING

ADDITIONS TO THE REQUIRED ELEMENTS, CHANGES TO THE METHODOLOGY OR STANDARD ASSUMPTIONS, AND SIGNATURE PAGE

Any additions to the Required Elements or changes to the Methodology or Standard Assumptions due to special circumstances that are approved by the City of Fairfax:

-	_
AGREED: Consultant	DATE: <u>07/03/2019</u>
PRINT NAME: Christopher Turnbull Consultant	
SIGNED:	DATE:
PRINT NAME:	
Attachments: Attachment 1 - Site Location and Study Intersections Attachment 2 - Site Layout Attachment 3 - Trip Generation	
Attachment 4 – Directions of Approach	

WELLS + ASSOCIATES




Attachment 1
Site Location
PulteGroup, Inc.
Breezeway Property
City of Fairfax, Virginia



- Study Intersection

Transportation Consultants ■ INNOVATION+SOLUTIONS





Attachment 3 Breezeway Property - City of Fairfax

Trip Generation Comparison Existing Residential Uses Vs. Proposed Residential Uses (1)


	Use	ITE Land Use	Amount	Units	Al	M Peak	Hour	PM	Peak H	lour	ADT
		Code			In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Existing Residential Uses											
Multifamily (Low Rise)	Apartments	220	6	DU's	1	2	3	3	2	5	44
Multifamily (Mid-Rise)	ting Residential Uses tifamily (Low Rise) tifamily (Mid-Rise) le-Family Detached Houses Apartments Houses		32	DU's	3	8	11	9	6	15	173
Single-Family Detached	Houses	210	<u>4</u>	DU's	1	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	3	<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	38
Total Existing Uses			42		5	12	17	15	9	24	25
Proposed Residential Use											
Residential (Mid-Rise)	Town Homes	221	62	DU's	<u>5</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>33</u>
Difference Proposed Uses L	ess Existing Uses				0	4	4	2	2	4	83

Trip Generation Comparison Potential Commercial Uses Vs. Existing and By-Right Commercial Uses(1)

Us	se	ITE Land Use	Amount	Units	Al	∕l Peak	Hour	PM	Peak F	lour	AD
		Code	Amount	Onics	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Existing Commercial Use											
Motel		320	50	Rooms	8	13	21	11	10	21	15
Potential By-Right Commercia	ıl Uses (2)										
Allowed CR Zone Use	Most Similar ITE Land Use										
Art Gallery or Studio	Retail Shopping Center	820	10,920	SF	6	4	10	51	55	106	1,3
Catering or Delivery Service			8,800	SF	5	3	8	43	47	90	1,1
Retail General			8,800	SF	5	3	8	43	47	90	1,:
Retail large Format			8,800	SF	5	3	8	43	47	90	1,:
Shopping Centers			8,800	SF	5	3	8	43	47	90	1,:
Tobacco and Smoke Shop			8,800	SF	5	3	8	43	47	90	1,:
Services General			8,800	SF	5	3	8	43	47	90	1,:
Services Personal			8,800	SF	5	3	8	43	47	90	1,:
Building Supplies and Lumber Sales	Building Materials and Lumber Store	812	10,920	SF	11	6	17	11	11	22	N
Furniture, Appliance or carpeting/flooring store	Furniture Store	890	10,920	SF	3	1	4	3	3	6	1
Office, General	General Office	710	10,920	SF	11	2	13	2	12	14	1
Office, Medical	Medical-Dental Office	720	8,800	SF	20	6	26	9	23	32	2
Schools, technical, trade, business	Junior/Community College	540	10,920	SF	47	14	61	10	10	20	2:
Brew Pub	Drinking Place	925	10,920	SF	0	0	0	82	42	124	N
Restaurant or Food Service	Quality Restaurant	931	8,800	SF	3	3	6	46	23	69	7
	High-Turnover Restaurant	932	8,800	SF	48	39	87	53	33	86	9
Day Care/Nursery School	Day Care Center	565	8,800	SF	51	46	97	46	52	98	4

Notes: (1) Based on Institute of Transportation Engineers', Trip Generation, 10th Edition

⁽²⁾ Square footage based on ability to surface park use.



Attachment 4 Directions of Approach Breezeway Property



- Commercial / Residential



APPENDIX B Existing Traffic Volumes

McLean, Virginia

												it Cour		, 51110										
INTER	PROJECT: A JOB NO: SECTION: DCATION:	7476 Fairfax Bo	ulevard &	•	•		C	I WEAT DUNTED	DAY: HER: DBY:	Halid & Sa					NO N	RTHB0 /ESTB0	OUND R OUND R OUND R OUND R	OAD: (Oak Stre Fairfax B	et oulevard				
		1					ı	NPUTE			1					1								
_	- .			ithbound					estboun					rthbound					astbound			North	East	
	Гime eriod	Right	Thru	edith Dri	ve Total	PHF	D:-b-	Thru	k Boulev Left	rard Total	PHF	D:-b-	Thru	ak Street Left	Total	PHF	Right	Thru	x Boule Left		PHF	& South	& West	Total
	inute Volum		Illru	Leit	Total	FHF	Right	Thru	Leit	TOTAL	гпг	Right	Thru	Leit	TOTAL	гпг	Right	Inru	Leit	Total	ГПГ	Souui	vvest	
6:00 AM	- 6:15 AM	0	0	0	0			46	7	54		8	0	0	8		2	220	3	225		8	279	287
6:15 AM	- 6:30 AM	5	0	4	9		i	44	5	50		5	0	<u>.</u>	6		3	345	4	352		15	402	417
6:30 AM	- 6:45 AM	3	0	2	5		0	65	2	67		8	ı	5	14		0	396	ı	397		19	464	483
6:45 AM	- 7:00 AM	2	0	ı	3		0	82	4	86		П	0	3	14		3	406	ı	410		17	496	513
7:00 AM	- 7:15 AM	4	0	3	7		0	99	3	102		17	ı	4	22		2	393	4	399		29	501	530
7:15 AM	- 7:30 AM	3	0	0	3		0	117	10	127		9	ı	6	16		0	412	3	415		19	542	561
7:30 AM	- 7:45 AM	4	0	2	6		0	122	10	132		21	0	7	28		2	399	4	405		34	537	571
7:45 AM	- 8:00 AM	8	<u>l</u>	3	12		0	133	16	149		14	<u>l</u>	4	19		2	386	3	391		31	540	571
8:00 AM 8:15 AM	- 8:15 AM - 8:30 AM	3	0	2	4		0	131 150	17 21	148 172		21 17	3	7	32 26		0	448 400	7 5	455 406		36 32	603 578	639 610
8:13 AM 8:30 AM	- 8:45 AM	9	0	0	9		2	132	17	172		20	3 4	9	33		5	468	5	478		42	629	671
8:45 AM	- 9:00 AM	2	ı	6	9		0	143	17	155		21	1	16	38		12	370	4	386		47	541	588
Total	- 7.00 ATT	47	2	24	73		5	1264	124	1393		172	16	68	256		32	4643	44	4719		329	6112	6441
	Hour Volum				,,,			1201	121	1373		172			230		32	1013		17.17		327	0112	- 0111
6:00 AM	- 7:00 AM	10	0	7	17	0.47	2	237	18	257	0.75	32	I	9	42	0.75	8	1367	9	1384	0.84	59	1641	1700
6:15 AM	- 7:15 AM	14	0	10	24	0.67	I	290	14	305	0.75	41	2	13	56	0.64	8	1540	10	1558	0.95	80	1863	1943
6:30 AM	- 7:30 AM	12	0	6	18	0.64	0	363	19	382	0.75	45	3	18	66	0.75	5	1607	9	1621	0.98	84	2003	2087
6:45 AM	- 7:45 AM	13	0	6	19	0.68	0	420	27	447	0.85	58	2	20	80	0.71	7	1610	12	1629	0.98	99	2076	2175
7:00 AM	- 8:00 AM	19	l	8	28	0.58	0	471	39	510	0.86	61	3	21	85	0.76	6	1590	14	1610	0.97	113	2120	2233
7:15 AM	- 8:15 AM	18	ı	6	25	0.52	0	503	53	556	0.93	65	6	24	95	0.74	4	1645	17	1666	0.92	120	2222	2342
7:30 AM	- 8:30 AM	19	<u> </u>	8	28	0.58	<u> </u>	536	64	601	0.87	73	8	24	105	0.82	5	1633	19	1657	0.91	133	2258	2391
7:45 AM	- 8:45 AM	24		6	31	0.65	3	546	71	620	0.90	72	12	26	110	0.83	8	1702	20	1730	0.90	141	2350	2491
	- 9:00 AM inute Volum	18		9	28	0.78	3	556	67	626	0.91	79	12	38	129	0.85	18	1686	21	1725	0.90	157	2351	2508
4:00 PM	- 4:15 PM	3	0	5	8		0	338	14	352		21	2	3	26		7	377	4	388		34	740	774
4:15 PM	- 4:30 PM	2	0	0	2		0	311	13	324		27	0	7	34		7	229	<u>.</u>	247		36	571	607
4:30 PM	- 4:45 PM	6	3	6	15		0	336	21	357		27	2	7	36		5	199	8	212		51	569	620
4:45 PM	- 5:00 PM	7	6	4	17		0	310	28	338		13	0	4	17		3	226	9	238		34	576	610
5:00 PM	- 5:15 PM	13	2	4	19		I	201	16	218		20	0	6	26		5	202	6	213		45	431	476
5:15 PM	- 5:30 PM	3	2	4	9		3	195	14	212		19	I	7	27		7	192	7	206		36	418	454
5:30 PM	- 5:45 PM	I	3	2	6		ı	327	19	347		22	2	6	30		13	170	2	185		36	532	568
5:45 PM	- 6:00 PM	13	5	5	23		I	313	20	334		19	2	3	24		3	156	7	166		47	500	547
6:00 PM	- 6:15 PM	11	I	5	17		<u> </u>	310	18	329		15	2	2	19		7	150	6	163		36	492	528
6:15 PM	- 6:30 PM	8	4	6	18		3	318	17	338		6	3	4	13		0	198	10	208		31	546	577
6:30 PM	- 6:45 PM	19	2	2	23		3	272	11	286		12	0	2 5	14		3	194	11	208		37	494	531
6:45 PM Total	- 7:00 PM	19	29	7 50	27 184		13	253 3484	16 207	269 3704		211	14	56	15 281		66	162 2455	86	173 2607		42 465	6311	484 6776
	Hour Volum		27	30	104		13	3404	207	3/04		211	14	30	201		00	2433	00	2007		403	6311	0//6
	- 5:00 PM	18	9	15	42	0.62	0	1295	76	1371	0.96	88	4	21	113	0.78	22	1031	32	1085	0.70	155	2456	2611
4:15 PM	- 5:15 PM	28	ΙΙ	14	53	0.70	Ī	1158	78	1237	0.87	87	2	24	113	0.78	20	856	34	910	0.92	166	2147	2313
4:30 PM	- 5:30 PM	29	13	18	60	0.79	4	1042	79	1125	0.79	79	3	24	106	0.74	20	819	30	869	0.91	166	1994	2160
4:45 PM	- 5:45 PM	24	13	14	51	0.67	5	1033	77	1115	0.80	74	3	23	100	0.83	28	790	24	842	0.88	151	1957	2108
5:00 PM	- 6:00 PM	30	12	15	57	0.62	6	1036	69	1111	0.80	80	5	22	107	0.89	28	720	22	770	0.90	164	1881	2045
5:15 PM	- 6:15 PM	28	H	16	55	0.60	6	1145	71	1222	0.88	75	7	18	100	0.83	30	668	22	720	0.87	155	1942	2097
5:30 PM	- 6:30 PM	33	13	18	64	0.70	6	1268	74	1348	0.97	62	9	15	86	0.72	23	674	25	722	0.87	150	2070	2220
5:45 PM	- 6:45 PM	51	12	18	81	0.88	8	1213	66	1287	0.95	52	7	11	70	0.73	13	698	34	745	0.90	151	2032	2183
6:00 PM	- 7:00 PM	57	8	20	85	0.79	7	1153	62	1222	0.90	43	5	13	61	0.80	16	704	32	752	0.90	146	1974	2120

McLean, Virginia

										ng Mov	Cirici	ic C oui		7 0										
W+A	PROJECT: A JOB NO: SECTION: OCATION:	7476 Fairfax Boo	ulevard &	•	•			WEATI	DAY:	7/11/2019 Thursday clear James & In	ita				NO W	RTHB(OUND R OUND R OUND R OUND R	OAD: \	Walnut S airfax Bo	itreet oulevard				
	CATION.	City of Fai	IIax, YA					NPUTED			ita				_			UAD. 1	all lax D	ouievai u				
			Sou	ithbound	1				stboun				No	rthbound	1			Ea	stbound	1		North	East	
Т	ime			ester Dr					Boulev					nut Stree					x Boule			&	&	Total
Pe	eriod	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	South	West	
AM 15 Mi	nute V olum	es																						
6:00 AM	- 6:15 AM	3	0	4	7		4	41	0	45		7	0	0	7		I	219	ı	221		14	266	280
	- 6:30 AM	5	4	5	14		4	57	0	61		4	I	2	7		0	329	I	330		21	391	412
6:30 AM	- 6:45 AM	6	0	5	11		4	56		61		9	<u>!</u>	2	12		. !	390	3	394		23	455	478
	- 7:00 AM - 7:15 AM	8 II	34	6	48		3	74 76	3	80 82		15	2	2	16		2	271	70	342 388		64 34	422	486 504
	- 7:15 AM - 7:30 AM	5	2	6	20		3	127	12	142		10	4	2	21		0	384 348		349		34	470 491	504
	- 7:45 AM	2	8	6	16		3	119	3	125		13	0	<u></u>	14		0	414	2	416		30	541	571
7:45 AM	- 8:00 AM	8	4	4	16		8	174	6	188		8	5	2	15		8	363	2	373		31	561	592
	- 8:15 AM	0	3	3	6		3	142	5	150		10	8	5	23		ı	452	0	453		29	603	632
8:15 AM	- 8:30 AM	3	14	9	26		5	131	3	139		18	4	3	25		4	416	Ī	421		51	560	611
8:30 AM	- 8:45 AM	l I	4	9	14		2	159	6	167		9	9	3	21		4	430	7	441		35	608	643
8:45 AM	- 9:00 AM	0	7	7	14		6	155	5	166		H	5	8	24		29	308	6	343		38	509	547
Total		52	83	70	205		48	1311	47	1406		129	40	30	199		51	4324	96	4471		404	5877	6281
	Hour Volum																							
	- 7:00 AM	22	38	20	80	0.42	15	228	4	247	0.77	35	3	4	42	0.66	3	1209	75	1287	0.82		1534	1656
	- 7:15 AM	30 30	41 39	22	93	0.48	14	263	7	284	0.87	38 49	5 8	6	49	0.77	4	1374	76	1454	0.92		1738	1880
6:30 AM 6:45 AM	- 7:30 AM - 7:45 AM	26	47	23 24	92 97	0.48 0.51	13	333 396	19 21	365 429	0.64 0.76	53	7	6 5	63 65	0.75 0.77	3	1393	76 75	1473	0.93	155 162	1838 1924	2086
7:00 AM	- 7:45 AM	26	17	22	65	0.81	17	396 496	24	537	0.76	33 46	/	5 7	64	0.77	10	1509	/s 7	1526	0.90		2063	2086
7:15 AM	- 8:15 AM	15	17	19	51	0.80	17	562	26	605	0.80	46	17	10	73	0.79	9	1577	5	1591	0.88		2196	2320
7:30 AM	- 8:30 AM	13	29	22	64	0.62	19	566	17	602	0.80	49	17	11	77	0.77	13	1645	5	1663	0.92		2265	2406
7:45 AM	- 8:45 AM	12	25	25	62	0.60	18	606	20	644	0.86	45	26	13	84	0.84	17	1661	10	1688	0.93		2332	2478
8:00 AM	- 9:00 AM	4	28	28	60	0.58	16	587	19	622	0.93	48	26	19	93	0.93	38	1606	14	1658	0.92	153	2280	2433
PM 15 Mi	nute Volum	es																						
4:00 PM	- 4:15 PM	3	4	13	20		2	382	6	390		9	8	3	20		I	272	7	280		40	670	710
	- 4:30 PM	4	4	ı	9		4	344	5	353		8	4	4	16		3	218	10	231		25	584	609
4:30 PM	- 4:45 PM	2	9	14	25		3	347	4	354		8	7	6	21		2	163	3	168		46	522	568
4:45 PM	- 5:00 PM	5	6	5	16		5	385	7	397		9	7	3	19		5	171		177		35	574	609
5:00 PM	- 5:15 PM	3 7	7	6	16		4	355	11	370		12	4	4	20		6	164	7	177		36	547	583 579
5:15 PM 5:30 PM	- 5:30 PM - 5:45 PM	6	8	4 5	18 19		6	327 318	6 3	339 327		7 5	16 5	0	23 14		2 22	185 153	12	199 177		41 33	538 504	579
5:45 PM	- 5:45 PM	3	4	9	19		6	318	5	348		3	5	7	15		0	151	5	156		31	504	535
6:00 PM	- 6:15 PM	11		9	31		5	335	3	343		12	4	5	21		2	140	5	147		52	490	542
6:15 PM	- 6:30 PM	11	6	8	25		4	337	11	352		6	6	ı	13		4	193	ı	198		38	550	588
6:30 PM	- 6:45 PM	4	0	3	7		4	341	5	350		9	9	Ī	19		3	175	7	185		26	535	561
6:45 PM	- 7:00 PM	4	6	14	24		7	330	7	344		6	3	3	12		ı	142	3	146		36	490	526
Total		63	72	91	226		56	4138	73	4267		94	78	41	213		51	2127	63	2241		439	6508	6947
	Hour Volum																					,		
4:00 PM	- 5:00 PM	14	23	33	70	0.70	14	1458	22	1494	0.94	34	26	16	76	0.90		824	21	856	0.76		2350	2496
4:15 PM	- 5:15 PM	14	26	26	66	0.66	16	1431	27	1474	0.93	37	22	17	76	0.90	16	716	21	753	0.81	142	2227	2369
4:30 PM	- 5:30 PM	17	29	29	75	0.75	18	1414	28	1460	0.92	36	34	13	83	0.90	15	683	23	721	0.91	158	2181	2339
4:45 PM 5:00 PM	- 5:45 PM - 6:00 PM	21 19	28 26	20 24	69 69	0.91	21 22	1385 1337	27 25	1433 1384	0.90 0.94	33	32 30	11	76 72	0.83 0.78	35 30	673 653	22 26	730	0.92 0.89		2163	2308 2234
5:15 PM	- 6:00 PM	27	30	27	84	0.68	22	1317	17	1384	0.94	27 27	30	16	72 73	0.78	26	629	26	709 679	0.89		2093	2193
5:30 PM	- 6:13 PM	31	29	31	91	0.68	23	1317	22	1357	0.97	26	20	17	63	0.79	28	637	13	678	0.85		2036	2193
5:45 PM	- 6:45 PM	29	21	29	79	0.73	19	1350	24	1370	0.77	30	24	14	68	0.73	9	659	18	686	0.87		2079	2226
6:00 PM	- 7:00 PM	30	23	34	87	0.70	20	1343	26	1389	0.99	33	22	10	65	0.77	10	650	16	676	0.85		2065	2217

McLean, Virginia

									. u	16 1 10	, cirici	it Cour	ic - Aii	Venic	103									
INTER	PROJECT: A JOB NO: ISECTION: OCATION:	7476 Walnut St	reet & Ce	•	•	rfax			BY: L	'hursday lear aura					NO W	RTHBC ESTBC	OUND RO OUND RO OUND RO	DAD: V	Valnut St Cedar Ave	reet				
			Sou	uthbound					stbound	7			No	rthbound				Ea	stbound			North	East	
-	Time		Wal	Inut Stree	t				ar Avenu	e			Wal	nut Stree	t			D	riveway			&	&	Total
P	eriod eriod	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	South	West	
	linute Volum																							
6:00 AM	- 6:15 AM	0	I	2	3		I	0	0	I		0	9	0	9		0	0	0	0		12	I	13
6:15 AM	- 6:30 AM	0	3	2	5		<u> </u>	0	0	<u> </u>		0	8	0	8		0	0	0	0		13	<u> </u>	14
6:30 AM 6:45 AM	- 6:45 AM - 7:00 AM	0	1 4	<u> </u>	2 5		2 I	0	0	3		2	14 17	0	16 21		0	0	0	0		18 26	3	21 27
7:00 AM	- 7:00 AM - 7:15 AM	0	6	! I			<u>'</u>	0	ı	2		4	17	0	14		0	0	0	0		26	2	27
7:15 AM	- 7:30 AM	0	12	2	14		8	0	0	8		6	18	0	24		0	0	0	0		38	8	46
7:30 AM	- 7:45 AM	0	13	2	15		2	0	ı I	3		9	19	0	28		0	0	0	0		43	3	46
7:45 AM	- 8:00 AM	0	17	5	22		7	0	ı	8		16	13	0	29		0	0	0	0		51	8	59
8:00 AM	- 8:15 AM	0	8	2	10		5	0	I	6		10	18	0	28		0	0	0	0		38	6	44
8:15 AM	- 8:30 AM	I	12	9	22		2	0	2	4		8	25	4	37		0	0	ı	I		59	5	64
8:30 AM	- 8:45 AM	0	9	4	13		5	0	l	6		6	23	2	31		0	0	0	0		44	6	50
8:45 AM	- 9:00 AM	0	14	16	30		9	0	3	12		4	28	0	32		0	0	0	0		62	12	74
Total		I	100	47	148		44	0	Ш	55		66	205	6	277		0	0	ı	ı		425	56	481
	Hour Volum					0.75					ام دما		40			0 (4					0.00	/0		
6:00 AM 6:15 AM	- 7:00 AM - 7:15 AM	0	9	6 5	15 19	0.75 0.68	5	0	2	6 7	0.50 0.58	6 7	48 52	0	54 59	0.64 0.70	0	0	0	0	0.00	69 78	6 7	75 85
6:30 AM	- 7:13 AM	0	23	5	28	0.50	12	0	2	14	0.36	13	62	0	75	0.70	0	0	0	0	0.00	103	14	117
6:45 AM	- 7:45 AM	0	35	6	41	0.50	12	0	2	14	0.44	20	67	0	87	0.78	0	0	0	0	0.00	128	14	142
7:00 AM	- 8:00 AM	0	48	10	58	0.66	18	0	3	21	0.66	32	63	0	95	0.82	0	0	0	0	0.00	153	21	174
7:15 AM	- 8:15 AM	0	50	11	61	0.69	22	0	3	25	0.78	41	68	0	109	0.94	0	0	0	0	0.00	170	25	195
7:30 AM	- 8:30 AM	I	50	18	69	0.78	16	0	5	21	0.66	43	75	4	122	0.82	0	0	I	I	0.25	191	22	213
7:45 AM	- 8:45 AM	I	46	20	67	0.76	19	0	5	24	0.75	40	79	6	125	0.84	0	0	I	I	0.25	192	25	217
8:00 AM	- 9:00 AM	1	43	31	75	0.63	21	0	7	28	0.58	28	94	6	128	0.86	0	0	ı		0.25	203	29	232
	inute Volum																							
4:00 PM	- 4:15 PM	0	H	ı	12		2	0	7	9		ı	21	0	22		0	0	0	0		34	9	43
4:15 PM	- 4:30 PM	l .	16	6	23		5	0	3	8		4	16	0	20		<u> </u>	0	0	<u>l</u>		43	9	52
4:30 PM 4:45 PM	- 4:45 PM	0	13 17	3 5	17 22		5 I	0	8	13		3	16 18	0	19 21		0	0	2	3		36 43	16 4	52 47
5:00 PM	- 5:00 PM - 5:15 PM	0	22	3	25		3	0	4	7		4	15	0	19		1	0	0	<u>'</u>		44	8	52
5:15 PM	- 5:30 PM	0	17	I	18		2	0	5	7		4	10	0	14		0	0	0	0		32	7	39
5:30 PM	- 5:45 PM	0	12	4	16		4	0	9	13		3	16	0	19		0	0	0	0		35	13	48
5:45 PM	- 6:00 PM	ı	12	2	15		. 8	0	4	12		ı j	11	·····I	13		0	0	0	0		28	12	40
6:00 PM	- 6:15 PM	0	14	4	18		2	0	5	7		0	18	0	18		3	0	I	4		36	П	47
6:15 PM	- 6:30 PM	0	17	6	23		2	ı	4	7		2	15	0	17		0	0	ı	l l		40	8	48
6:30 PM	- 6:45 PM	0	13	0	13		2	0	ı	3		I	17	0	18		0	0	0	0		31	3	34
6:45 PM	- 7:00 PM	0	15	3	18		0	0	5	5		0	10	0	10		0	0	0	0		28	5	33
Total		3	179	38	220		36	I	57	94		25	183	2	210		6	0	5	Ш		430	105	535
	Hour Volum					ا م م م			20	22	ادرد				00	0.00		^			ام رما	157		101
4:00 PM	- 5:00 PM - 5:15 PM	2	57 68	15 1 7	74 87	0.80 0.87	13 14	0	20	33 3 1	0.63 0.60	10 13	71 65	l	82 70	0.93 0.9 4	2 3	0	3	5	0.42 0.5 0	156 1 66	38 37	194 203
4:15 PM 4:30 PM	- 5:15 PM - 5:30 PM	<u> </u>	69	12	82	0.87	14	0	1 7 19	30	0.50	13	59	<u> </u>	79 73	0.94	2	0	3	6 5	0.42	155	37	190
4:30 PM 4:45 PM	- 5:30 PM	0	68	13	81	0.82	10	0	20	30	0.58	13	59	! I	73	0.87		0		2	0.42	154	33	190
5:00 PM	- 6:00 PM	I	63	10	74	0.74	17	0	22	39	0.36	13	52	! I	73 65	0.86	! 	0	0		0.30	139	40	179
5:15 PM	- 6:15 PM	i	55	11	67	0.93	16	0	23	39	0.75	8	55	<u>;</u>	64	0.84	3	0	ı	4	0.25	131	43	174
5:30 PM	- 6:30 PM	i	55	16	72	0.78	16	ı	22	39	0.75	6	60	i	67	0.88	3	0	2	5	0.31	139	44	183
5:45 PM	- 6:45 PM	I	56	12	69	0.75	14	I	14	29	0.60	4	61	ı	66	0.92	3	0	2	5	0.31	135	34	169
6:00 PM	- 7:00 PM	0	59	13	72	0.78	6	I	15	22	0.79	3	60	0	63	0.88	3	0	2	5	0.31	135	27	162

McLean, Virginia

									uriiii	ig Mo	verner	nt Cour	IL - AII	Venic	162									
	PROJECT:	Pulte Hom	nes Breeze	eway - Ci	ity of Fair	rfax		D	ATE: 7	/11/2019	,						OUND RO							
	A JOB NO:								DAY: T	hursday					NO	RTHBC	OUND RO	DAD: V	Valnut St	reet				ŀ
	SECTION:			cond Stre	eet			WEATI		lear							OUND RO							ŀ
LO	CATION:	City of Fai	rfax, VA					UNTED		mar					E	ASTBO	OUND RO	DAD: S	econd Str	reet				ŀ
		1					II.	NPUTED																
_				ithbound					stbound					rthbound			I		stbound			North	East	
	ime			nut Stree		D. 15	5. 1		nd Stree		D: 15			nut Stree		51.15	·		ond Stree		5: :-	&	&	Total
	eriod	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	South	West	
	nute Volum - 6:15 AM			0	2			0	0				9	0	9		0	^	0	0			1	12
	- 6:15 AM	0	2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3		0	0	0	0		0	10	0	10		I	0	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		11	I	14
6:30 AM	- 6:45 AM	0	1	0	ا		0	ı	0	1		0	14	0	14		0	0	0	0		15		16
	- 7:00 AM	0	3	0	3		0	2	0	2		ı	21	0	22		ı	0	I	2		25	4	29
	- 7:15 AM	0	7	0	7		ī		0	2		0	20	0	20		0	3	<u>i</u>	4		27	- 6	33
	- 7:30 AM	0	15	0	15		i	0	0	ī		0	11	3	14		0	0	3	3		29	4	33
	- 7:45 AM	0	10	0	10		0	0	0	0		0	26	0	26		2	3	3	8		36	8	44
	- 8:00 AM	0	18	0	18		I	Ī	0	2		2	23	2	27		3	4	5	12		45	14	59
	- 8:15 AM	0	7	I	8		3	0	I	4		0	29	0	29		I	0	3	4		37	8	45
8:15 AM	- 8:30 AM	I	H	2	14		0	I	ı	2		0	32	0	32		2	3	0	5		46	7	53
8:30 AM	- 8:45 AM	I	13	0	14		0	0	0	0		I	25	0	26		3	2	5	10		40	10	50
8:45 AM	- 9:00 AM	2	10	0	12		2	0	2	4		0	23	I	24		3	3	5	11		36	15	51
Total		5	98	4	107		9	6	4	19		4	243	6	253		16	18	26	60		360	79	439
	Hour Volum	ies																						
	- 7:00 AM	I	7	ı	9	0.75	ı	3	0	4	0.50	I	54	0	55	0.63		0	I	3	0.38	64	7	71
	- 7:15 AM	0	13	ı	14	0.50	I	4	0	5	0.63	I	65	0	66	0.75	2	3	2	7	0.44	80	12	92
	- 7:30 AM	0	26	0	26	0.43	2	4	0	6	0.75	!	66	3	70	0.80	ı	3	5	9	0.56	96	15	111
	- 7:45 AM	0	35	0	35	0.58	2	3	0	5	0.63	<u> </u>	78	3	82	0.79	3	6	8	17	0.53	117	22	139
	- 8:00 AM	0	50	0	50	0.69	3	2	0	5	0.63	2	80	5	87	0.81	5	10	12	27	0.56	137	32	169
	- 8:15 AM	0	50	l 1	51	0.71	5 4	2	2	7	0.44	2	89	5 2	96	0.83	6	7	14 11	27 29	0.56	147	34 37	181
	- 8:30 AM - 8:45 AM	2	46 49	3 3	50 5 4	0.69 0.75	4	2	2	8	0.50	2	110 109	2	114 114	0.89	8 9	10 9	13	31	0.60 0.65	164 168	37 39	201 207
	- 9:00 AM	4	41	3	48	0.75	5	<u> </u>	4	10	0.63	<u> </u>	109		-	0.87	9	8	13	30	0.68	159	40	199
	nute Volum		71		40	0.00	,			10	0.03					0.07			- 13	30	0.00	137		177
	- 4:15 PM	Ϊ	20	0	21		0	0	I	I		0	22	ı	23		ı	I	0	2		44	3	47
	- 4:30 PM	0	21	ı	22		0	0	0	0		0	14	ı	15		ı	2	4	7		37	7	44
4:30 PM	- 4:45 PM	4	17	ı	22		0	0	I	ı		0	15	0	15		2	I	0	3		37	4	41
4:45 PM	- 5:00 PM	I	19	0	20		0	2	0	2		0	19	2	21		I	I	I	3		41	5	46
5:00 PM	- 5:15 PM	ı	25	ı	27		0	0	0	0		ı	17	3	21		I	4	0	5		48	5	53
5:15 PM	- 5:30 PM	0	22	2	24		I	0	0	I		0	14	0	14		0	5	0	5		38	6	44
5:30 PM	- 5:45 PM	0	23	0	23		0	3	0	3		0	16	ı	17		I	ı	0	2		40	5	45
5:45 PM	- 6:00 PM	0	14	0	14		0	I	I	2		I	13	0	14		2	3	0	5		28	7	35
	- 6:15 PM	I	18	2	21		0	I	0	ı		2	17	0	19		2	4	0	6		40	7	47
	- 6:30 PM	<u> </u>	21	0	22		<u>I</u>	0	0	!		0	13	!	14		0	3	0	3		36	4	40
	- 6:45 PM	0	14	0	14		0	0	<u> </u>			0	20		21		0	2	0	2		35	3	38
	- 7:00 PM	1	19	0	20		0	<u> </u>	0	- 14	-	0	100	0	10		2	0	<u> </u>	3		30	4	34
Total	Janu V-1	10	233	7	250		2	8	4	14		4	190	10	204		13	27	6	46		454	60	514
	Hour Volum		77	2	OF	0.07	^	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	4	0.50		70		74	0.80	E		5	15	0.54	159	10	178
	- 5:00 PM - 5:15 PM	6	77 82	3	85 91	0.97 0.84	0	2		4	0.50	0 I	70 65	6	72	0.80	5 5	5 8	5	18	0.54	163	19 21	178
	- 5:30 PM	6	83	4	93	0.86	ı	2	<u>'</u>	4	0.50	<u>'</u>	65	5	71	0.85	4	- 11		16	0.80	164	20	184
	- 5:45 PM	2	89	3	94	0.87	· · · · · ·	5	0	6	0.50		66	6	73	0.87	3		'	15	0.75	167	20 21	188
	- 6:00 PM	I	84	3	88	0.81	i	4	ı	6	0.50	2	60	4	66	0.79	4	13	0	17	0.85	154	23	177
	- 6:15 PM	i	77	4	82	0.85	i	5	i	7	0.58	3	60	i	64	0.84	5	13	0	18	0.75	146	25	171
		2	76	2	80	0.87	i	5	i i	7	0.58	3	59	2	64	0.84	5	11	0	16	0.67	144	23	167
5:30 PM	- 6:30 PM		70																					
	- 6:30 PM - 6:45 PM	2	67	2	71	0.81	i	2	2	5	0.63	3	63	2	68	0.81	4	12	0	16	0.67	139	21	160

McLean, Virginia

r									· u·····	1g 1 10	verrier	it Cou	- All	Venic	103									
W+A	PROJECT: A JOB NO: SECTION: OCATION:	7476 Oak Stree	t & Secon	•	ty of Fail	rfax			BY: N	Thursday Iear 1aria	•				NO W	RTHB0 /ESTB0	OUND RO OUND RO OUND RO OUND RO	DAD: O	ak Stree I/A	t				
			Sou	uthbound				We	estbound					rthbound	l			Eas	stbound			North	East	
Т	ime			ak Street					N/A					ak Street				Seco	nd Stree	t		&	&	Total
	eriod	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	Right	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	South	West	
	nute Volum																							
	- 6:15 AM	0	8	0	8		0	0	0	0		0	9	0	9		0	0	0	0		17	0	17
	- 6:30 AM	0	5	0	5		0	0	0	0		0	8	0	8		l l	0	0	<u> </u>		13	<u> </u>	14
6:30 AM	- 6:45 AM	0	3	0	3		0	0	0	0		0	13	0	13		0	0	0	0		16	0	16
	- 7:00 AM - 7:15 AM	I	- 4 - 5	0	5		0	0	0	0		0	14	0	14		2 I	0	0	2		19 24	2	21 26
7:00 AM 7:15 AM	- 7:15 AM - 7:30 AM	0	 	0	ll		0	0	0	0		0	15	0	15		0	0	0	0		26	0	26
	- 7:45 AM	I	14	0	15		0	0	0	0		0	19	0	19		3	0	0	3		34	3	37
	- 8:00 AM	0	20	0	20		0	0	0	0		0	19	0	19		4	0	2	6		39	6	45
	- 8:15 AM	2	23	0	25		0	0	0	0		0	23	ı	24		0	0	0	0		49	0	49
8:15 AM	- 8:30 AM	<u>-</u>	36	0	37		0	0	0	0		0	23	0	23		5	0	0	5		60	5	65
8:30 AM	- 8:45 AM	0	20	0	20		0	0	0	0		0	27	0	27		2	0	0	2		47	2	49
	- 9:00 AM	0	33	0	33		0	0	0	0		0	28	I	29		0	0	ı	I		62	I	63
Total		5	182	0	187		0	0	0	0		0	217	2	219		18	0	4	22		406	22	428
AM One I	Hour Volum	ies																						
6:00 AM	- 7:00 AM	I	20	0	21	0.66	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	44	0	44	0.79	3	0	0	3	0.38	65	3	68
6:15 AM	- 7:15 AM	I	17	0	18	0.90	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	54	0	54	0.71	4	0	I	5	0.63	72	5	77
6:30 AM	- 7:30 AM	I	23	0	24	0.55	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	61	0	61	0.80	3	0	ı	4	0.50	85	4	89
6:45 AM	- 7:45 AM	2	34	0	36	0.60	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	67	0	67	0.88	6	0	ı	7	0.58	103	7	110
7:00 AM	- 8:00 AM	I	50	0	51	0.64	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	72	0	72	0.95	8	0	3	H	0.46	123	H	134
	- 8:15 AM	3	68	0	71	0.71	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	76	ı	77	0.80	7	0	2	9	0.38	148	9	157
	- 8:30 AM	4	93	0	97	0.66	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	84	<u> </u>	85	0.89	12	0	2	14	0.58	182	14	196
	- 8:45 AM	3	99	0	102	0.69	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	92	- 1	93	0.86	Ш	0	2	13	0.54	195	13	208
	- 9:00 AM	3	112	0	115	0.78	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	101	2	103	0.89	7	0	1	8	0.40	218	8	226
	nute Volum - 4:15 PM				17		0	0	0	0			21		22		0	0	0	0		39	0	20
	- 4:13 PM	0	16	0	17 16		0	0	0	0		0	21	0	22		ı	0	0	U		36	ı	39 37
4:13 FM	- 4:45 PM	I	25	0	26		0	0	0	0		0	25	0	25			0	0	! I		51	! I	52
4:45 PM	- 5:00 PM	0	31	0	31		0	0	0	0		0	17	3	20		<u>i</u>	0	i	2		51	2	53
5:00 PM	- 5:15 PM	0	21	0	21		0	0	0	0		0	23	0	23		4	0	i	5		44	5	49
5:15 PM	- 5:30 PM	ı	22	0	23		0	0	0	0		0	30	ı	31		4	0		5		54	5	59
5:30 PM	- 5:45 PM	2	25	0	27		0	0	0	0		0	17	i	18		0	0	0	0		45	0	45
5:45 PM	- 6:00 PM	3	15	0	18		0	0	0	0		0	30	i	31		3	0	0	3		49	3	52
6:00 PM	- 6:15 PM	4	16	0	20		0	0	0	0		0	15	0	15		4	0	2	6		35	6	41
6:15 PM	- 6:30 PM	0	26	0	26		0	0	0	0		0	7	ı	8		2	0	ı	3		34	3	37
6:30 PM	- 6:45 PM	0	17	0	17		0	0	0	0		0	14	0	14		I	0	0	l		31	l	32
6:45 PM	- 7:00 PM	0	18	0	18		0	0	0	0		0	14	I	15		2	0	ı	3		33	3	36
Total		12	248	0	260		0	0	0	0		0	233	9	242		23	0	7	30		502	30	532
	Hour Volum																							
	- 5:00 PM	2	88	0	90	0.73	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	83	4	87	0.87	3	0	l	4	0.50	177	4	181
	- 5:15 PM	I	93	0	94	0.76	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	85	3	88	0.88	7	0	2	9	0.45	182	9	191
	- 5:30 PM	2	99	0	101	0.81	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	95	4	99	0.80	10	0	3	13	0.65	200	13	213
4:45 PM	- 5:45 PM	3	99	0	102	0.82	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	87	5	92	0.74	9	0	3	12	0.60	194	12	206
5:00 PM	- 6:00 PM	6	83	0	89	0.82	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	100	3	103	0.83	- !!	0	2	13	0.65	192	13	205
5:15 PM	- 6:15 PM	10	78	0	88	0.81	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	92	3	95	0.77	11	0	3	14	0.58	183	14	197
5:30 PM	- 6:30 PM	9	82	0	91	0.84	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	69	2	72	0.58	9	0	3	12	0.50	163	12	175 162
5:45 PM 6:00 PM	- 6:45 PM - 7:00 PM	7	74 77	0	81	0.78 0.78	0	0	0	0	0.00	0	66 50	2	68 52	0.55 0.87	10 9	0	4	13	0.54 0.54	149	13	162
0.00 PM	- 7:00 PM	4	//	U	ð١	0.78	U	U	U	U	0.00	U	30		32	∪.8/	7	U	4	13	0.54	133	13	146

McLean, Virginia

								ı urımı	ig i lo	verrier	nt Cour	IL - AII	Venic	163									
PROJECT:		nes Breez	eway - Ci	ity of Fair	rfax				/11/2019							OUND RO							
W+A JOB NO:								DAY: T	,							OUND RO							
INTERSECTION:			nd Street				WEAT									OUND RO							
LOCATION:	City of Fai	irfax, VA					UNTED							E	ASTBO	OUND RO	DAD: C	Cedar Ave	nue				
	1					ır	NPUTED			-											N1 .1	-	
Time			uthbound ak Street					estbound					rthbound					stbound ar Avenu	_		North	East	T
Period	D:-I	Thru	Left	Total	PHF	D:-b-	Thru	ther Place Left	Total	PHF	D:-b-	Thru	ak Street Left	Total	PHF	D:-l	Thru	ar Avenu Left	e Total	PHF	& Cl-	& West	Total
AM 15 Minute Volum	Right	Inru	Lett	Total	PHF	Right	Inru	Lett	i otai	PHF	Right	Inru	Lett	lotai	PHF	Right	Inru	Lett	i otai	PHF	South	vvest	
6:00 AM - 6:15 AM	2	9		12		0		0			0	8	0	8		I		I	3		20	4	24
6:15 AM - 6:30 AM	0	5	2	7		0	0	0	0		0	8	I	9			<u>.</u>	0	2		16	2	18
6:30 AM - 6:45 AM	I	3	0	4		0	0	0	0		ı	12	0	13		0		2	3		17	3	20
6:45 AM - 7:00 AM	0	6	1	7		0	0	0	0		i	12	ı	14		0	2	4	6		21	6	27
7:00 AM - 7:15 AM	2	6	i	9		ī	ī		3		3	16	0	19		ī	0	2	3		28	6	34
7:15 AM - 7:30 AM	2	10	0	12		I	0	0	ı		2	14	ı	17		2	0	5	7		29	8	37
7:30 AM - 7:45 AM	0	13	I	14		ı	0	0	I		4	13	0	17		ı	5	7	13		31	14	45
7:45 AM - 8:00 AM	2	21	6	29		I	2	2	5		4	15	I	20		ı	12	5	18		49	23	72
8:00 AM - 8:15 AM	0	19	5	24		6	2	7	15		8	22	0	30		0	7	7	14		54	29	83
8:15 AM - 8:30 AM	2	26	ı	29		8	I	5	14		7	16	0	23		2	4	6	12		52	26	78
8:30 AM - 8:45 AM	I	14	П	26		5	2	6	13		5	22	I	28		4	4	5	13		54	26	80
8:45 AM - 9:00 AM	I	22	16	39		17	3	13	33		9	22	0	31		3	14	2	19		70	52	122
Total	13	154	45	212		40	12	34	86		44	180	5	229		16	51	46	113		441	199	640
AM One Hour Volun						***************************************		***************************************			***************************************	***************************************				***************************************						***************************************	
6:00 AM - 7:00 AM	3	23	4	30	0.63	0	ı	0	ı	0.25	2	40	2	44	0.79	2	5	7	14	0.58	74	15	89
6:15 AM - 7:15 AM	3	20	4	27	0.75	I	I	ı	3	0.25	5	48	2	55	0.72	2	4	8	14	0.58	82	17	99
6:30 AM - 7:30 AM	5	25	2	32	0.67	2	I	ı	4	0.33	7	54	2	63	0.83	3	3	13	19	0.68	95	23	118
6:45 AM - 7:45 AM	4	35	3	42	0.75	3	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	5	0.42	10	55	2	67	0.88	4	7	18	29	0.56	109	34	143
7:00 AM - 8:00 AM	6	50	8	64	0.55	4	3	3	10	0.50	13	58	2	73	0.91	5	17	19	41	0.57	137	51	188
7:15 AM - 8:15 AM	4	63	12	79	0.68	9	4	9	22	0.37	18	64	2	84	0.70	4	24	24	52	0.72	163	74	237
7:30 AM - 8:30 AM 7:45 AM - 8:45 AM	<u>4</u> 5	79 80	13 23	96 108	0.83 0.93	16 20	5 7	14 20	35 47	0.58 0.78	23 24	66 75	2	90 101	0.75 0.84	7	28 27	25 23	57 57	0.79 0.79	186 209	92 104	278 313
8:00 AM - 9:00 AM	4	80 81	33	118	0.76	36	8	31	7/5	0.78	29	82		101	0.84	9	29	20	58	0.79		133	363
PM 15 Minute Volum		01	33	110	0.76	30	0	31	/3	0.57	27	02		112	0.70	7	27	20	30	0.76	230	133	303
4:00 PM - 4:15 PM	7	20	3	30		I	2		4		4	20	ı	25		0	0	ı			55	5	60
4:15 PM - 4:30 PM	5	20	0	25		4	4	2	10		i	17	0	18		4	4	3			43	21	64
4:30 PM - 4:45 PM	8	25	3	36		3	3	3	9		i	27	2	30		3	i	2	6		66	15	81
4:45 PM - 5:00 PM	2	30	0	32		ı	ı	0	2		ı	18	ı	20		3	0	4	7		52	9	61
5:00 PM - 5:15 PM	5	23	I	29		I	0	2	3		ı	24	2	27		2	ı	3	6		56	9	65
5:15 PM - 5:30 PM	4	20	I	25		l	I	3	5		ı	30	I	32		l	I	3	5		57	10	67
5:30 PM - 5:45 PM	8	28	0	36		0	ı	ı	2		0	18	ı	19		2	ı	2	5		55	7	62
5:45 PM - 6:00 PM	4	19	2	25		l	2	I	4		0	32	3	35		l	I	I	3		60	7	67
6:00 PM - 6:15 PM	3	20	ı	24		0	0	2	2		0	19	2	21		3	0	0	3		45	5	50
6:15 PM - 6:30 PM	4	19	l	24		0	I	l	2		0	8	0	8		3	0	2	5		32	7	39
6:30 PM - 6:45 PM	2	22	0	24		0	0	0	0		0	15	I	16		0	0	I	ı		40	ı	41
6:45 PM - 7:00 PM	5	20	0	25		0	0	0	0		0	- 11	0	- 11		I	0	0	I		36	I	37
Total	57	266	12	335		12	15	16	43		9	239	14	262		23	9	22	54		597	97	694
PM One Hour Volum										۱	<u>-</u>				1								
4:00 PM - 5:00 PM	22	95	6 4	123	0.85	9	10	6 7	25 24	0.63	7	82	4 5	93 95	0.78	10	5	10	25 30	0.57	216	50	266
4:15 PM - 5:15 PM	20	98		122	0.85					0.60	4	86			0.79	12	6	12		0.68	217	54 43	271
4:30 PM - 5:30 PM 4:45 PM - 5:45 PM	1 9	98 101	5	1 22 122	0.85 0.85	6	5	8	1 9	0.53 0.60	4	99 90	6	1 09 98	0.85 0.77	9	3	12	24 23	0.86	231 220	35	274 255
5:00 PM - 6:00 PM	21	90	2 4	115	0.85	3	3 4	6 7	14	0.60	3 2	104	5 7	113	0.77	8 6	3 4	12 9	23 19	0.82	228	33	255 261
5:15 PM - 6:15 PM	19	87	4	110	0.80	2	4	7	13	0.70	- Z 	99	7	107	0.76	7	3	6	16	0.79	217	29	246
5:30 PM - 6:30 PM	19	86	4	109	0.76	<u>_</u>	4	5	10	0.63	0	77	6	83	0.76	9	2	5	16	0.80	192	26	218
5:45 PM - 6:45 PM	13	80	4	97	0.76	! I	3	4	8	0.50	0	74	6	80	0.57	7	1	4	12	0.60	177	20	197
6:00 PM - 7:00 PM	14	81	2	97	0.97	0	ı	3	4	0.50	0	53	3	56	0.57	7	0	3	10	0.50	153	14	167
5.55 iii - 7.00 iii	17	٥.		//	3.77	v		,	7	0.50	v	"	,	50	0.07	,	v	,	.0	0.50		17	107

APPENDIX C Existing Capacity Analysis Worksheets

10/22/2020

	۶	-	•	•	—	•	•	†	/	/	↓	√
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	↑ ↑		*	∱ }			ર્ન	7		4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	1692	18	67	588	3	38	12	79	9	1	18
Future Volume (vph)	21	1692	18	67	588	3	38	12	79	9	1	18
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	4.6		5.6	4.6			4.5	4.5		4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85		0.91	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1597	3500		1805	3404			1830	1615		1708	
Flt Permitted	0.37	1.00		0.03	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (perm)	621	3500		66	3404			1830	1615		1708	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Adj. Flow (vph)	25	1991	21	79	692	4	45	14	93	11	1	21
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	86	0	20	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	25	2012	0	79	696	0	0	59	7	0	13	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	13%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Split	NA	Perm	Split	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		. 4	4		. 7	7	
Permitted Phases	2			6					4			
Actuated Green, G (s)	132.5	126.7		140.9	130.9			11.6	11.6		6.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	134.5	128.7		142.9	132.9			13.6	13.6		8.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.71	0.68		0.75	0.70			0.07	0.07		0.04	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6			6.5	6.5		6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	474	2370		150	2381			130	115		76	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.00	c0.57		c0.03	0.20			c0.03			c0.01	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.04			0.36					0.00			
v/c Ratio	0.05	0.85		0.53	0.29			0.45	0.06		0.17	
Uniform Delay, d1	8.3	23.3		44.6	10.8			84.6	82.2		87.4	
Progression Factor	0.83	0.64		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.0	3.0		3.3	0.3			2.5	0.2		1.1	
Delay (s)	6.9	17.9		47.9	11.1			87.1	82.4		88.4	
Level of Service	Α	В		D	В			F	F		F	
Approach Delay (s)		17.8			14.9			84.3			88.4	
Approach LOS		В			В			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			21.2	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capaci	ity ratio		0.74									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			190.0		um of los				24.2			
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	on		71.6%	IC	CU Level	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Pulte Breezeway - City of Fairfax 07/11/2019 Existing AM Wells + Associates

Synchro 10 Report Page 1

	۶	→	•	•	+	4	1	†	~	/	+	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	↑ ↑		ሻ	∱ }		ň	ĵ»		*	ĵ»	
Traffic Volume (vph)	10	1661	17	20	606	18	13	26	45	25	25	12
Future Volume (vph)	10	1661	17	20	606	18	13	26	45	25	25	12
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	5.6		5.6	5.6		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.91		1.00	0.95	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1671	3501		1805	3397		1805	1572		1752	1771	
Flt Permitted	0.36	1.00		0.07	1.00		0.73	1.00		0.47	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	636	3501		127	3397		1385	1572		871	1771	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Adj. Flow (vph)	12	1954	20	24	713	21	15	31	53	29	29	14
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	40	0	0	10	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	12	1974	0	24	733	0	15	44	0	29	33	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	8%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	5%	12%	3%	3%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			7			3	
Permitted Phases	2			6			7			3		
Actuated Green, G (s)	148.5	145.7		151.3	147.1		20.4	20.4		9.3	9.3	
Effective Green, g (s)	150.5	146.7		153.3	148.1		22.4	22.4		11.3	11.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.79	0.77		0.81	0.78		0.12	0.12		0.06	0.06	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6		6.5	6.5		6.5	6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	524	2703		148	2647		163	185		51	105	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.00	c0.56		c0.00	0.22			c0.03			0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.02			0.13			0.01			c0.03		
v/c Ratio	0.02	0.73		0.16	0.28		0.09	0.24		0.57	0.31	
Uniform Delay, d1	4.2	11.3		12.6	5.9		74.7	76.1		87.0	85.6	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		0.98	0.42		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.0	1.8		0.5	0.3		0.2	0.7		13.7	1.7	
Delay (s)	4.2	13.1		12.9	2.7		75.0	76.7		100.7	87.3	
Level of Service	A	B		В	A		E	E		F	F	
Approach Delay (s)		13.0			3.0			76.5			92.7	
Approach LOS		В			А			E			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			14.6	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.69									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			190.0		um of los				20.7			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		62.9%	IC	CU Level	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

10/22/2020

5. Walliat Street &	a Cedai Avenue						. 3, 22, 23					
	•	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	<i>></i>	\	↓	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	0	0	7	0	21	6	94	28	31	43	1
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	0	0	7	0	21	6	94	28	31	43	1
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	0	0	8	0	25	7	111	33	36	51	1
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)											366	
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	290	282	52	265	266	128	52			144		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol			Ŭ <u>-</u>			0						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	290	282	52	265	266	128	52			144		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)		0.0	0.2	, , ,	0.0	0.2						
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	100	100	100	99	100	97	100			97		
cM capacity (veh/h)	630	609	1016	672	621	923	1554			1438		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1	021	720	1001			1 100		
Volume Total	0	33	151	88								
Volume Left	0	8	7	36								
Volume Right	1700	25	33	1420								
cSH	1700	846	1554	1438								
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.03								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	3	0	2								
Control Delay (s)	0.0	9.4	0.4	3.2								
Lane LOS	А	A	A	A								
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	9.4	0.4	3.2								
Approach LOS	А	А										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			2.4									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		24.4%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	۶	→	•	•	•	•	4	†	/	/	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	13	9	9	2	2	4	2	109	3	3	49	2
Future Volume (vph)	13	9	9	2	2	4	2	109	3	3	49	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (vph)	15	11	11	2	2	5	2	128	4	4	58	2
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	37	9	134	64								
Volume Left (vph)	15	2	2	4								
Volume Right (vph)	11	5	4	2								
Hadj (s)	-0.06	-0.25	0.02	0.03								
Departure Headway (s)	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.2								
Degree Utilization, x	0.04	0.01	0.15	0.07								
Capacity (veh/h)	801	828	860	847								
Control Delay (s)	7.5	7.2	7.8	7.5								
Approach Delay (s)	7.5	7.2	7.8	7.5								
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			7.7									
Level of Service			Α									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		16.5%	IC	U Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

10/22/2020

	•	•	•	†	Ţ	1
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥			4	1>	
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	7	2	101	112	3
Future Volume (vph)	1	7	2	101	112	3
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	8	2	119	132	4
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total (vph)	9	121	136			
Volume Left (vph)	1	2	0			
Volume Right (vph)	8	0	4			
Hadj (s)	-0.48	0.04	0.02			
Departure Headway (s)	4.0	4.1	4.0			
Degree Utilization, x	0.01	0.14	0.15			
Capacity (veh/h)	848	864	879			
Control Delay (s)	7.0	7.7	7.8			
Approach Delay (s)	7.0	7.7	7.8			
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α			
Intersection Summary						
Delay			7.7			•
Level of Service			Α			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		16.9%	IC	U Level c	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

6: Oak Street & Cedar Avenue

10/2	2/2020
ţ	1
SBT	SBR
4	
Stop	
21	1

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	/	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	20	29	9	31	8	36	1	82	29	33	81	4
Future Volume (vph)	20	29	9	31	8	36	1	82	29	33	81	4
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (vph)	24	34	11	36	9	42	1	96	34	39	95	5
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	69	87	131	139								
Volume Left (vph)	24	36	1	39								
Volume Right (vph)	11	42	34	5								
Hadj (s)	0.01	-0.17	-0.12	0.07								
Departure Headway (s)	4.6	4.4	4.3	4.5								
Degree Utilization, x	0.09	0.11	0.16	0.17								
Capacity (veh/h)	719	753	796	762								
Control Delay (s)	8.1	8.0	8.1	8.4								
Approach Delay (s)	8.1	8.0	8.1	8.4								
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			8.2									
Level of Service			Α									
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		25.8%	IC	U Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

1	\sim	10	1	10	n	2	\sim
	U	12	//	1	U	7	()

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	•	†	/	/	ţ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	ħβ		ሻ	ħβ			ર્ન	7		4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	32	1031	22	76	1455	0	21	4	88	15	9	18
Future Volume (vph)	32	1031	22	76	1455	0	21	4	88	15	9	18
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	4.6		5.6	4.6			4.5	4.5		4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85		0.94	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1597	3496		1805	3406			1824	1615		1761	
Flt Permitted	0.09	1.00		0.18	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (perm)	156	3496		342	3406			1824	1615		1761	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Adj. Flow (vph)	38	1213	26	89	1712	0	25	5	104	18	11	21
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	0	12	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	38	1239	0	89	1712	0	0	30	5	0	38	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	13%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Split	NA	Perm	Split	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4	4		7	7	
Permitted Phases	2			6					4			
Actuated Green, G (s)	162.9	156.7		166.9	158.7			9.4	9.4		10.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	164.9	158.7		168.9	160.7			11.4	11.4		12.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.75	0.72		0.77	0.73			0.05	0.05		0.06	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6			6.5	6.5		6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	164	2521		323	2487			94	83		100	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.01	0.35		c0.01	c0.50			c0.02			c0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.17			0.20					0.00			
v/c Ratio	0.23	0.49		0.28	0.69			0.32	0.06		0.38	
Uniform Delay, d1	14.6	13.2		9.2	16.1			100.6	99.2		100.0	
Progression Factor	0.81	0.61		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.7	0.6		0.5	1.6			2.0	0.3		2.4	
Delay (s)	12.5	8.7		9.7	17.7			102.5	99.6		102.4	
Level of Service	В	Α		Α	В			F	F		F	
Approach Delay (s)		8.8			17.3			100.2			102.4	
Approach LOS		Α			В			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			18.7	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.62									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			220.0		um of lost				24.2			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ition		67.4%	IC	CU Level	of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

Pulte Breezeway - City of Fairfax 07/11/2019 Existing PM Wells + Associates

Synchro 10 Report Page 1

	۶	→	•	•	+	•	•	†	~	\	↓	-√
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	∱ ∱		7	∱ ∱		7	f)		Ĭ	£	
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	1018	11	22	1458	14	16	26	34	33	23	14
Future Volume (vph)	21	1018	11	22	1458	14	16	26	34	33	23	14
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	5.6		5.6	5.6		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.92		1.00	0.94	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1671	3500		1805	3403		1805	1597		1752	1761	
Flt Permitted	0.10	1.00		0.21	1.00		0.71	1.00		0.54	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	183	3500		390	3403		1355	1597		995	1761	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Adj. Flow (vph)	25	1198	13	26	1715	16	19	31	40	39	27	16
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	10	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	25	1211	0	26	1731	0	19	46	0	39	33	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	8%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	5%	12%	3%	3%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			7			3	
Permitted Phases	2			6			7			3		
Actuated Green, G (s)	178.2	172.5		178.2	172.5		22.1	22.1		12.7	12.7	
Effective Green, g (s)	180.2	173.5		180.2	173.5		24.1	24.1		14.7	14.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.82	0.79		0.82	0.79		0.11	0.11		0.07	0.07	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6		6.5	6.5		6.5	6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	195	2760		362	2683		148	174		66	117	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.00	0.35		0.00	c0.51			c0.03			0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.10			0.06			0.01			c0.04		
v/c Ratio	0.13	0.44		0.07	0.65		0.13	0.26		0.59	0.28	
Uniform Delay, d1	8.1	7.5		4.6	10.0		88.5	89.8		99.7	97.6	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		0.15	0.07		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.3	0.5		0.1	0.9		0.4	0.8		13.4	1.3	
Delay (s)	8.4	8.0		0.7	1.6		88.9	90.6		113.1	98.9	
Level of Service	A	A		А	A		F	F		F	F	
Approach Delay (s)		8.0			1.6			90.3			105.7	
Approach LOS		А			Α			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			9.3	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		Α			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	icity ratio		0.62									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			220.0		um of los	. ,			20.7			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		57.7%	IC	CU Level	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

5. Walliat Street &	Cedai 7	Jouan Avenue							. 3, 22, 232					
	•	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	<i>></i>	\	ļ	1		
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR		
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4			
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	3	0	3	17	0	14	1	65	13	17	68	2		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	3	0	3	17	0	14	1	65	13	17	68	2		
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free			
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%			
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	4	0	4	20	0	16	1	76	15	20	80	2		
Pedestrians														
Lane Width (ft)														
Walking Speed (ft/s)														
Percent Blockage														
Right turn flare (veh)														
Median type								None			None			
Median storage veh)														
Upstream signal (ft)											366			
pX, platoon unblocked														
vC, conflicting volume	222	214	81	210	208	84	82			91				
vC1, stage 1 conf vol														
vC2, stage 2 conf vol														
vCu, unblocked vol	222	214	81	210	208	84	82			91				
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1				
tC, 2 stage (s)														
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2				
p0 queue free %	99	100	100	97	100	98	100			99				
cM capacity (veh/h)	714	674	979	736	680	976	1515			1504				
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1										
Volume Total	8	36	92	102										
Volume Left	4	20	1	20										
Volume Right	4	16	15	2										
cSH	825	826	1515	1504										
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.01										
Queue Length 95th (ft)	1	3	0	1										
Control Delay (s)	9.4	9.6	0.1	1.5										
Lane LOS	А	А	А	А										
Approach Delay (s)	9.4	9.6	0.1	1.5										
Approach LOS	Α	А												
Intersection Summary														
Average Delay			2.5											
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		21.3%	IC	CU Level	of Service			Α					
Analysis Period (min)			15											
arjoio i orioù (iliili)			10											

Analysis Period (min)

4: Walnut Street & Second Street 10/22/2020												
	٠	→	•	•	←	•	1	†	<i>></i>	/	↓	</th
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		↔			4			↔			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	11	3	0	5	1	6	66	1	3	89	2
Future Volume (vph)	1	11	3	0	5	1	6	66	1	3	89	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	13	4	0	6	1	7	78	1	4	105	2
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	18	7	86	111								
Volume Left (vph)	1	0	7	4								
Volume Right (vph)	4	1	1	2								
Hadj (s)	-0.09	-0.05	0.04	0.03								
Departure Headway (s)	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1								
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.01	0.10	0.13								
Capacity (veh/h)	806	797	857	872								
Control Delay (s)	7.3	7.3	7.5	7.7								
Approach Delay (s)	7.3	7.3	7.5	7.7								
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			7.6									
Level of Service			Α									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		16.2%	IC	U Level o	of Service			Α			

15

Pulte Breezeway - City of Fairfax 07/11/2019 Existing PM Wells + Associates

1	\sim	ハつ	$1 \sim 1$	$\gamma \gamma \gamma$	
- 11	U)/	'//	ハ	120	

	•	•	4	†	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥			ર્ન	ĵ»	
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	3	10	4	95	99	2
Future Volume (vph)	3	10	4	95	99	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (vph)	4	12	5	112	116	2
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total (vph)	16	117	118			
Volume Left (vph)	4	5	0			
Volume Right (vph)	12	0	2			
Hadj (s)	-0.37	0.04	0.02			
Departure Headway (s)	4.0	4.1	4.1			
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.13	0.13			
Capacity (veh/h)	839	862	873			
Control Delay (s)	7.1	7.7	7.7			
Approach Delay (s)	7.1	7.7	7.7			
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α			
Intersection Summary						
Delay			7.7			
Level of Service			Α			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		18.2%	IC	U Level c	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

10	122	$1 \cap C$	\sim
10	177	ハ	120

	•	→	•	•	+	•	•	†	<i>></i>	\	↓	-✓
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	12	3	9	6	5	8	6	99	4	5	98	19
Future Volume (vph)	12	3	9	6	5	8	6	99	4	5	98	19
Peak Hour Factor	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (vph)	14	4	11	7	6	9	7	116	5	6	115	22
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	29	22	128	143								
Volume Left (vph)	14	7	7	6								
Volume Right (vph)	11	9	5	22								
Hadj (s)	-0.10	-0.15	0.02	-0.05								
Departure Headway (s)	4.4	4.4	4.2	4.1								
Degree Utilization, x	0.04	0.03	0.15	0.16								
Capacity (veh/h)	754	761	838	861								
Control Delay (s)	7.6	7.5	7.9	7.9								
Approach Delay (s)	7.6	7.5	7.9	7.9								
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			7.8									
Level of Service			Α									
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		17.9%	IC	U Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

APPENDIX D

2024 Background Future Capacity Analysis Worksheets

	۶	→	•	•	—	•	•	†	~	/	†	✓
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	∱ ⊅		7	∱ ⊅			ર્ન	7		4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	22	1826	20	69	674	3	41	12	81	9	1	19
Future Volume (vph)	22	1826	20	69	674	3	41	12	81	9	1	19
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	4.6		5.6	4.6			4.5	4.5		4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85		0.91	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1597	3500		1805	3404			1829	1615		1705	
Flt Permitted	0.36	1.00		0.04	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (perm)	599	3500		68	3404			1829	1615		1705	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	24	1985	22	75	733	3	45	13	88	10	1	21
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	82	0	20	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	24	2007	0	75	736	0	0	58	6	0	12	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	13%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Split	NA	Perm	Split	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4	4		7	7	
Permitted Phases	2			6					4			
Actuated Green, G (s)	131.6	127.2		142.0	132.4			11.5	11.5		6.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	133.6	129.2		144.0	134.4			13.5	13.5		8.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.70	0.68		0.76	0.71			0.07	0.07		0.04	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6			6.5	6.5		6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	449	2380		148	2407			129	114		76	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.00	c0.57		c0.03	0.22			c0.03			c0.01	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.04			0.35					0.00			
v/c Ratio	0.05	0.84		0.51	0.31			0.45	0.05		0.16	
Uniform Delay, d1	8.6	22.8		40.9	10.4			84.7	82.3		87.3	
Progression Factor	0.82	0.63		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.0	2.9		2.7	0.3			2.5	0.2		1.0	
Delay (s)	7.1	17.3		43.6	10.7			87.2	82.5		88.3	
Level of Service	А	B		D	B			F	F		F	
Approach Delay (s)		17.2			13.8			84.4			88.3	
Approach LOS		В			В			F			F	
Intersection Summary									_			
HCM 2000 Control Delay			20.3	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	city ratio		0.73						0			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			190.0		um of lost	. ,			24.2			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		74.1%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	۶	→	•	•	+	•	1	†	~	\	+	✓
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	∱ ⊅		ሻ	∱ î≽		ሻ	1>		ሻ	₽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	10	1795	19	21	694	19	15	27	46	26	26	12
Future Volume (vph)	10	1795	19	21	694	19	15	27	46	26	26	12
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	5.6		5.6	5.6		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.91		1.00	0.95	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1671	3500		1805	3397		1805	1571		1752	1773	
Flt Permitted	0.35	1.00		0.07	1.00		0.73	1.00		0.50	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	608	3500		129	3397		1388	1571		918	1773	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	11	1951	21	23	754	21	16	29	50	28	28	13
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	40	0	0	9	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	11	1972	0	23	775	0	16	39	0	28	32	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	8%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	5%	12%	3%	3%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			7			3	
Permitted Phases	2			6			7			3		
Actuated Green, G (s)	148.9	146.1		151.7	147.5		20.0	20.0		8.9	8.9	
Effective Green, g (s)	150.9	147.1		153.7	148.5		22.0	22.0		10.9	10.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.79	0.77		0.81	0.78		0.12	0.12		0.06	0.06	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6		6.5	6.5		6.5	6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	504	2709		150	2655		160	181		52	101	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.00	c0.56		c0.00	0.23			c0.02			0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.02			0.12			0.01			c0.03		
v/c Ratio	0.02	0.73		0.15	0.29		0.10	0.22		0.54	0.31	
Uniform Delay, d1	4.1	11.1		12.2	5.9		75.1	76.2		87.1	86.0	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		0.86	0.40		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.0	1.8		0.5	0.3		0.3	0.6		10.3	1.8	
Delay (s)	4.1	12.8		11.0	2.6		75.4	76.8		97.4	87.7	
Level of Service	A	B		В	A		E	E		F	F	
Approach Delay (s)		12.8			2.8			76.6			91.7	
Approach LOS		В			A			E			F	
Intersection Summary							•					
HCM 2000 Control Delay			14.0	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	icity ratio		0.68		6.1				00.7			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			190.0		um of los	. ,			20.7			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ition		66.7%	IC	JU Level (of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

5. Walliat Street &	Cedai 7	TVCHU	,								10/2	212020
	•	→	*	•	+	•	•	†	/	\	↓	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1	0	0	8	0	24	6	97	29	33	44	1
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1	0	0	8	0	24	6	97	29	33	44	1
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	0	0	9	0	26	7	105	32	36	48	1
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)											366	
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	282	272	48	256	256	121	49			137		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	202		10	200	200		17			107		
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	282	272	48	256	256	121	49			137		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)	,,,	0.0	0.2	7.1	0.0	0.2						
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	100	100	100	99	100	97	100			98		
cM capacity (veh/h)	637	617	1020	682	629	930	1558			1447		
		WB 1	NB 1	SB 1	027	700	1000			1117		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1											
Volume Total	1	35	144	85								
Volume Left	1	9	7	36								
Volume Right	0	26	32	1								
cSH	637	851	1558	1447								
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.02								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	3	0	2								
Control Delay (s)	10.7	9.4	0.4	3.3								
Lane LOS	В	Α	А	Α								
Approach Delay (s)	10.7	9.4	0.4	3.3								
Approach LOS	В	А										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			2.6									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		24.7%	IC	CU Level	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
-												

Analysis Period (min)

4: Walnut Street &			•	y Allai	yolo				10/22/2020			
	۶	→	•	•	←	•	1	†	/	/	↓	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		↔			4			↔			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	13	9	9	2	2	4	2	112	3	3	51	2
Future Volume (vph)	13	9	9	2	2	4	2	112	3	3	51	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	14	10	10	2	2	4	2	122	3	3	55	2
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	34	8	127	60								
Volume Left (vph)	14	2	2	3								
Volume Right (vph)	10	4	3	2								
Hadj (s)	-0.06	-0.22	0.02	0.02								
Departure Headway (s)	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.1								
Degree Utilization, x	0.04	0.01	0.14	0.07								
Capacity (veh/h)	807	828	863	852								
Control Delay (s)	7.4	7.2	7.8	7.4								
Approach Delay (s)	7.4	7.2	7.8	7.4								
Approach LOS	А	Α	А	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			7.6									
Level of Service			Α									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		16.7%	IC	U Level o	of Service			Α			

15

10/22/2020

	•	•	4	†	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	W			ર્ન	ĵ.	
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	7	2	110	137	3
Future Volume (vph)	1	7	2	110	137	3
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	8	2	120	149	3
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total (vph)	9	122	152			
Volume Left (vph)	1	2	0			
Volume Right (vph)	8	0	3			
Hadj (s)	-0.48	0.04	0.02			
Departure Headway (s)	4.0	4.1	4.1			
Degree Utilization, x	0.01	0.14	0.17			
Capacity (veh/h)	838	861	878			
Control Delay (s)	7.0	7.8	7.9			
Approach Delay (s)	7.0	7.8	7.9			
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α			
Intersection Summary						
Delay			7.8			
Level of Service			Α			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		17.4%	IC	U Level c	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

Intersection Capacity Utilization

Analysis Period (min)

6: Oak Street & Ce	edar Ave	nue									10/2	2/2020
	٠	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	/	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	31	9	54	11	39	1	84	36	35	83	4
Future Volume (vph)	21	31	9	54	11	39	1	84	36	35	83	4
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	23	34	10	59	12	42	1	91	39	38	90	4
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	67	113	131	132								
Volume Left (vph)	23	59	1	38								
Volume Right (vph)	10	42	39	4								
Hadj (s)	0.01	-0.08	-0.14	0.07								
Departure Headway (s)	4.7	4.5	4.3	4.6								
Degree Utilization, x	0.09	0.14	0.16	0.17								
Capacity (veh/h)	714	744	786	747								
Control Delay (s)	8.1	8.3	8.2	8.5								
Approach Delay (s)	8.1	8.3	8.2	8.5								
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay	·		8.3									
Level of Service			А									

ICU Level of Service

Α

29.3%

15

Pulte Breezeway - City of Fairfax 07/11/2019 Background AM Wells + Associates

10/22/2020

	۶	→	•	•	—	•	•	†	~	/	+	✓
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	∱ ∱		7	∱ î≽			ર્ન	7		4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	33	1198	25	78	1658	0	23	4	91	15	9	19
Future Volume (vph)	33	1198	25	78	1658	0	23	4	91	15	9	19
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	4.6		5.6	4.6			4.5	4.5		4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85		0.94	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1597	3496		1805	3406			1821	1615		1756	
Flt Permitted	0.08	1.00		0.16	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (perm)	138	3496		307	3406			1821	1615		1756	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	36	1302	27	85	1802	0	25	4	99	16	10	21
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	94	0	14	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	36	1329	0	85	1802	0	0	29	5	0	33	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	13%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Split	NA	Perm	Split	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4	4		7	7	
Permitted Phases	2			6					4			
Actuated Green, G (s)	165.0	158.9		169.0	160.9			9.3	9.3		8.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	167.0	160.9		171.0	162.9			11.3	11.3		10.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.76	0.73		0.78	0.74			0.05	0.05		0.05	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6			6.5	6.5		6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	151	2556		300	2521			93	82		83	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.01	0.38		c0.01	c0.53			c0.02			c0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.17			0.21					0.00			
v/c Ratio	0.24	0.52		0.28	0.71			0.31	0.06		0.39	
Uniform Delay, d1	15.4	12.8		9.3	15.7			100.6	99.3		101.7	
Progression Factor	0.82	0.57		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.7	0.7		0.5	1.8			1.9	0.3		3.1	
Delay (s)	13.4	8.0		9.8	17.5			102.5	99.6		104.7	
Level of Service	В	Α		Α	B			F	F		F	
Approach Delay (s)		8.1			17.2			100.3			104.7	
Approach LOS		Α			В			F			F	
Intersection Summary							<u> </u>					
HCM 2000 Control Delay			17.9	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.65		6.1	/ >			0.1.0			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			220.0		um of los				24.2			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		73.0%	10	CU Level	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	~	\	+	✓
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	∱ ∱		ሻ	∱ î≽		ሻ	1>		ሻ	ĵ.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	22	1187	13	23	1662	14	17	27	35	34	24	14
Future Volume (vph)	22	1187	13	23	1662	14	17	27	35	34	24	14
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	5.6		5.6	5.6		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.91		1.00	0.95	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1671	3500		1805	3403		1805	1595		1752	1762	
Flt Permitted	0.09	1.00		0.18	1.00		0.72	1.00		0.56	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	160	3500		349	3403		1370	1595		1032	1762	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	24	1290	14	25	1807	15	18	29	38	37	26	15
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	10	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	24	1304	0	25	1822	0	18	42	0	37	31	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	8%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	5%	12%	3%	3%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			7			3	
Permitted Phases	2			6			7			3		
Actuated Green, G (s)	178.7	173.0		178.5	172.9		21.7	21.7		12.3	12.3	
Effective Green, g (s)	180.7	174.0		180.5	173.9		23.7	23.7		14.3	14.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.82	0.79		0.82	0.79		0.11	0.11		0.07	0.07	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6		6.5	6.5		6.5	6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	177	2768		330	2689		147	171		67	114	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.00	0.37		0.00	c0.54			c0.03			0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.11			0.06			0.01			c0.04		
v/c Ratio	0.14	0.47		0.08	0.68		0.12	0.25		0.55	0.27	
Uniform Delay, d1	9.2	7.7		4.8	10.4		88.7	90.0		99.7	97.9	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		0.14	0.07		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.4	0.6		0.1	1.0		0.4	8.0		9.5	1.3	
Delay (s)	9.6	8.2		0.8	1.7		89.1	90.7		109.2	99.2	
Level of Service	A	A		А	Α		F	F		F	F	
Approach Delay (s)		8.3			1.7			90.4			103.9	
Approach LOS		А			А			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			8.9	Н	CM 2000	Level of :	Service		А			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	icity ratio		0.64		6.1	/ \			00.7			
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			220.0		um of lost				20.7			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ition		63.4%	10	CU Level	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

10/22/2020

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	-	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	3	0	3	18	0	15	1	67	14	20	70	2
Future Volume (Veh/h)	3	0	3	18	0	15	1	67	14	20	70	2
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	3	0	3	20	0	16	1	73	15	22	76	2
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)											366	
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	220	211	77	206	204	80	78			88		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							, 0					
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	220	211	77	206	204	80	78			88		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)	7.1	0.0	0.2	7.1	0.0	0.2				1.1		
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	100	100	100	97	100	98	100			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	716	676	984	740	681	980	1520			1508		
					001	700	1320			1300		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	6	36	89	100								
Volume Left	3	20	1	22								
Volume Right	3	16	15	2								
cSH	829	830	1520	1508								
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.04	0.00	0.01								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	1	3	0	1								
Control Delay (s)	9.4	9.5	0.1	1.7								
Lane LOS	А	Α	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	9.4	9.5	0.1	1.7								
Approach LOS	А	А										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			2.5									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	tion		21.6%	IC	U Level o	of Service			А			
intersection capacity offiza												

Pulte Breezeway - City of Fairfax 07/11/2019 Background PM Wells + Associates

Synchro 10 Report Page 3

10/22/2020

	•	→	•	•	←	•	•	†	<i>></i>	\	+	-√
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	11	3	0	5	1	6	69	1	3	92	2
Future Volume (vph)	1	11	3	0	5	1	6	69	1	3	92	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	12	3	0	5	1	7	75	1	3	100	2
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	16	6	83	105								
Volume Left (vph)	1	0	7	3								
Volume Right (vph)	3	1	1	2								
Hadj (s)	-0.07	-0.07	0.04	0.03								
Departure Headway (s)	4.2	4.3	4.1	4.1								
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.01	0.09	0.12								
Capacity (veh/h)	808	805	860	876								
Control Delay (s)	7.3	7.3	7.5	7.6								
Approach Delay (s)	7.3	7.3	7.5	7.6								
Approach LOS	А	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			7.5									
Level of Service			Α									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		16.4%	IC	U Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

10	122	100	200
-10	122	ハ	17()

	•	•	4	†	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥			ર્ન	ĵ»	
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	3	10	4	119	115	2
Future Volume (vph)	3	10	4	119	115	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	3	11	4	129	125	2
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total (vph)	14	133	127			
Volume Left (vph)	3	4	0			
Volume Right (vph)	11	0	2			
Hadj (s)	-0.39	0.04	0.02			
Departure Headway (s)	4.1	4.1	4.1			
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.15	0.14			
Capacity (veh/h)	830	862	871			
Control Delay (s)	7.1	7.8	7.8			
Approach Delay (s)	7.1	7.8	7.8			
Approach LOS	А	Α	Α			
Intersection Summary						
Delay			7.8			
Level of Service			А			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		19.5%	IC	U Level c	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

6: Oak Street & Cedar Avenue

1	\cap	2	n	20
- 1	UI	ZZ	Z	JZU

	•	→	*	•	+	•	•	†	<i>></i>	/	+	-√
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	12	5	9	19	6	9	6	102	25	7	101	20
Future Volume (vph)	12	5	9	19	6	9	6	102	25	7	101	20
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	13	5	10	21	7	10	7	111	27	8	110	22
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	28	38	145	140								
Volume Left (vph)	13	21	7	8								
Volume Right (vph)	10	10	27	22								
Hadj (s)	-0.09	-0.01	-0.07	-0.05								
Departure Headway (s)	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.2								
Degree Utilization, x	0.03	0.05	0.17	0.16								
Capacity (veh/h)	742	734	846	847								
Control Delay (s)	7.6	7.8	8.0	8.0								
Approach Delay (s)	7.6	7.8	8.0	8.0								
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			7.9									
Level of Service			А									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		19.1%	IC	CU Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

APPENDIX E

2024 Total Future Capacity Analysis Worksheets

HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 1: Oak Street/Meredith Drive & Fairfax Boulevard

07/22/2021

	۶	→	•	€	+	•	4	†	/	/	+	✓
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	, J	↑ ↑		Ţ	↑ ↑			ર્ન	7		4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	22	1831	20	72	678	3	41	12	89	9	1	19
Future Volume (vph)	22	1831	20	72	678	3	41	12	89	9	1	19
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	4.6		5.6	4.6			4.5	4.5		4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85		0.91	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1597	3500		1805	3404			1829	1615		1705	
Flt Permitted	0.36	1.00		0.03	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (perm)	598	3500		66	3404			1829	1615		1705	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	24	1990	22	78	737	3	45	13	97	10	1	21
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	90	0	20	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	24	2012	0	78	740	0	0	58	7	0	12	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	13%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Split	NA	Perm	Split	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4	4		7	7	
Permitted Phases	2			6					4			
Actuated Green, G (s)	131.3	126.9		142.3	132.4			11.5	11.5		6.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	133.3	128.9		144.3	134.4			13.5	13.5		8.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.70	0.68		0.76	0.71			0.07	0.07		0.04	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6			6.5	6.5		6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	447	2374		149	2407			129	114		76	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.00	c0.57		c0.03	0.22			c0.03			c0.01	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.04			0.37					0.00			
v/c Ratio	0.05	0.85		0.52	0.31			0.45	0.06		0.16	
Uniform Delay, d1	8.7	23.1		44.4	10.4			84.7	82.3		87.3	
Progression Factor	1.01	0.68		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.0	2.9		3.3	0.3			2.5	0.2		1.0	
Delay (s)	8.8	18.7		47.7	10.7			87.2	82.6		88.3	
Level of Service	Α	В		D	В			F	F		F	
Approach Delay (s)		18.5			14.2			84.3			88.3	
Approach LOS		В			В			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			21.5	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.74									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			190.0		um of lost				24.2			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		75.8%	IC	CU Level	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	۶	→	•	•	-	•	1	†	/	/	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	J.	↑ ↑		J.	↑ ↑		, N	f)		¥	₽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	10	1797	21	22	694	19	22	27	50	26	26	12
Future Volume (vph)	10	1797	21	22	694	19	22	27	50	26	26	12
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	5.6		5.6	5.6		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.90		1.00	0.95	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1671	3500		1805	3397		1805	1565		1752	1773	
Flt Permitted	0.35	1.00		0.07	1.00		0.73	1.00		0.47	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	608	3500		128	3397		1388	1565		871	1773	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	11	1953	23	24	754	21	24	29	54	28	28	13
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43	0	0	9	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	11	1976	0	24	775	0	24	40	0	28	32	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	8%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	5%	12%	3%	3%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		_	7		_	3	
Permitted Phases	2			6			7			3		
Actuated Green, G (s)	148.8	146.0		151.6	147.4		20.1	20.1		9.0	9.0	
Effective Green, g (s)	150.8	147.0		153.6	148.4		22.1	22.1		11.0	11.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.79	0.77		0.81	0.78		0.12	0.12		0.06	0.06	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6		6.5	6.5		6.5	6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	503	2707		149	2653		161	182		50	102	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.00	c0.56		c0.00	0.23		0.00	c0.03		-0.00	0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.02	0.70		0.13	0.00		0.02	0.00		c0.03	0.21	
v/c Ratio	0.02	0.73		0.16	0.29		0.15	0.22		0.56	0.31	
Uniform Delay, d1	4.1	11.2		12.4	5.9		75.5	76.1		87.1	85.9	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00 1.8		0.87	0.35		1.00 0.4	1.00 0.6		1.00 13.6	1.00 1.7	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.0 4.2	1.8		0.5 11.3	2.4		75.9	76.7		100.7	87.6	
Delay (s) Level of Service	4.Z A	12.9 B		11.3 B	2.4 A		75.9 E	70.7 E		100.7 F	67.0 F	
Approach Delay (s)	A	12.9		Ь	2.6			76.5		Г	92.9	
Approach LOS		12.9 B			2.0 A			70.5 E			92.9 F	
Intersection Summary		, ,			,,			_			'	
HCM 2000 Control Delay			14.3	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capac	ity ratio		0.69		J.I. 2000	2010.0.	20.1.00					
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	.,		190.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			20.7			
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	ion		66.9%			of Service			С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

5. Walliat Street &	Ocuai 7	WCHac	,								0112	-2,2021
	•	→	*	•	+	•	4	†	/	\	↓	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1	0	0	8	0	28	6	99	29	34	46	1
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1	0	0	8	0	28	6	99	29	34	46	1
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	0	0	9	0	30	7	108	32	37	50	1
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)											366	
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	292	278	50	262	263	124	51			140		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	292	278	50	262	263	124	51			140		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)	7	0.0	0.2	, , ,	0.0	0.2						
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	100	100	100	99	100	97	100			97		
cM capacity (veh/h)	624	611	1018	674	623	927	1555			1443		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1	020	, = ,						
Volume Total			147									
	1	39	7	88								
Volume Left		9	•	37								
Volume Right	0	30	32	1442								
cSH	624	853	1555	1443								
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.03								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	4	0	2								
Control Delay (s)	10.8	9.4	0.4	3.3								
Lane LOS	В	A	A	A								
Approach Delay (s)	10.8	9.4	0.4	3.3								
Approach LOS	В	А										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			2.6									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		25.0%	IC	CU Level	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	•	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	/	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	13	9	9	2	2	4	2	114	3	3	53	2
Future Volume (vph)	13	9	9	2	2	4	2	114	3	3	53	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	14	10	10	2	2	4	2	124	3	3	58	2
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	34	8	129	63								
Volume Left (vph)	14	2	2	3								
Volume Right (vph)	10	4	3	2								
Hadj (s)	-0.06	-0.22	0.02	0.02								
Departure Headway (s)	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1								
Degree Utilization, x	0.04	0.01	0.15	0.07								
Capacity (veh/h)	804	825	862	852								
Control Delay (s)	7.4	7.2	7.8	7.5								
Approach Delay (s)	7.4	7.2	7.8	7.5								
Approach LOS	А	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			7.6									
Level of Service			Α									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		16.8%	IC	CU Level o	of Service	:		Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	•	•	4	†	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥			ર્ન	f.	
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	7	2	111	141	3
Future Volume (vph)	1	7	2	111	141	3
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	8	2	121	153	3
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total (vph)	9	123	156			
Volume Left (vph)	1	2	0			
Volume Right (vph)	8	0	3			
Hadj (s)	-0.48	0.04	0.02			
Departure Headway (s)	4.0	4.1	4.1			
Degree Utilization, x	0.01	0.14	0.18			
Capacity (veh/h)	835	860	877			
Control Delay (s)	7.1	7.8	7.9			
Approach Delay (s)	7.1	7.8	7.9			
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α			
Intersection Summary						
Delay			7.8			
Level of Service			Α			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		17.6%	IC	U Level c	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	•	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	/	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	31	10	54	11	39	5	92	36	35	86	4
Future Volume (vph)	21	31	10	54	11	39	5	92	36	35	86	4
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	23	34	11	59	12	42	5	100	39	38	93	4
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	68	113	144	135								
Volume Left (vph)	23	59	5	38								
Volume Right (vph)	11	42	39	4								
Hadj (s)	0.00	-0.08	-0.12	0.07								
Departure Headway (s)	4.7	4.6	4.4	4.6								
Degree Utilization, x	0.09	0.14	0.18	0.17								
Capacity (veh/h)	707	735	781	744								
Control Delay (s)	8.2	8.3	8.3	8.5								
Approach Delay (s)	8.2	8.3	8.3	8.5								
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			8.3									
Level of Service			А									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ntion		33.5%	IC	CU Level o	of Service	:		Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	-	\rightarrow	•	←	1	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	† ‡		*	^	W	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1870	2	3	734	0	1
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1870	2	3	734	0	1
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2033	2	3	798	0	1
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	TWLTL			TWLTL		
Median storage veh)	2			2		
Upstream signal (ft)	250			387		
pX, platoon unblocked	200		0.68	307	0.72	0.68
vC, conflicting volume			2035		2439	1018
vC1, stage 1 conf vol			2000		2034	1010
vC2, stage 2 conf vol					405	
vCu, unblocked vol			1587		1730	96
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.8	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)			4.1		5.8	0.7
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %			99		100	100
cM capacity (veh/h)			280		100	643
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	NB 1
Volume Total	1355	680	3	399	399	1
Volume Left	0	0	3	0	0	0
Volume Right	0	2	0	0	0	1
cSH	1700	1700	280	1700	1700	643
Volume to Capacity	0.80	0.40	0.01	0.23	0.23	0.00
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	1	0	0	0
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	0.0	10.6
Lane LOS			С			В
Approach Delay (s)	0.0		0.1			10.6
Approach LOS						В
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		61.8%	IC	CU Level	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	•	•	†	/	/	+	•
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	¥		∱ %			†	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	1	131	1	0	81	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	1	131	1	0	81	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	1	142	1	0	88	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)						131	
pX, platoon unblocked	0.99						
vC, conflicting volume	230	72			143		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	215	72			143		
tC, single (s)	6.8	6.9			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	100	100			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	745	976			1437		
			ND 2	CD 1			
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1			
Volume Total	1	95	48	88			
Volume Left	0	0	0	0			
Volume Right	1	1700	1700	1700			
cSH	976	1700	1700	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.06	0.03	0.05			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0	0			
Control Delay (s)	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	A						
Approach Delay (s)	8.7	0.0		0.0			
Approach LOS	Α						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.0				
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	ion		14.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	•	4	†	/	\	+	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	¥		1 >			4	1
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	2	6	126	1	2	79	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	2	6	126	1	2	79	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	7	137	1	2	86	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)						264	
pX, platoon unblocked	1.00					201	
vC, conflicting volume	228	138			138		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	220	100			100		
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	224	138			138		
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)	0. 1	5.2					
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	100	99			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	761	911			1446		
					1440		
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	9	138	88				
Volume Left	2	0	2				
Volume Right	7	1	0				
cSH	873	1700	1446				
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.08	0.00				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	1	0	0				
Control Delay (s)	9.2	0.0	0.2				
Lane LOS	А		А				
Approach Delay (s)	9.2	0.0	0.2				
Approach LOS	А						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.4				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		16.7%	IC	U Level	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	٠	•	1	†	+	4
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥			4	1>	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	12	4	1	121	147	4
Future Volume (Veh/h)	12	4	1	121	147	4
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	13	4	1	132	160	4
Pedestrians		•	•			
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type				None	None	
Median storage veh)				INOTIC	INOTIC	
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	296	162	164			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	270	102	104			
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	296	162	164			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)	0.4	0.2	4.1			
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2			
p0 queue free %	98	100	100			
cM capacity (veh/h)	695	883	1414			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	17	133	164			
Volume Left	13	1	0			
Volume Right	4	0	4			
cSH	731	1414	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.00	0.10			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	2	0	0			
Control Delay (s)	10.0	0.1	0.0			
Lane LOS	В	А				
Approach Delay (s)	10.0	0.1	0.0			
Approach LOS	В					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.6			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		18.0%	IC	CU Level o	f Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
, ,						

	۶	-	•	•	—	•	•	†	/	>	ţ	
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	ተ ኈ		ሻ	∱ ∱			र्स	7		4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	33	1224	25	87	1685	0	23	4	96	15	9	19
Future Volume (vph)	33	1224	25	87	1685	0	23	4	96	15	9	19
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	4.6		5.6	4.6			4.5	4.5		4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85		0.94	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1597	3496		1805	3406			1821	1615		1756	
Flt Permitted	0.08	1.00		0.15	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (perm)	131	3496		294	3406			1821	1615		1756	2.22
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	36	1330	27	95	1832	0	25	4	104	16	10	21
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	99	0	14	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	36	1357	0	95	1832	0	0	29	5	0	33	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	13%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Split	NA	Perm	Split	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4	4	4	7	7	
Permitted Phases	2	150 /		6	1/00			0.2	4		0.5	
Actuated Green, G (s)	164.6	158.4 160.4		169.4 171.4	160.8 162.8			9.3 11.3	9.3 11.3		8.5 10.5	
Effective Green, g (s) Actuated g/C Ratio	166.6 0.76	0.73		0.78	0.74			0.05	0.05		0.05	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6			6.5	6.5		6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	147	2548		294	2520			93	82		83	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.01	0.39		c0.01	c0.54			c0.02	02		c0.02	
v/s Ratio Prot v/s Ratio Perm	0.01	0.37		0.24	00.54			CU.UZ	0.00		CU.UZ	
v/c Ratio	0.10	0.53		0.24	0.73			0.31	0.00		0.39	
Uniform Delay, d1	16.2	13.2		9.8	16.1			100.6	99.3		101.7	
Progression Factor	0.96	0.64		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.8	0.7		0.6	1.9			1.9	0.3		3.1	
Delay (s)	16.4	9.1		10.5	18.0			102.5	99.7		104.7	
Level of Service	В	Α		В	В			F	F		F	
Approach Delay (s)		9.3			17.6			100.3			104.7	
Approach LOS		А			В			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			18.6	H	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.66									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			220.0		um of lost				24.2			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ition		73.8%		CU Level of	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	•	†	/	>	ţ	√
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	∱ î≽		7	∱ ∱		7	f)		Ť	4Î	
Traffic Volume (vph)	22	1204	19	28	1665	14	36	30	38	36	24	14
Future Volume (vph)	22	1204	19	28	1665	14	36	30	38	36	24	14
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	5.6		5.6	5.6		4.5	4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	0.92		1.00	0.95	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1671	3498		1805	3403		1805	1600		1752	1762	
Flt Permitted	0.09	1.00		0.18	1.00		0.72	1.00		0.52	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	158	3498		337	3403		1372	1600		966	1762	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	24	1309	21	30	1810	15	39	33	41	39	26	15
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24	0	0	10	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	24	1330	0	30	1825	0	39	50	0	39	31	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	8%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	5%	12%	3%	3%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6			7			3	
Permitted Phases	2			6			7			3		
Actuated Green, G (s)	178.0	172.3		178.0	172.3		22.3	22.3		12.9	12.9	
Effective Green, g (s)	180.0	173.3		180.0	173.3		24.3	24.3		14.9	14.9	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.82	0.79		0.82	0.79		0.11	0.11		0.07	0.07	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6		6.5	6.5		6.5	6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	175	2755		320	2680		151	176		65	119	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.00	0.38		0.00	c0.54			c0.03			0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.11			0.07			0.03			c0.04		
v/c Ratio	0.14	0.48		0.09	0.68		0.26	0.28		0.60	0.26	
Uniform Delay, d1	9.5	8.0		5.1	10.7		89.6	89.9		99.7	97.3	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		1.00	0.99		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.4	0.6		0.1	1.4		0.9	0.9		14.0	1.2	
Delay (s)	9.9	8.6		5.2	12.0		90.5	90.8		113.7	98.5	
Level of Service	A	Α		Α	В		F	F		F	F	
Approach Delay (s)		8.6			11.9			90.7			105.9	
Approach LOS		А			В			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			15.4	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.65									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			220.0		um of lost				20.7			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		63.5%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			В			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

	٠	→	*	•	←	4	4	†	/	\	+	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	3	0	3	18	0	18	1	76	14	24	79	2
Future Volume (vph)	3	0	3	18	0	18	1	76	14	24	79	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	3	0	3	20	0	20	1	83	15	26	86	2
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	6	40	99	114								
Volume Left (vph)	3	20	1	26								
Volume Right (vph)	3	20	15	2								
Hadj (s)	-0.17	-0.17	-0.05	0.07								
Departure Headway (s)	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2								
Degree Utilization, x	0.01	0.05	0.11	0.13								
Capacity (veh/h)	801	813	863	848								
Control Delay (s)	7.3	7.4	7.6	7.8								
Approach Delay (s)	7.3	7.4	7.6	7.8								
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			7.6									
Level of Service			Α									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		22.3%	IC	:U Level o	of Service	:		Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	•	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	/	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	11	3	0	5	1	6	78	1	3	101	2
Future Volume (vph)	1	11	3	0	5	1	6	78	1	3	101	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	12	3	0	5	1	7	85	1	3	110	2
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	16	6	93	115								
Volume Left (vph)	1	0	7	3								
Volume Right (vph)	3	1	1	2								
Hadj (s)	-0.07	-0.07	0.04	0.03								
Departure Headway (s)	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1								
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.01	0.11	0.13								
Capacity (veh/h)	796	794	858	873								
Control Delay (s)	7.4	7.3	7.6	7.7								
Approach Delay (s)	7.4	7.3	7.6	7.7								
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			7.6									
Level of Service			Α									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		16.9%	IC	U Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	•	•	•	†	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥			ર્ન	f)	
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	3	10	4	123	117	2
Future Volume (vph)	3	10	4	123	117	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	3	11	4	134	127	2
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total (vph)	14	138	129			
Volume Left (vph)	3	4	0			
Volume Right (vph)	11	0	2			
Hadj (s)	-0.39	0.04	0.02			
Departure Headway (s)	4.1	4.1	4.1			
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.16	0.15			
Capacity (veh/h)	826	862	870			
Control Delay (s)	7.1	7.9	7.8			
Approach Delay (s)	7.1	7.9	7.8			
Approach LOS	А	Α	Α			
Intersection Summary						
Delay			7.8			
Level of Service			Α			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		19.7%	IC	U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	•	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	/	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	12	5	13	19	6	9	9	107	25	7	110	20
Future Volume (vph)	12	5	13	19	6	9	9	107	25	7	110	20
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	13	5	14	21	7	10	10	116	27	8	120	22
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	32	38	153	150								
Volume Left (vph)	13	21	10	8								
Volume Right (vph)	14	10	27	22								
Hadj (s)	-0.15	-0.01	-0.06	-0.04								
Departure Headway (s)	4.5	4.6	4.2	4.2								
Degree Utilization, x	0.04	0.05	0.18	0.17								
Capacity (veh/h)	742	724	839	841								
Control Delay (s)	7.6	7.8	8.1	8.1								
Approach Delay (s)	7.6	7.8	8.1	8.1								
Approach LOS	А	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			8.0									
Level of Service			А									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		20.3%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

	-	•	•	•		~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	↑ ↑		*	^	W	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1257	19	23	22	3	23
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1257	19	23	22	3	23
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1366	21	25	24	3	25
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	TWLTL			TWLTL		
Median storage veh)	2			2		
Upstream signal (ft)	250			387		
pX, platoon unblocked	200		0.87	307	0.87	0.87
vC, conflicting volume			1387		1438	694
vC1, stage 1 conf vol			1307		1376	074
vC2, stage 2 conf vol					62	
vCu, unblocked vol			1141		1201	342
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.8	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)			4.1		5.8	0.7
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %			95		99	96
cM capacity (veh/h)			528		228	567
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	NB 1
Volume Total	911	476	25	12	12	28
Volume Left	0	0	25	0	0	3
Volume Right	0	21	0	0	0	25
cSH	1700	1700	528	1700	1700	489
Volume to Capacity	0.54	0.28	0.05	0.01	0.01	0.06
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	4	0	0	5
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	12.2	0.0	0.0	12.8
Lane LOS			В			В
Approach Delay (s)	0.0		6.2			12.8
Approach LOS						В
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		45.4%	IC	CU Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15		,,,,,	

	•	•	†	/	/	+	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	W		ħβ			†	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	8	18	92	7	0	103	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	8	18	92	7	0	103	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	9	20	100	8	0	112	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)						145	
pX, platoon unblocked	0.99						
vC, conflicting volume	216	54			108		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	_						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	204	54			108		
tC, single (s)	6.8	6.9			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	99	98			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	759	1002			1480		
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1			
Volume Total	29	67	41	112			
Volume Left	9	07	0	0			
Volume Right	20	0	8	0			
cSH	911	1700	1700	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.07			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	2	0.04	0.02	0.07			
Control Delay (s)	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	9.1 A	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Approach Delay (s)	9.1	0.0		0.0			
Approach LOS	9.1 A	0.0		0.0			
•	A						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay	.,		1.1				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		15.4%	IC	U Level (of Service	ì
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	•	•	†	<i>></i>	/	 	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	W		ĵ.			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1	4	95	2	7	104	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1	4	95	2	7	104	
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	4	103	2	8	113	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)						261	
pX, platoon unblocked	1.00					_0.	
vC, conflicting volume	233	104			105		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	200						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	233	104			105		
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	100	100			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	751	951			1486		
			CD 1				
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	5	105	121				
Volume Left	1	0	8				
Volume Right	4	2	0				
cSH	903	1700	1486				
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.06	0.01				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0				
Control Delay (s)	9.0	0.0	0.5				
Lane LOS	Α		Α				
Approach Delay (s)	9.0	0.0	0.5				
Approach LOS	А						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.5				
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	ion		21.2%	IC	U Level	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	٠	*	•	<u></u>		4
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	W			4	f	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	7	2	4	133	129	13
Future Volume (Veh/h)	7	2	4	133	129	13
Sign Control	Stop			Free	Free	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	8	2	4	145	140	14
Pedestrians		_		110	110	
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type				None	None	
Median storage veh)				INUITE	NOTIC	
Upstream signal (ft)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
	300	147	154			
vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol	300	14/	154			
vC2, stage 2 conf vol	200	1 17	1			
vCu, unblocked vol	300	147	154			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2	4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)	0.5	0.0	0.0			
tF (s)	3.5	3.3	2.2			
p0 queue free %	99	100	100			
cM capacity (veh/h)	690	900	1426			
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	10	149	154			
Volume Left	8	4	0			
Volume Right	2	0	14			
cSH	723	1426	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.00	0.09			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	1	0	0			
Control Delay (s)	10.0	0.2	0.0			
Lane LOS	В	Α				
Approach Delay (s)	10.0	0.2	0.0			
Approach LOS	В					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.4			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		20.2%	IC	CU Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)	-		15		,	
marysis r chou (min)			10			

APPENDIX F

Alternative Additional Analyses Including the Potential Redevelopment of the American Legion (Toll Brothers) Site as Pipeline Development and a Potential Future Bike Lane on Walnut Street (By Others)

Alternative Table 7-1 - With Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) Redevelopment

Breezeway Property

Total Future Intersection Capacity Analysis Summary

		Intersection	Annroach	<u>Exis</u>	ting	<u>Backgrou</u>	nd Future	<u>Total</u>	<u>Future</u>
	Intersection	Control	Approach	AM Peak	PM Peak	AM Peak	PM Peak	AM Peak	PM Peak
1.	Fairfax Boulevard & Meredith Drive/Oak	Signal	EB Appr	B (17.8)	A (8.8)	B (18.7)	A (9.1)	B (18.8)	A (9.9)
	Street		WB Appr	B (14.9)	B (17.3)	B (15.9)	B (17.3)	B (16.5)	B (17.8)
			NB Appr	F (87.1)	F (100.2)	F (84.2)	F (100.0)	F (84.1)	F (100.0)
			SB Appr	F (88.4)	F (102.4)	F (88.3)	F (104.7)	F (88.3)	F (104.7)
			Overall	C (21.2)	B (18.7)	C (22.3)	B (18.9)	C (22.7)	B (19.5)
2.	Fairfax Boulevard & Fairchester	Signal	EB Appr	B (13.0)	A (8.0)	B (12.8)	A (8.3)	B (15.2)	B (10.9)
	Drive/Walnut Street		WB Appr	A (3.0)	A (1.7)	A (2.8)	A (1.7)	A (3.1)	A (6.0)
	Includes potential future reduction to single		NB Appr	E (76.5)	F (90.3)	E (76.6)	F (90.4)	E (76.3)	F (89.8)
	northbound lane on Walnut Street		SB Appr	F (92.7)	F (105.7)	F (91.7)	F (103.9)	F (87.6)	F (95.6)
			Overall	B (14.6)	A (9.4)	B (14.0)	A (8.9)	B (15.8)	B (12.8)
3.	Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue	Stop Control	EB Appr	A (0.0)	A (9.4)	B (10.7)	A (9.4)	A (7.7)	A (7.3)
			WB Appr	A (9.4)	A (9.6)	A (9.4)	A (9.5)	A (7.2)	A (7.4)
			NB Appr	A (0.4)	A (0.1)	A (0.4)	A (0.1)	A (7.8)	A (7.6)
			SB Appr	A (3.2)	A (1.5)	A (3.3)	A (1.7)	A (7.7)	A (7.8)
			Overall	A (2.4)	A (2.5)	A (2.6)	A (2.5)	A (7.7)	A (7.6)
4.	Walnut Street/Second Street	Stop	EB Appr	A (7.5)	A (7.3)	A (7.4)	A (7.3)	A (7.4)	A (7.4)
			WB Appr	A (7.2)	A (7.3)	A (7.2)	A (7.3)	A (7.2)	A (7.3)
			NB Appr	A (7.8)	A (7.5)	A (7.8)	A (7.5)	A (7.8)	A (7.6)
			SB Appr	A (7.5)	A (7.7)	A (7.4)	A (7.6)	A (7.5)	A (7.7)
			Overall	A (7.7)	A (7.6)	A (7.6)	A (7.5)	A (7.6)	A (7.6)
5.	Oak Street/Second Street	Stop	EB Appr	A (7.0)	A (7.1)	A (7.1)	A (7.2)	A (7.1)	A (7.3)
			NB Appr	A (7.7)	A (7.7)	A (7.9)	A (8.1)	A (7.9)	A (8.1)
			SB Appr	A (7.8)	A (7.7)	A (8.1)	A (8.0)	A (8.1)	A (8.0)
			Overall	A (7.7)	A (7.7)	A (8.0)	A (8.0)	A (8.0)	A (8.0)
6.	Oak Street/Cedar Avenue/Panther Place	Stop	EB Appr	A (8.1)	A (7.6)	A (8.2)	A (7.8)	A (8.3)	A (7.8)
			WB Appr	A (8.0)	A (7.5)	A (8.4)	A (7.9)	A (8.5)	A (8.0)
			NB Appr	A (8.1)	A (7.9)	A (8.5)	A (8.2)	A (8.6)	A (8.3)
			SB Appr	A (8.4)	A (7.9)	A (8.7)	A (8.2)	A (8.7)	A (8.4)
_			Overall	A (8.2)	A (7.8)	A (8.5)	A (8.2)	A (8.6)	A (8.3)
Α.	Fairfax Boulevard/ Site Driveway	Stop	EB Appr					A (0.0)	A (0.0)
			WB Appr	Future In	tersection	Future In	tersection	A (0.1)	A (0.2)
			NB Appr					B (10.1)	B (12.4)
_	Walnut Chart Commercial City Di	04	Overall					B (0.0)	B (0.2)
В.	Walnut Street/ Commercial Site Driveway	Stop	WB Appr					A (9.0)	A (9.2)
			NB Appr	Future In	tersection	Future In	tersection	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
			SB Appr					A (0.0)	A (0.0)
			Overall					A (0.0)	A (1.1)
C.	Walnut Street/ Residential Site Driveway	Stop	WB Appr					A (9.2)	A (9.0)
			NB Appr	Future In	tersection	Future In	tersection	A (0.0)	A (0.0)
			SB Appr					A (0.2)	A (0.5)
	0.1.0: 1/0.11.11.5		Overall					A (0.4)	A (0.5)
D.	Oak Street/ Residential Driveway	Stop	EB Appr					B (10.9)	B (11.3)
	To be aligned with the relocated American		WB Appr					B (10.7)	B (10.9)
	Legion / Toll Brothers Driveway		NB Appr	r Future Intersection		Future Intersection		A (0.1)	A (0.2)
			SB Appr					A (0.9)	A (1.6)
			Overall					A (2.0)	A (2.2)

1: Meredith Drive/Fairfax Boulevard

Distri	

Traffic Component	Right	Southbound Meredith Drive Through	Left	Right	Westbound Fairfax Boulevar Through	<u>d</u> Left	Right	Northbound Oak Street Through	Left	E Right	Eastbound airfax Boulevar Through	<u>°d</u> Left
Pipeline Developments												
Novus Fairfax Gateway				ı	Assignme	ent Per No	vus Gatewa	y TIA		ı		
Paul VI - Redevelopment					Assi	gnment Per	Paul VI TIA					
Toll Brothers - American Legion				A	ssignment per 1	Foll Brothe	rs - America	n Legion TIA				
Breezeway Site Development												
Commercial					45%						-45%	
Two Over Two Town Homes					50%						-50%	
Town Homes						50%	-50%					

I: Meredith Drive/Fairfax Boulevard AM Peak Hour

2024

Traffic Component				Southbound eredith Drive Through	Left	<u>Fa</u> Right	Westbound <u>airfax Boulevar</u> Through	<u>d</u> Left	Right	Northbound Oak Street Through	Left	<u>Fa</u> Right	Eastbound irfax Boulevan Through	<u>rd</u> Left
Existing Traffic Volume			18	1	9	3	588	67	79	12	38	18	1,692	21
Growth			- 1	-	-	-	18	2	2	-	1	- 1	51	- 1
Existing Adjusted			19	1	9	3	606	69	81	12	39	19	1,743	22
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway	117	214					22						50	
Paul VI - Redevelopment	71	141					46				2	- 1	33	
Toll Brothers - American Legion	26	48						16	24					
Subtota	214	403	-	-	-	-	68	16	24	-	2	1	83	-
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)			19	1	9	3	674	85	105	12	41	20	1,826	22
Site Assignment														
Commercial	6	3			-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Two Over Two Town Homes	2	8			-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
Town Homes	5	16		<u> </u>				3	8					
Site Total	13	27	-	-	-	-	4	3	8	-	-	-	5	-
Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)			19	1	9	3	678	88	113	12	41	20	1,831	22

I: Meredith Drive/Fairfax Boulevard

PM Peak Hour

Traffic Component		Southbound Meredith Drive Through	Left	Westbound <u>Fairfax Boulevard</u> Right Through Left			Right	Northbound Oak Street Through	Left	Eastbound <u>Fairfax Boulevard</u> Right Through Left				
Existing Traffic Volume			10	8 9	15	_	1,455	76	88	4	21	22	1,031	32
Growth				I -	-	-	44	2	3	-	- 1	1	31	- 1
Existing Adjusted			11	9 9	15	-	1,499	78	91	4	22	23	1,062	33
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway	295	206					60						32	
Paul VI - Redevelopment	221	190					99				1	2	104	
Toll Brothers - American Legion	26	48						29	23					
Sub	ototal 542	444			-	-	159	29	23	-	- 1	2	136	-
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)			Į.	9 9	15	-	1,658	107	114	4	23	25	1,198	33
Site Assignment														
Commercial	48	51				-	22		-	-	-	-	23	-
Two Over Two Town Homes	9	5			-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	3	-
Town Homes	17	10						9	5					
Site Total	74	66			-	-	27	9	5	-	-	-	26	-
Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)			19	9 9	15	-	1,685	116	119	4	23	25	1,224	33

2: Fairchester Drive/Fairfax Boulevard

I rip	Distri	bution
-------	--------	--------

Traffic Component	Southbound <u>Fairchester Drive</u> Right Through Left	Westbound <u>Fairfax Boulevard</u> Right Through Left	Northbound <u>Walnut Street</u> Right Through Left	Eastbound <u>Fairfax Boulevard</u> Right Through Left					
Pipeline Developments Novus Fairfax Gateway Paul VI - Redevelopment Toll Brothers - American Legion	Assignment Per Novus Gateway TIA Assignment Per Paul VI TIA Assignment per Toll Brothers - American Legion TIA								
Breezeway Site Development Commercial Two Over Two Town Homes Town Homes	5%	-5% 50%	-5% -30% -50% -25% -25%	35% 25% 25%					

2: Fairchester Drive/Fairfax Boulevard AM Peak Hour

2024

Traffic Component		Southbound rchester Drive Through	<u>e</u> Left	Westbound <u>Fairfax Boulevard</u> Right Through Left				Northbound Walnut Street Through		Eastbound <u>Fairfax Boulevard</u> Right Through Left				
Existing Traffic Volume			12	25	25	18	606	20	45	26	13	17	1,661	10
Growth			-	I	- 1	1	18	1	- 1	1	-	1	50	-
Existing Adjusted			12	26	26	19	624	21	46	27	13	18	1,711	10
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway		214					22						50	
Paul VI - Redevelopment	71	141					48				2	1	34	
Toll Brothers - American Legion	26	48									_			
Subtot		403	-	-	-	-	70	-	-	-	2	1	84	-
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)			12	26	26	19	694	21	46	27	15	19	1,795	10
Site Assignment														
Commercial	6	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		1	-	2	-
Two Over Two Town Homes	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	1	4		2	1		-
Town Homes	5	16	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	4	1	-	-
Site Total	13	27	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	-	7	2	2	-
Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)			12	26	26	19	694	22	50	27	22	21	1,797	10

2: Fairchester Drive/Fairfax Boulevard rairchester L PM Peak Hour

Traffic Component					Southbound	e	Ez	Westbound	L		Northbound Walnut Street		Eastbound Fairfax Boulevard		
·				Right	Through	Left	Right	Through	Left	Right	Through	Left	Right	Through	Left
Existing Traffic Volume				14	23	33	14	1,458	22	34	26	16	11	1,018	21
Growth				-	1	- 1	-	44	- 1	- 1	1	-	-	31	- 1
Existing Adjusted				14	24	34	14	1,502	23	35	27	16	- 11	1,049	22
Pipeline Developments		IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway		295	206					60						32	
Paul VI - Redevelopment		221	190					100				- 1	2	106	
Toll Brothers - American Legion		26	48												
	Subtotal	542	444	-	-	-	-	160	-	-	-	1	2	138	-
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)		14	24	34	14	1,662	23	35	27	17	13	1,187	22		
Site Assignment															
Commercial		48	51	-	-	2	-	3	-	-	3	15	-	17	-
Two Over Two Town Homes		9	5	-	-	-	-	-	5	3	-	1	2	-	-
Town Homes		17	10									3	4		
Site Total		74	66	-	-	2	-	3	5	3	3	19	6	17	-
Total Future (With Toll Brothers	Included)			14	24	36	14	1,665	28	38	30	36	19	1,204	22

3: Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue

Trip Distribution

Commercial

Town Homes

Two Over Two Town Homes

Traffic Component	Southbound Walnut Street Right Through Left	Westbound <u>Cedar Avenue</u> Right Through Left	Northbound <u>Walnut Street</u> Right Through Left	Eastbound <u>Commercial Drive</u> Right Through Left
Pipeline Developments Novus Fairfax Gateway Paul VI - Redevelopment Toll Brothers - American Legion		Assignment Per Ni Assignment Pe Assignment per Toll Broth	er Paul VI TIA	
Breezeway Site Development		Ş 11 Y		

15%

-15%

-25%

3: Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue
AM Peak Hour

Traffic Component			Right	Southbound <u>Walnut Street</u> Through	Left	Right	Westbound <u>Cedar Avenue</u> Through	Left		Northbound Walnut Street Through	: Left	<u>Cc</u> Right	Eastbound ommercial Dri Through	<u>ve</u> Left
Existing Traffic Volume Growth Existing Adjusted Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT	- - I	43 I 44	31 1 32	21 1 22		7 - 7	28 I 29	94 3 97	6 - 6		- - -	 -
Novus Fairfax Gateway Paul VI - Redevelopment Toll Brothers - American Legion Subto	117 71 <u>26</u> tal 214	214 141 48 403	-		1	2	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)			ı	44	33	24	-	8	29	97	6	-	-	ı
Site Assignment Commercial	6	3	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	1	_		_	
Two Over Two Town Homes Town Homes	2	8	-	2	-	- 4	-	-	-	İ	-		-	-
Site Total	13	27	-	2	ī	4	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)			ı	46	34	28	-	8	29	99	6	-	-	1

3: Walnut Street/Cedar Avenue

Southbound Westbound Northbound Eastbound Walnut Street Cedar Avenue Walnut Street Commercial Drive Right Through Right Through Right Through Right Through Left Existing Traffic Volume 13 65 Growth 2 2 Existing Adjusted 2 70 18 14 18 13 67 Pipeline Developments OUT 295 Novus Fairfax Gateway 206 190 Paul VI - Redevelopment 221 Toll Brothers - American Legion 26 48 542 444 Background (With Toll Brothers Included) 70 20 67 15 18 14 Site Assignment 51 8 Commercial 48 Two Over Two Town Homes 2 Town Homes 10 17 Site Total 66 Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included) 2 79 24 18 18 14 76 3

4: Walnut Street/Second Street

	bution

Traffic Component	Southbound Walnut Street Right Through	Left	Right	Westbound Second Street Through	Left		Northbound Walnut Street Through	Left	Right	Eastbound Second Street Through	Left
Pipeline Developments Novus Fairfax Gateway Paul VI - Redevelopment Toll Brothers - American Legion				-	signment Pe	ovus Gatew er Paul VI TI ers - Americ	Á				
Breezeway Site Development Commercial Two Over Two Town Homes Town Homes	-15% -25%						15% 25%				

4: Walnut Street/Second Street
AM Peak Hour
2024

Traffic Component				Southbound Walnut Street Through	E Left	Right	Westbound Second Street Through	Left	Right	Northbound Walnut Street Through		Right	Eastbound Second Street Through	Left
Existing Traffic Volume			2	49	3	4	2	2	3	109	2	9	9	13
Growth			-	1	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
Existing Adjusted			2	50	3	4	2	2	3	112	2	9	9	13
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway	117	214												
Paul VI - Redevelopment	71	141		1						-				
Toll Brothers - American Legion	26	48												
Subtotal	214	403	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)			2	51	3	4	2	2	3	112	2	9	9	13
Site Assignment														
Commercial	6	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Two Over Two Town Homes	2	8	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Town Homes	5	16												
Site Total	13	27	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)			2	53	3	4	2	2	3	114	2	9	9	13

4: Walnut Street/Second Street PM Peak Hour

Traffic Component				Southbound Valnut Street Through	Left	Right	Westbound Second Street Through	Left		Northbound Walnut Street Through	Left	Right	Eastbound Second Street Through	Left
Existing Traffic Volume			2	89	3	1	5	_	1	66	6	3	11	1
Growth			-	3	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
Existing Adjusted			2	92	3	- 1	5	-	I	68	6	3	11	- 1
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway	295	206												
Paul VI - Redevelopment	221	190		-						1				
Toll Brothers - American Legion	26	48												
Subtotal	542	444	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	I	-	-	-	-
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)			2	92	3	I	5		I	69	6	3	П	I
Site Assignment														
Commercial	48	51	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-
Two Over Two Town Homes	9	5	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
Town Homes	17	10												
Site Total	74	66	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	-	-	-
Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)			2	101	3	ı	5	-	1	78	6	3	11	1

5.: Oak Street/Second Street

	bution

Traffic Component	Right	Southbound Oak Street Through	Left	<u>Fu</u> Right	Westbound ture Toll Brothe Through	<u>ers</u> Left	Right	Northbound Oak Street Through	Left	Right	Eastbound Second Street Through	Left
Pipeline Developments Novus Fairfax Gateway Paul VI - Redevelopment Toll Brothers - American Legion						signment Pe	ovus Gatew er Paul VI T ers - Ameri	IÁ	A.			
Breezeway Site Development Commercial Two Over Two Town Homes Town Homes		-25%						25%				

2024 5.: Oak Street/Second Street AM Peak Hour

Traffic Component			Right	Southbound Oak Street Through	Left	Right	Westbound <u>No Road</u> Through	Left	Right	Northbound Oak Street Through	Left	Right	Eastbound Second Street Through	Left
Existing Traffic Volume			3	112		_	-		_	101	2	7	-	ı
Growth			-	3	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-		
Existing Adjusted			3	115	-	-	-	-	-	104	2	7	-	- 1
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway	117	214												
Paul VI - Redevelopment	71	141		22						6				
Toll Brothers - American Legion	26	48		24						16				
Subtotal	214	403	-	46	-	-	-	-	-	22	-	-	-	
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)			3	161	-	-	-	-	-	126	2	7		ı
Site Assignment														
Commercial	6	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Two Over Two Town Homes	2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Town Homes	5	16		4						1				
Site Total	13	27	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)			3	165	-	-	-	-	-	127	2	7	-	1

5.: Oak Street/Second Street J.: Oak Street/S

Traffic Component			Right	Southbound Oak Street Through	Left	Right	Westbound <u>No Road</u> Through	Left	Right	Northbound Oak Street Through	Left	Right	Eastbound Second Street Through	Left
Existing Traffic Volume			2	99		_	-		_	95	4	10		3
Growth			-	3	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
Existing Adjusted			2	102	-	-	-	-	-	98	4	10	-	3
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway	295	206												
Paul VI - Redevelopment	221	190		13						21				
Toll Brothers - American Legion	26	48		23						29				
Subtota	1 542	444	-	36	-	-	-	-	-	50	-	-	-	-
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)			2	138	-	-	-	-	-	148	4	10	-	3
Site Assignment	_													
Commercial	48	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Two Over Two Town Homes	9	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Town Homes	17	10		2				:		4				
Site Total	74	66	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-
Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)			2	140	-	-	-	-	-	152	4	10	-	3

6.: Oak Street/Cedar Avenue Trip Distribution

l rip	Distri	bution
-------	--------	--------

Traffic Component	Right	Southbound Oak Street Through	Left	Right	Westbound Panther Place Through	Left	Right	Northbound Oak Street Through	Left	Right	Eastbound Cedar Avenue Through	Left
Pipeline Developments Novus Fairfax Gateway					Assignm	nent Per Ne	ovus Gatew	ay TIA				
Paul VI - Redevelopment						•	er Paul VI TI					
Toll Brothers - American Legion				Ī	Assignment per	I oll Broth	ers - Americ	can Legion 11A	•	I		
Breezeway Site Development												
Commercial												
Two Over Two Town Homes												
Town Homes		50%						-50%	-25%	25%		

6.: Oak Street/Cedar Avenue AM Peak Hour

2024

									T					
Traffic Component				Southbound Oak Street			Westbound Panther Place			Northbound Oak Street			Eastbound Cedar Avenue	
Traffic Component														
			Right	Through	Left	Right	Through	Left	Right	Through	Left	Right	Through	Left
Existing Traffic Volume			4	81	33	36	8	31	29	82	1	9	29	2
Growth			-	2	1	1	-	- 1	- 1	2	-	-	1	
Existing Adjusted			4	83	34	37	8	32	30	84	1	9	30	2
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway	117	214												
Paul VI - Redevelopment	71	141			1	2	3	22	6				1	
Toll Brothers - American Legion	26	48		16						24				
Subtotal	214	403	-	16	- 1	2	3	22	6	24	-	-	1	
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)			4	99	35	39	П	54	36	108	1	9	31	2
Site Assignment														
Commercial	6	3	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Two Over Two Town Homes	2	8	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Town Homes	5	16	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	8	4	1	-	
Site Total	13	27	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	8	4	ı	-	
Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)			4	102	35	39	11	54	36	116	5	10	31	2

6.: Oak Street/Cedar Avenue

PM Peak Hour

Traffic Component			Right	Southbound Oak Street Through	Left	Right	Westbound Panther Place Through	Left	Right	Northbound <u>Oak Street</u> Through	Left	Right	Eastbound <u>Cedar Avenue</u> Through	Left
Existing Traffic Volume			19	98	5	8	5	6	4	99	6	9	3	12
Growth			- 1	3	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
Existing Adjusted			20	101	5	8	5	6	4	102	6	9	3	12
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway	295	206												
Paul VI - Redevelopment	221	190			2	1	1	13	21				2	
Toll Brothers - American Legion	26	48		29						23				
Su	ototal 542	444	-	29	2	1	I	13	21	23	-	-	2	-
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)			20	130	7	9	6	19	25	125	6	9	5	12
Site Assignment														
Commercial	48	51	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Two Over Two Town Homes	9	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Town Homes	17	10		9						5	3	4		
Site Total	74	66	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	5	3	4	-	-
Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)		20	139	7	9	6	19	25	130	9	13	5	12

A.: Commercial Drive/Fairfax Boulevard Trip Distribution

rıp	Disti	ibutio	
	rıp	rip Disti	rip Distribution

Traffic Component	Right	Southbound None Through	Left	Right	Westbound Fairfax Boulevard Through	Left		Northbound ommercial Dri Through	<u>ve</u> Left	Right	Eastbound airfax Boulevard Through	<u>d</u> Left
Pipeline Developments Novus Fairfax Gateway Paul VI - Redevelopment Toll Brothers - American Legion						signment Pe	ovus Gatew er Paul VI Ti ers - Americ	Á				
Breezeway Site Development Commercial Two Over Two Town Homes Town Homes					50%	45%	-45%		-5%	40%	-50%	

A.: Commercial Drive/Fairfax Boulevard AM Peak Hour

2024

Traffic Component			Right	Southbound <u>None</u> Through	Left	<u>F</u> Right	Westbound airfax Boulevard Through	<u>d</u> Left		Northbound ommercial Dri Through	<u>ve</u> Left	<u>F:</u> Right	Eastbound airfax Boulevan Through	<u>d</u> Left
Existing Traffic Volume							644						1,731	
Growth			-	-	-	-	19	-	-	-	-	-	52	
Existing Adjusted			-	-	-	-	663	-	-	-	-	-	1,783	
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway	11	7 21	1				22						50	
Paul VI - Redevelopment	7	1 14					48						33	
Toll Brothers - American Legion	2	6 4	3											
Sul	btotal 21	4 40	-	-	-	-	70	-	-	-	-	-	83	
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)				-	-	-	733	-	-	-	-	-	1,866	
Site Assignment														
Commercial		6	3 -		-	-	-	3	1		-	2	-	
Two Over Two Town Homes		2	3 -	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	4	
Town Homes		5 10	-											
Site Total	1	3 27	' -	-	-	-	1	3	1	-	-	2	4	
Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)		-	-	-	-	734	3	ı	-		2	1,870	

A.: Commercial Drive/Fairfax Boulevard

Traffic Component				Right	Southbound <u>None</u> Through	Left	E Right	Westbound airfax Boulevard Through	l Left		Northbound ommercial Driv Through	ve Left	Ea Right	Eastbound airfax Boulevard Through	rd Left
Existing Traffic Volume								1,494						1,085	
Growth				-	-	-	-	45	-	-	-	-	-	33	
Existing Adjusted				-	-	-	-	1,539	-	-	-	-	-	1,118	
Pipeline Developments		IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway		295	206					60						32	
Paul VI - Redevelopment		221	190					100						104	
Toll Brothers - American Legion	-	26	48												
	Subtotal	542	444	-	-	-	-	160	-	-	-	-	-	136	
Background (With Toll Brothers Inc	luded)			-	-	-	-	1,699	-	-	-	-	-	1,254	
Site Assignment															
Commercial	-	48	51	-		-	-	-	22	23		3	19	-	
Two Over Two Town Homes		9	5	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	3	
Town Homes	-	17	10												
Site Total		74	66	-	-	-	-	5	22	23	-	3	19	3	
Total Future (With Toll Brothers In	cluded)			-	-	-		1,704	22	23	-	3	19	1,257	

B.: Walnut Street/Commercial RIRO Drive

	butior

Traffic Component	Right	Southbound Walnut Street Through	Left	<u>Com</u> Right	Westbound mercial RIRO D Through	<mark>Orive</mark> Left		Northbound <u>Walnut Street</u> Through	Left	Right	Eastbound None Through	Left
Pipeline Developments Novus Fairfax Gateway Paul VI - Redevelopment Toll Brothers - American Legion	Assignment Per Novus Gateway TIA Assignment Per Paul VI TIA Assignment per Toll Brothers - American Legion TIA											
Breezeway Site Development Commercial Two Over Two Town Homes Town Homes		75% 25%		-35%		-15%	15%	-75% -25%				

B.: Walnut Street/Commercial RIRO Drive AM Peak Hour

2024

Eastbound None

Through

Left

Right

92

Traffic Component			Right	Southbound Walnut Street Through	Left	<u>Com</u> Right	Westbound mercial RIRO E Through	<u>Orive</u> Left	Right	Northbound <u>Walnut Street</u> Through	Left	Right	Eastbound <u>None</u> Through	Left
Existing Traffic Volume				75						116				
Growth			-	2	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
Existing Adjusted			-	77	-	-	-	-	-	119	-	-	-	-
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway	117	214												
Paul VI - Redevelopment	71	141		1						2				
Toll Brothers - American Legion	26	48												
Subtotal	214	403	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)			-	78	-	-	-	-	-	121	-	-	-	-
Site Assignment														
Commercial	6	3	-		-	1		-	- 1	-	-	-		-
Two Over Two Town Homes	2	8	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-
Town Homes	5	16		1						4				
Site Total	13	27	-	3	-	ı	-	-	ı	10	-	-	-	-
Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)			-	81	-	ı	-	-	ı	131	-	-	-	-

B.: Walnut Street/Commercial RIRO Drive PM Peak Hour

Two Over Two Town Homes Town Homes

Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)

Site Total

Traffic Component			Right	Southbound Walnut Street Through	: Left	<u>Com</u> Right	Westbound mercial RIRO D Through	<u>Orive</u> Left	Right	Northbound <u>Walnut Street</u> Through	Left
Existing Traffic Volume				87						82	
Growth			-	. 3	-	-	-	-	-	2	
Existing Adjusted			-	90	-	-	-	-	-	84	
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT									
Novus Fairfax Gateway	295	206									
Paul VI - Redevelopment	221	190		2						1	
Toll Brothers - American Legion	26	48									
Subto	al 542	444	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)			-	92		-	-		-	85	
Site Assignment	_										
Commercial	48	51	-	-	-	18		8	7	-	

103

10

18

18

C.: Walnut Street/Residential Drive

	ı	rıp	L	ISI	tri	D	uti	IOI	1

Traffic Component	Right	Southbound Walnut Street Through	Left	Right	Westbound Residential Drive Through	Left	Right	Northbound Walnut Street Through	Left	Right	Eastbound None Through	Left
Pipeline Developments Novus Fairfax Gateway Paul VI - Redevelopment Toll Brothers - American Legion	Assignment Per Novus Gateway TIA Assignment Per Paul VI TIA Assignment per Toll Brothers - American Legion TIA											
Breezeway Site Development Commercial Two Over Two Town Homes Town Homes		-15% 25%	75%	-75%		-25%	25%	15% -25%				

C.: Walnut Street/Residential Drive AM Peak Hour

2024

Traffic Component			Right	Southbound <u>Walnut Street</u> Through	Left	Right	Westbound Residential Drive Through	Left	Right	Northbound <u>Walnut Street</u> Through	Left	Right	Eastbound <u>None</u> Through	Left
Existing Traffic Volume				75	-		-	_	-	116	_	-		
Growth			-	. 2	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-		
Existing Adjusted			-	77	-	-	-	-	-	119	-	-	-	
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway	117	214												
Paul VI - Redevelopment	71	141		1						2				
Toll Brothers - American Legion	26	48												
Subtotal	214	403	-	· I	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)				78	-	-	-			121	-	-		
Site Assignment														
Commercial	6	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Two Over Two Town Homes	2	8	-	-	2	6	-	2	- 1	-	-	-	-	
Town Homes	5	16		1						4				
Site Total	13	27	-	ı	2	6	-	2	ı	5	-	-	-	
Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)			_	79	2	6		2		126	_	_	_	

C.: Walnut Street/Residential Drive

PM Peak Hour

Traffic Component				Southbound Walnut Street Through	Left	<u>R</u> Right	Westbound esidential Drive Through	Left	Right	Northbound Walnut Street Through		Right	Eastbound <u>None</u> Through	Left
Existing Traffic Volume			_	87	_	_	_		_	82		_	_	
Growth			-	3	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-
Existing Adjusted			-	90	-	-	-	-	-	84	-	-	-	-
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway	295	206												
Paul VI - Redevelopment	221	190		2						1				
Toll Brothers - American Legion	26	48												
Subtot	al 542	444	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	I	-	-	-	-
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)			-	92	-	-	-	-	-	85	-	-		-
Site Assignment														
Commercial	48	51	-	8	-	-		-	-	7	-	-		-
Two Over Two Town Homes	9	5	-	-	7	4	-	- 1	2	-	-	-	-	-
Town Homes	17	10		4						3				
Site Total	74	66	-	12	7	4	-	- 1	2	10	-	-	-	-
Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)			-	104	7	4	-	1	2	95	-	-	-	-

D.: Oak Street/Residential Drive

Т	rip	С	Dis	tri	bu	tion	
Г							

Traffic Component	Right	Southbound Oak Street Through	Left	Relocate Right	Westbound ed American Leg Through	gion Dwy Left	Right	Northbound Oak Street Through	Left	Right R	Eastbound Residential Drive Through	<u>e</u> Left
Pipeline Developments Novus Fairfax Gateway					-	ment Per No		•				
Paul VI - Redevelopment Toll Brothers - American Legion				į.	Assignment per	ssignment Pe r Toll Broth						
Breezeway Site Development												
Commercial												
Two Over Two Town Homes												
Town Homes	75%								25%	-25%		-75%

D.: Oak Street/Residential Drive AM Peak Hour 2024

		Right	Southbound Oak Street Through	Left	Relocated Right		<u>ion Dwy</u> Left	Right	Northbound Oak Street Through	Left	<u>F</u> Right	Eastbound Residential Driv Through	<u>e</u> Left
affic			121	2	2		1	1	112				
		-	4		-	-		-	3		-	-	
		-	125	2	2	-	1	1	115		-	-	
IN	OUT												
117	214												
71	141		22						6				
26	48			16	12		24	8	12				
214	403	-	22	16	12	-	24	8	18	-	-	-	-
		-	147	18	14	-	25	9	133	-	-		-
6	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	16	4									4		12
13	27	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- 1	4	-	12
		4	147	18	14	-	25	9	133	- 1	4	-	12
	117 71 26 214	IN OUT 117 214 71 141 26 48 214 403 6 3 2 8 5 16	IN OUT 117 214 71 141 26 48 214 403 - - 6 3 - 2 8 - 5 16 4	Araffic Right Prough Paffic IIN OUT 117 214 71 141 226 48 214 403 - 22 - 147 6 3 - 147 6 3 - 147 6 3 5 16 4 13 13 27 4	Cak Street Right Through Left	Right Coak Street Relocated Right Relocated Right Right Relocated Right	Page 1	Color	No Color Right Color Right Color Right Right	Cak Street Right Through Left Relocated American Legion Dwy Right Through Left Right Through Right Through Through Left Right Through Right Through Through Right Through Through Right Through Through	Cak Street Right Through Left Relocated American Legion Dwy Right Through Left Through Left	Cak Street Right Through Left Relocated American Legion Dwy Right Through Left Through Left Right Through Left Through Left Through Left Right Through Left Through Left Right Through Left Right Through Left Through	Cak Street Right Through Left Relocated American Legion Dwy Right Through Left Right Through Through

D.: Oak Street/Residential Drive

PM Peak Hour

Traffic Component			Right	Southbound Oak Street Through	Left	<u>Relocated</u> Right	Westbound d American Leg Through	ion Dwy Left	Right	Northbound Oak Street Through	Left	<u>R</u> Right	Eastbound esidential Driv Through	<u>e</u> Left
Existing Traffic + Relocated American Legi	on Traffic			113	4	5			1	109				
Growth			-	3	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-
Existing Adjusted			-	116	4	5	-	-	1	112	-	-	-	-
Pipeline Developments	IN	OUT												
Novus Fairfax Gateway	295	206												
Paul VI - Redevelopment	221	190		13						21				
Toll Brothers - American Legion	26	48			29			23	14					
Su	ototal 542	444	-	13	29	П	-	23	14	32	-	-	-	-
Background (With Toll Brothers Included)			-	129	33	16	-	23	15	144	-	-	-	-
Site Assignment														
Commercial	48	51	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Two Over Two Town Homes	9	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Town Homes	17	10	13								4	2		7
Site Total	74	66	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	2	-	7
Total Future (With Toll Brothers Included)		13	129	33	16	-	23	15	144	4	2	-	7

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

1: Oak Street/Meredith Drive & Fairfax Boulevard

^-	710	1	10	2	1
U.	712	'//	'/I	1)/	Ή.

	۶	→	•	•	+	•	1	†	/	/	+	✓
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	, J	↑ ↑		7	↑ ↑			ર્ન	7		4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	22	1831	20	88	678	3	41	12	113	9	1	19
Future Volume (vph)	22	1831	20	88	678	3	41	12	113	9	1	19
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	4.6		5.6	4.6			4.5	4.5		4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85		0.91	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1597	3500		1805	3404			1829	1615		1705	
Flt Permitted	0.36	1.00		0.03	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (perm)	605	3500		61	3404			1829	1615		1705	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	24	1990	22	96	737	3	45	13	123	10	1	21
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	114	0	20	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	24	2012	0	96	740	0	0	58	9	0	12	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	13%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Split	NA	Perm	Split	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4	4		7	7	
Permitted Phases	2			6					4			
Actuated Green, G (s)	129.7	125.3		143.4	132.4			11.5	11.5		6.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	131.7	127.3		144.4	134.4			13.5	13.5		8.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.69	0.67		0.76	0.71			0.07	0.07		0.04	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6			6.5	6.5		6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	447	2345		161	2407			129	114		76	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.00	c0.57		c0.04	0.22			c0.03			c0.01	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.04			0.41					0.01			
v/c Ratio	0.05	0.86		0.60	0.31			0.45	0.08		0.16	
Uniform Delay, d1	9.1	24.3		55.0	10.4			84.7	82.4		87.3	
Progression Factor	1.01	0.65		1.00	1.00			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.0	3.1		5.8	0.3			2.5	0.3		1.0	
Delay (s)	9.3	18.9		60.8	10.7			87.2	82.7		88.3	
Level of Service	A	B		Е	В			F	F		F	
Approach Delay (s)		18.8			16.5			84.1			88.3	
Approach LOS		В			В			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			22.7	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		С			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	icity ratio		0.75									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			190.0		um of los				24.2			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		77.7%	IC	CU Level	of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

2: Walnut Street/Fairchester Drive & Fairfax Boulevard

	۶	→	•	€	←	•	•	†	~	\	↓	-√
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	∱ ∱		7	∱ ⊅			4		7	f)	
Traffic Volume (vph)	10	1797	21	22	694	19	22	27	50	26	26	12
Future Volume (vph)	10	1797	21	22	694	19	22	27	50	26	26	12
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	5.6		5.6	5.6			4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			0.93		1.00	0.95	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.99		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1671	3500		1805	3397			1630		1752	1773	
Flt Permitted	0.34	1.00		0.06	1.00			0.93		0.38	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	602	3500		119	3397			1526		702	1773	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	11	1953	23	24	754	21	24	29	54	28	28	13
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	0	0	9	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	11	1976	0	24	775	0	0	84	0	28	32	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	8%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	5%	12%	3%	3%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		1 01111	7		1 01111	3	
Permitted Phases	2	_		6	· ·		7	•		3	· ·	
Actuated Green, G (s)	145.0	142.2		147.8	143.6		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	23.9		12.5	12.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	147.0	143.2		149.8	144.6			25.9		14.5	14.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.77	0.75		0.79	0.76			0.14		0.08	0.08	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6			6.5		6.5	6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	487	2637		139	2585			208		53	135	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.00	c0.56		c0.00	0.23			200			0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.02	00.00		0.13	0.20			c0.05		c0.04	0.02	
v/c Ratio	0.02	0.75		0.17	0.30			0.40		0.53	0.24	
Uniform Delay, d1	5.0	13.2		15.0	7.0			75.0		84.5	82.5	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		0.93	0.35			1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.0	2.0		0.6	0.3			1.3		9.2	0.9	
Delay (s)	5.0	15.2		14.6	2.7			76.3		93.6	83.4	
Level of Service	A	В		В	Α			E		F	F	
Approach Delay (s)	,,	15.2			3.1			76.3		•	87.6	
Approach LOS		В			А			E			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			15.8	H	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.71									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			190.0		um of los				20.7			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		71.1%	IC	CU Level	of Service)		С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

3: Walnut Street & Cedar Avenue

	•	-	•	•	•	•	1	Ť		-	ţ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	0	0	8	0	28	6	99	29	34	46	1
Future Volume (vph)	1	0	0	8	0	28	6	99	29	34	46	1
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	0	0	9	0	30	7	108	32	37	50	1
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	1	39	147	88								
Volume Left (vph)	1	9	7	37								
Volume Right (vph)	0	30	32	1								
Hadj (s)	0.23	-0.38	-0.09	0.11								
Departure Headway (s)	4.7	4.0	4.0	4.2								
Degree Utilization, x	0.00	0.04	0.16	0.10								
Capacity (veh/h)	726	843	882	834								
Control Delay (s)	7.7	7.2	7.8	7.7								
Approach Delay (s)	7.7	7.2	7.8	7.7								
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			7.7									
Level of Service			А									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		25.0%	IC	CU Level	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

4: Walnut Street & Second Street

	•	→	•	√	+	•	•	†	<i>></i>	/	↓	-√
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	13	9	9	2	2	4	2	114	3	3	53	2
Future Volume (vph)	13	9	9	2	2	4	2	114	3	3	53	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	14	10	10	2	2	4	2	124	3	3	58	2
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	34	8	129	63								
Volume Left (vph)	14	2	2	3								
Volume Right (vph)	10	4	3	2								
Hadj (s)	-0.06	-0.22	0.02	0.02								
Departure Headway (s)	4.3	4.1	4.1	4.1								
Degree Utilization, x	0.04	0.01	0.15	0.07								
Capacity (veh/h)	804	825	862	852								
Control Delay (s)	7.4	7.2	7.8	7.5								
Approach Delay (s)	7.4	7.2	7.8	7.5								
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			7.6									
Level of Service			Α									
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		16.8%	IC	:U Level o	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

5: Oak Street & Second Street

	٠	*	•	†	↓	4
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥			ર્ન	ĵ»	
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	7	2	127	165	3
Future Volume (vph)	1	7	2	127	165	3
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	8	2	138	179	3
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total (vph)	9	140	182			
Volume Left (vph)	1	2	0			
Volume Right (vph)	8	0	3			
Hadj (s)	-0.48	0.04	0.02			
Departure Headway (s)	4.1	4.1	4.1			
Degree Utilization, x	0.01	0.16	0.21			
Capacity (veh/h)	800	854	873			
Control Delay (s)	7.1	7.9	8.1			
Approach Delay (s)	7.1	7.9	8.1			
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α			
Intersection Summary						
Delay			8.0			
Level of Service			Α			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		18.9%	IC	U Level c	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

6: Oak Street & Cedar Avenue

o. Oak Olioot a oo	dai 717C	niao										
	۶	→	•	•	+	•	•	†	/	/	+	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	31	10	54	11	39	5	116	36	35	102	4
Future Volume (vph)	21	31	10	54	11	39	5	116	36	35	102	4
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	23	34	11	59	12	42	5	126	39	38	111	4
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	68	113	170	153								
Volume Left (vph)	23	59	5	38								
Volume Right (vph)	11	42	39	4								
Hadj (s)	0.00	-0.08	-0.10	0.07								
Departure Headway (s)	4.8	4.7	4.4	4.6								
Degree Utilization, x	0.09	0.15	0.21	0.20								
Capacity (veh/h)	686	713	772	738								
Control Delay (s)	8.3	8.5	8.6	8.7								
Approach Delay (s)	8.3	8.5	8.6	8.7								
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			8.6									
Level of Service			А									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		35.6%	IC	CU Level	of Service			А			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

101: Site Driveway A & Fairfax Boulevard

	→	•	•	←	4	/
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	† ‡		ሻ	^	¥	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1870	2	3	734	0	1
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1870	2	3	734	0	1
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2033	2	3	798	0	1
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	TWLTL			TWLTL		
Median storage veh)	2			2		
Upstream signal (ft)	250			387		
pX, platoon unblocked			0.66		0.70	0.66
vC, conflicting volume			2035		2439	1018
vC1, stage 1 conf vol					2034	
vC2, stage 2 conf vol					405	
vCu, unblocked vol			1531		1683	0
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.8	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)					5.8	
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %			99		100	100
cM capacity (veh/h)			283		106	712
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	NB 1
Volume Total	1355	680	3	399	399	1
Volume Left	0	0	3	0	0	0
Volume Right	0	2	0	0	0	1
cSH	1700	1700	283	1700	1700	712
Volume to Capacity	0.80	0.40	0.01	0.23	0.23	0.00
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0.00	0	1	0.20	0.20	0
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	17.9	0.0	0.0	10.1
Lane LOS	0.0	0.0	C	0.0	0.0	В
Approach Delay (s)	0.0		0.1			10.1
Approach LOS	0.0		0.1			В
••						
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		61.8%	IC	:U Level o	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

102: Walnut Street & Site Driveway B

	•	•	†	<u> </u>	\	+
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	₩.	WDR	1	NON	ODL	<u> </u>
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	1	131	1	0	81
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	1	131	1	0	81
Sign Control	Stop		Free		0	Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0.72	1	142	1	0.72	88
Pedestrians	U		172		U	00
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)			None			None
Median type			NOHE			None
Median storage veh)						101
Upstream signal (ft)	0.00					131
pX, platoon unblocked	0.99	140			142	
vC, conflicting volume	230	142			143	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol	047	1.10			4.40	
vCu, unblocked vol	216	142			143	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	100	100			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	763	905			1440	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	1	143	88			
Volume Left	0	0	0			
Volume Right	1	1	0			
cSH	905	1700	1700			
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.08	0.05			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0			
Control Delay (s)	9.0	0.0	0.0			
Lane LOS	А					
Approach Delay (s)	9.0	0.0	0.0			
Approach LOS	А					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.0			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		17.0%	IC	III evel	of Service
Analysis Period (min)	Lution		17.076	10	O LEVEL	or activing
Analysis Penou (IIIII)			10			

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

103: Walnut Street & Site Driveway C

	•	A.	†	<u> </u>	\	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations	WDL WDL	WDIX	<u>₩</u>	NDIX	JDL	<u> </u>
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	2	6	126	1	2	79
Future Volume (Veh/h)	2	6	126	1	2	79
Sign Control	Stop		Free	'		Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	2	7	137	1	2	86
Pedestrians		,	137	·		00
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)			None			None
Median type			NOHE			None
Median storage veh)						24.4
Upstream signal (ft)	1.00					264
pX, platoon unblocked	1.00	120			120	
vC, conflicting volume	228	138			138	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol	225	100			100	
vCu, unblocked vol	225	138			138	
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	100	99			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	761	911			1446	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	9	138	88			
Volume Left	2	0	2			
Volume Right	7	1	0			
cSH	873	1700	1446			
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.08	0.00			
Queue Length 95th (ft)	1	0	0			
Control Delay (s)	9.2	0.0	0.2			
Lane LOS	А		А			
Approach Delay (s)	9.2	0.0	0.2			
Approach LOS	А					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.4			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		16.7%	IC	III evel	of Service
Analysis Period (min)	Lation		15.778	10	O LEVEL	JI JEI VICE
Analysis Penou (IIIII)			13			

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

104: Oak Street & Site Driveway D/Relocated American Legion Dwy

	٠	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	<i>></i>	\	ļ	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	12	0	4	25	0	14	1	133	9	18	147	4
Future Volume (Veh/h)	12	0	4	25	0	14	1	133	9	18	147	4
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	13	0	4	27	0	15	1	145	10	20	160	4
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	369	359	162	358	356	150	164			155		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	369	359	162	358	356	150	164			155		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	98	100	100	95	100	98	100			99		
cM capacity (veh/h)	571	559	883	588	561	896	1414			1425		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	17	42	156	184								
Volume Left	13	27	1	20								
Volume Right	4	15	10	4								
cSH	623	670	1414	1425								
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.06	0.00	0.01								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	2	5	0	1								
Control Delay (s)	10.9	10.7	0.1	0.9								
Lane LOS	В	В	А	А								
Approach Delay (s)	10.9	10.7	0.1	0.9								
Approach LOS	В	В										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			2.0									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		29.9%	IC	U Level	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

1: Oak Street/Meredith Drive & Fairfax Boulevard

Λ	7	122	120	21
v	11		120	<i>1</i> ∠ 1

	۶	→	•	•	+	•	1	†	/	/	+	✓
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	↑ ↑		7	↑ ↑			ર્ન	7		4	
Traffic Volume (vph)	33	1224	25	116	1685	0	23	4	119	15	9	19
Future Volume (vph)	33	1224	25	116	1685	0	23	4	119	15	9	19
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	4.6		5.6	4.6			4.5	4.5		4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00	1.00		1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			1.00	0.85		0.94	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1597	3496		1805	3406			1821	1615		1756	
Flt Permitted	0.08	1.00		0.15	1.00			0.96	1.00		0.98	
Satd. Flow (perm)	132	3496		284	3406			1821	1615		1756	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	36	1330	27	126	1832	0	25	4	129	16	10	21
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	122	0	14	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	36	1357	0	126	1832	0	0	29	7	0	33	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	13%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Split	NA	Perm	Split	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		4	4		7	7	
Permitted Phases	2			6					4			
Actuated Green, G (s)	161.9	155.7		171.5	160.5			9.6	9.6		8.5	
Effective Green, g (s)	163.9	157.7		173.5	162.5			11.6	11.6		10.5	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.75	0.72		0.79	0.74			0.05	0.05		0.05	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6			6.5	6.5		6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0	3.0		3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	146	2505		306	2515			96	85		83	
v/s Ratio Prot	0.01	0.39		c0.02	c0.54			c0.02	0.00		c0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.18	0.54		0.30	0.70			0.20	0.00		0.20	
v/c Ratio	0.25	0.54		0.41	0.73			0.30	0.08		0.39	
Uniform Delay, d1	16.2	14.4		11.0	16.3			100.3	99.1		101.7	
Progression Factor	0.91 0.8	0.63		1.00	1.00 1.9			1.00 1.8	1.00		1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	15.5	9.8		11.9	18.2			102.1	99.5		104.7	
Delay (s) Level of Service	13.3 B	9.0 A		11.9 B	10.2 B			102.1 F	99.5 F		104.7 F	
Approach Delay (s)	D	9.9		D	17.8			100.0	Г		104.7	
Approach LOS		7.7 A			17.0 B			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			19.5	Н	CM 2000	Level of S	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	city ratio		0.66	· ·		2.3.07						
Actuated Cycle Length (s)	.,		220.0	S	um of lost	time (s)			24.2			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		73.8%			of Service			D			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Signalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

2: Walnut Street/Fairchester Drive & Fairfax Boulevard

	۶	-	•	•	←	•	•	†	~	\	↓	√
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	∱ ∱		7	∱ ∱			44		ħ	f)	
Traffic Volume (vph)	22	1204	19	28	1665	14	36	30	38	36	24	14
Future Volume (vph)	22	1204	19	28	1665	14	36	30	38	36	24	14
Ideal Flow (vphpl)	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900	1900
Total Lost time (s)	5.6	5.6		5.6	5.6			4.5		4.5	4.5	
Lane Util. Factor	1.00	0.95		1.00	0.95			1.00		1.00	1.00	
Frt	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00			0.95		1.00	0.95	
Flt Protected	0.95	1.00		0.95	1.00			0.98		0.95	1.00	
Satd. Flow (prot)	1671	3498		1805	3403			1679		1752	1762	
Flt Permitted	0.08	1.00		0.17	1.00			0.88		0.47	1.00	
Satd. Flow (perm)	147	3498		326	3403			1498		867	1762	
Peak-hour factor, PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Adj. Flow (vph)	24	1309	21	30	1810	15	39	33	41	39	26	15
RTOR Reduction (vph)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	10	0
Lane Group Flow (vph)	24	1330	0	30	1825	0	0	103	0	39	31	0
Heavy Vehicles (%)	8%	3%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	5%	12%	3%	3%	0%
Turn Type	pm+pt	NA		pm+pt	NA		Perm	NA		Perm	NA	
Protected Phases	5	2		1	6		1 01111	7		1 01111	3	
Permitted Phases	2	_		6	· ·		7	•		3	Ü	
Actuated Green, G (s)	172.1	166.4		172.1	166.4		•	28.2		18.8	18.8	
Effective Green, g (s)	174.1	167.4		174.1	167.4			30.2		20.8	20.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.79	0.76		0.79	0.76			0.14		0.09	0.09	
Clearance Time (s)	6.6	6.6		6.6	6.6			6.5		6.5	6.5	
Vehicle Extension (s)	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0			3.0		3.0	3.0	
Lane Grp Cap (vph)	162	2661		303	2589			205		81	166	
v/s Ratio Prot	c0.00	0.38		0.00	c0.54			200		0.	0.02	
v/s Ratio Perm	0.11	0.00		0.08	00.01			c0.07		0.04	0.02	
v/c Ratio	0.15	0.50		0.10	0.70			0.50		0.48	0.19	
Uniform Delay, d1	12.5	10.1		6.8	13.6			87.9		94.5	91.8	
Progression Factor	1.00	1.00		0.09	0.36			1.00		1.00	1.00	
Incremental Delay, d2	0.4	0.7		0.1	1.2			1.9		4.5	0.5	
Delay (s)	12.9	10.8		0.7	6.1			89.8		98.9	92.4	
Level of Service	В	В		A	A			F		F	F	
Approach Delay (s)	_	10.9			6.0			89.8			95.6	
Approach LOS		В			А			F			F	
Intersection Summary												
HCM 2000 Control Delay			12.8	Н	CM 2000	Level of	Service		В			
HCM 2000 Volume to Capa	acity ratio		0.67									
Actuated Cycle Length (s)			220.0		um of los				20.7			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		67.4%	IC	CU Level	of Service)		С			
Analysis Period (min)			15									
c Critical Lane Group												

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

3: Walnut Street & Cedar Avenue

					_							
	•	-	•	•	•	•	1	Ť		-	↓	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	3	0	3	18	0	18	1	76	14	24	79	2
Future Volume (vph)	3	0	3	18	0	18	1	76	14	24	79	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	3	0	3	20	0	20	1	83	15	26	86	2
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	6	40	99	114								
Volume Left (vph)	3	20	1	26								
Volume Right (vph)	3	20	15	2								
Hadj (s)	-0.17	-0.17	-0.05	0.07								
Departure Headway (s)	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2								
Degree Utilization, x	0.01	0.05	0.11	0.13								
Capacity (veh/h)	801	813	863	848								
Control Delay (s)	7.3	7.4	7.6	7.8								
Approach Delay (s)	7.3	7.4	7.6	7.8								
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			7.6									
Level of Service			А									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		22.3%	IC	CU Level	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

4: Walnut Street & Second Street

	۶	→	•	•	—	•	•	†	<i>></i>	\	 	✓
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	1	11	3	0	5	1	6	78	1	3	101	2
Future Volume (vph)	1	11	3	0	5	1	6	78	1	3	101	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	12	3	0	5	1	7	85	1	3	110	2
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	16	6	93	115								
Volume Left (vph)	1	0	7	3								
Volume Right (vph)	3	1	1	2								
Hadj (s)	-0.07	-0.07	0.04	0.03								
Departure Headway (s)	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.1								
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.01	0.11	0.13								
Capacity (veh/h)	796	794	858	873								
Control Delay (s)	7.4	7.3	7.6	7.7								
Approach Delay (s)	7.4	7.3	7.6	7.7								
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			7.6									
Level of Service			Α									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ition		16.9%	IC	CU Level of	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

5: Oak Street & Second Street

	٠	*	4	†	\	4
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥			ર્ન	1>	
Sign Control	Stop			Stop	Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	3	10	4	152	140	2
Future Volume (vph)	3	10	4	152	140	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	3	11	4	165	152	2
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	NB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total (vph)	14	169	154			
Volume Left (vph)	3	4	0			
Volume Right (vph)	11	0	2			
Hadj (s)	-0.39	0.04	0.03			
Departure Headway (s)	4.2	4.1	4.1			
Degree Utilization, x	0.02	0.19	0.18			
Capacity (veh/h)	785	857	862			
Control Delay (s)	7.3	8.1	8.0			
Approach Delay (s)	7.3	8.1	8.0			
Approach LOS	Α	Α	Α			
Intersection Summary						
Delay			8.0			
Level of Service			Α			
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		21.2%	IC	U Level c	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

6: Oak Street & Cedar Avenue

U. Oak Officer & Oc	dai 71VC	niuc									0112	.Z/ZOZ I
	۶	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	/	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Stop			Stop	
Traffic Volume (vph)	12	5	13	19	6	9	9	130	25	7	139	20
Future Volume (vph)	12	5	13	19	6	9	9	130	25	7	139	20
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	13	5	14	21	7	10	10	141	27	8	151	22
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total (vph)	32	38	178	181								
Volume Left (vph)	13	21	10	8								
Volume Right (vph)	14	10	27	22								
Hadj (s)	-0.15	-0.01	-0.05	-0.03								
Departure Headway (s)	4.6	4.7	4.2	4.2								
Degree Utilization, x	0.04	0.05	0.21	0.21								
Capacity (veh/h)	715	699	830	832								
Control Delay (s)	7.8	8.0	8.3	8.4								
Approach Delay (s)	7.8	8.0	8.3	8.4								
Approach LOS	А	Α	Α	Α								
Intersection Summary												
Delay			8.3									
Level of Service			Α									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		21.8%	IC	CU Level	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

101: Site Driveway A & Fairfax Boulevard

	-	•	•	←	•	~
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	↑ 1>		*	^	¥	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1257	19	22	1704	3	23
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1257	19	22	1704	3	23
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1366	21	24	1852	3	25
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	TWLTL			TWLTL		
Median storage veh)	2			2		
Upstream signal (ft)	250			387		
pX, platoon unblocked			0.85	30.	0.76	0.85
vC, conflicting volume			1387		2350	694
vC1, stage 1 conf vol					1376	
vC2, stage 2 conf vol					974	
vCu, unblocked vol			1106		1259	291
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.8	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)					5.8	
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %			96		99	96
cM capacity (veh/h)			534		230	601
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	NB 1
Volume Total	911	476	24	926	926	28
Volume Left	0	0	24	0	0	3
Volume Right	0	21	0	0	0	25
cSH	1700	1700	534	1700	1700	512
Volume to Capacity	0.54	0.28	0.04	0.54	0.54	0.05
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0.01	0.20	4	0	0.01	4
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	12.1	0.0	0.0	12.4
Lane LOS	0.0	0.0	В	0.0	0.0	В
Approach Delay (s)	0.0		0.2			12.4
Approach LOS	0.0		0.2			В
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.2			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		57.1%	IC	CU Level of	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

102: Walnut Street & Site Driveway B

			•				
	•	•	Ť	~	-	¥	
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	W.B.L	WDIX	<u> </u>	NDI	JDL	<u> </u>	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	8	18	92	7	0	103	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	8	18	92	7	0	103	
Sign Control	Stop	10	Free	,	U	Free	
Grade	0%		0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	9	20	100	8	0.72	112	
Pedestrians	7	20	100	O .	U	112	
Lane Width (ft)							
` '							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)			Mana			Mono	
Median type			None			None	
Median storage veh)						1.45	
Upstream signal (ft)	0.00					145	
pX, platoon unblocked	0.99	404			100		
vC, conflicting volume	216	104			108		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	206	104			108		
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2		
p0 queue free %	99	98			100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	776	951			1483		
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	29	108	112				
Volume Left	9	0	0				
Volume Right	20	8	0				
cSH	889	1700	1700				
Volume to Capacity	0.03	0.06	0.07				
Queue Length 95th (ft)	3	0.00	0.07				
Control Delay (s)	9.2	0.0	0.0				
Lane LOS	Α.2	0.0	0.0				
Approach Delay (s)	9.2	0.0	0.0				
Approach LOS	7.2 A	0.0	0.0				
	٨						
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			1.1				
Intersection Capacity Utilizat	tion		15.4%	IC	U Level	of Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

103: Walnut Street & Site Driveway C

		2111011	a, c					
	•	•	†	/	>	↓		
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT		
Lane Configurations	W		f)			4		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	1	4	95	2	7	104		
Future Volume (Veh/h)	1	4	95	2	7	104		
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free		
Grade	0%		0%			0%		
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		
Hourly flow rate (vph)	1	4	103	2	8	113		
Pedestrians	'	•	100			110		
Lane Width (ft)								
Walking Speed (ft/s)								
Percent Blockage								
Right turn flare (veh)			None			None		
Median type			None			None		
Median storage veh)						2/1		
Upstream signal (ft)						261		
pX, platoon unblocked	000	101			405			
vC, conflicting volume	233	104			105			
vC1, stage 1 conf vol								
vC2, stage 2 conf vol								
vCu, unblocked vol	233	104			105			
tC, single (s)	6.4	6.2			4.1			
tC, 2 stage (s)								
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2			
p0 queue free %	100	100			99			
cM capacity (veh/h)	751	951			1486			
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1					
Volume Total	5	105	121					
Volume Left	1	0	8					
Volume Right	4	2	0					
cSH	903	1700	1486					
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.06	0.01					
Queue Length 95th (ft)	0	0	0					
Control Delay (s)	9.0	0.0	0.5					
Lane LOS	А		А					
Approach Delay (s)	9.0	0.0	0.5					
Approach LOS	A							
Intersection Summary								
Average Delay			0.5					
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		21.2%	IC	U Level	of Service	A	
Analysis Period (min)	· · · · ·		15		2 = 3.01		· ·	
arjoio i onou (iliin)			10					

App F: Alt Analysis w/ Potential American Legion (Toll Brothers) & Potential Bike Lane on Walnut Street Included HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis

104: Oak Street & Site Driveway D/Relocated American Legion Dwy

	٠	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	<i>></i>	\	ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			4			4			4	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	7	0	2	23	0	16	4	144	15	33	129	13
Future Volume (Veh/h)	7	0	2	23	0	16	4	144	15	33	129	13
Sign Control		Stop			Stop			Free			Free	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (vph)	8	0	2	25	0	17	4	157	16	36	140	14
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)												
Upstream signal (ft)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	409	400	147	394	399	165	154			173		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol												
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												
vCu, unblocked vol	409	400	147	394	399	165	154			173		
tC, single (s)	7.1	6.5	6.2	7.1	6.5	6.2	4.1			4.1		
tC, 2 stage (s)												
tF (s)	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3	2.2			2.2		
p0 queue free %	98	100	100	95	100	98	100			97		
cM capacity (veh/h)	530	523	900	552	524	879	1426			1404		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1	SB 1								
Volume Total	10	42	177	190								
Volume Left	8	25	4	36								
Volume Right	2	17	16	14								
cSH	578	650	1426	1404								
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.06	0.00	0.03								
Queue Length 95th (ft)	1	5	0	2								
Control Delay (s)	11.3	10.9	0.2	1.6								
Lane LOS	В	В	Α	Α								
Approach Delay (s)	11.3	10.9	0.2	1.6								
Approach LOS	В	В										
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			2.2									
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		31.4%	IC	CU Level	of Service			Α			
Analysis Period (min)			15									